

# **Conference Report**

Indian Mountain Initiaitive | Conference Report : Mountain Cities 2014, January 20, 2014 Mussoorie

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

- Acknowledgement
- Issues and Complexities in the context of Urbanization in Mountain States
- Recommendations
- Mountain Cities 2014 Workshop (Sessions Report, Outcomes & Recommendations )
- Appendix 1 Background Note of Mountain Cities 2014
- Appendix 2 Program Schedule
- Appendix 3 Speech of Ms. Vandana Chavan
- Appendix 4 Presentation of Mr. Akhilesh Gupta
- Appendix 5 Presentation of PWC by Mr. Nidish Nair
- Appendix 6 Presentation of Archl
- Appendix 7 Presentation of Anjali Pancholy, MoUD
- Appendix 8 Presentation of EBTC, Mr. Monish Verma
- Appendix 9 Presentation Uttarkahnd, Mr. P S Jangpangi
- Appendix 10 Presentation Leh by Mr. Moses Kunzang
- Appendix 11 Base Paper on SWM by Mr. Vipin Kumar
- Appendix 12 Case study on waste water treatment by Clover Organics
- Appendix 13 Paper on status of city planning in Uttarakhand by Town & Country Planning Department
- Appendix 14 Paper on Mussoorie Water Supply by Jal Sansthan
- Appendix 15 List of Participants
- Appendix 16 Resource List on Mountain Cities Agenda

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

JNNURM	Jawahar Lal Nehru National Urban Renewal Mission
GoUK	Government of Uttarakhand
IMI	Indian Mountain Initiative
RED	Regional Economic Development (RED
UDD	Urban Development Department
ULBs	Urban Local Bodies
URDPFI	Urban Regional Development Planning Formulation & Implementation Guidelines
UUSDIP	Uttarakhand Urban Sector Development Investment Program

## Acknowledgements

This study has been part of the larger agenda by the Indian Mountain Initiative to study the development planning & implementation issues in the mountain states in India. I am thankful to all the participants from the Indian Mountain States, central ministries, Government of Uttarakhand officials, civil society, members of Indian Mountain Initiative & member of media from Uttarakhand who enriched this study by taking active part in National Conference Mountain Cities 2014.

Special thanks to all the volunteers of Centre for Public Policy, Doon University, National Centre of Urban Management, NIAR Mussoorie, Mussoorie Nagar Palika for supporting and ensuring that Mountain Cities 2014 was successfully organized despite all the odds.

Krishan Singh Rautela

## Issues and Complexity of Urbanization in the context of Mountain states

As we have seen the status of urban development in Uttarakhand, the scenario of the mountain states in India is not very different. The trend of development around the world is today urban centric and mountain states have to develop sustainable city development framework instead of patch work arrangements. We have to acknowledge that at the moment our cities are plagued by following complexities:

- Lack of Master Planning & Unplanned development of housing
- No plans for basic necessities like drinking water, sewerage, drainage & solid waste management
- Population growth & Increase in the floating population out pacing development of physical infrastructure
- Disaster prone development near hazardous areas
- Lack of resources and capacity in urban local bodies & delays due to centralized planning/implementation
- No plan for Urban Transport

The urbanization agenda has been given prime space in 11<sup>th</sup> plan but the impact of efforts from central & state programs have not been visible in the mountain cities of Uttarakhand or elsewhere. This is mainly due to the following reasons:

- Lack of specific solutions for the mountain cities
- Lack of special norms for mountain cities leaves many cities out of the national programs (eg. JNNURM)
- State initiated urban development programs have lacked resources and capacity to make the difference.

Some of the mountain cities like Shimla, Gangtok etc. have been able to address a few of the problems but these have not been enough. The key steps required to change this status quo are:

- Explore and Understand the underlying issues
- Sensitize the planning and implementation machinery at the local, state and central level
- Bring together the stakeholders of all mountain cities from all the mountain states to ensure that solutions would find necessary economies of scale
- Find resources under the current planning mechanism to fund the above
- Catalyze creation of new policies and schemes which address the specificity of the mountain cities

Apart from the flagship program of JNNURM by the central government there have been multilateral agency funded state urban development programs which have so far worked on the same old solutions. It is important to find resources to fund exploration of new solutions so that the central and state level programs could be made more effective. This could also be addressed under the central government initiated missions under National Action Plan for climate change. These mission are :

- National Solar Mission
- National Mission for Enhanced Energy Efficiency
- National Mission on Sustainable Habitat
- National Water Mission
- National Mission for Sustaining the Himalayan Eco-system
- National Mission for a Green India
- National Mission for Sustainable Agriculture
- National Mission on Strategic Knowledge for Climate Change

National Mission for Sustaining the Himalayan Eco-system is most directly related to the concerns related to urban development in this region but currently it has become restricted towards the science and environment concerns. It is important that stakeholders in the mountain states sensitize the mission agencies and influence them to ensure that the resources can fund exploration of new solutions which in turn would ensure success of the mission. Each of the above missions have direct impact on creating a sustainable urbanization the mountain states and thereby setting sustainable development in motion for these states.

#### Stakeholders and their roles

The stakeholders and central actors in the process of urban development are mainly :



*Urban local body* is at the nucleus of all this through which the implementation of the planned urban development has to take place but unfortunately it lacks the capacity and resources to do so.

The State governments have been the main actors in urban development space and have acted through the departments of housing, town and country planning etc. These departments however have confined themselves to state capitals mostly and have done very little to change the landscape. As the rural livelihood opportunities in the mountains have been dwindling over the years there has been outward migration into towns and cities. This has been accelerated in the post liberalization decades and urbanization really went out of control for economic reasons. The development of cities like Gurgaon is one the most relevant examples for the pace and manner in which urbanization has grown in last two decades. This growth not only resulted in people moving from villages to cities in search of livelihoods but also cities moving into villages to fulfill the rapid increase in housing demand. In the mountain states such pressures have been faced by cities in foothills or terai areas but at slightly smaller scale the existing mountain cities also have faced smaller but proportionate growth. The lack of capacity and planning from the state government has resulted in lack of action from its end and also unwillingness to transfer powers to urban local bodies has not helped the matters. State governments need to work towards decentralizing the functions and provide resources to urban local bodies. This could be done in regional/cluster approach to ensure that solutions could find right scale for viability and would not result in fragmented response from the smaller urban local bodies. State government would have to act as aggregator & facilitator for these urban local bodies for an integrated response to the problems.

*Central government* has created many instruments like HADP etc. in the past but the emphasis has not been on the sustainable urban development. The mountain areas have always been viewed with prism of environmental conservation and rural development and the urban development was seen mostly in negative light to put it mildly. Even now when at national level urban development has been given prominent place in planning and implementation, mountain states remain at the margins as the planners and implementers are not sensitized to the special needs of these terrains. Central government needs to ensure that more consultations are done with stakeholders and planning should have a bottom up approach. Central government should also consider looking outside the country for solutions and involve the states in such an exercise.

*Multilateral agencies* have wealth of experience from multiple geographies around the world, which ideally should have helped in bringing right technologies for the mountain cities. So far there have not been any such examples in the mountain states for path breaking solutions either from developed or developing nations. There are examples in European alpine countries our Andean Countries in South America which could be used as base for developing local solutions in the area of public transport, waste management etc.

*Science & Technology*, especially from the domain of environmentalists, has had a sway over most policy matters of the mountain states. So far the emphasis has been on conservation and very little has been done on adaptation by the science & technology community. In recent times the work done in the area of valuing ecosystem services etc. has been one of the few constructive works of scientific community which would help in

Indian Mountain Initiaitive | Conference Report : Mountain Cities 2014, January 20, 2014 Mussoorie

augmenting resources of the mountain states. The real challenge for the scientific community would be to balance the conservation and adaptation for the development of the mountain states. In the area of urban development a lot of new technologies are needed to find geography specific solutions and the scientists would be needed to step up to the plate.

*Private sector* has been shackled by the lack of public sector initiative mostly. The local private sector has its limitations in terms of resources and capacity. Private sector could play a big role provided the issue of scale can be addressed by the central and state governments to make private sector participation worthwhile. In recent times 3 JNNURM supported SWM projects in Uttarakhand were successfully contracted out to private sector but lack of adequate capacity in public sector and delays on environmental clearances have resulted in setbacks. Success of these projects would have worked as catalyst and also as platform for encouraging the private sector to take smaller projects in the state. In case of public transport also if one were to look for a new alternative like PRTS solution to address one city project the cost would be huge but if we are able to study and commission solution for 10 similar cities it would bring the cost down by bringing economies of scale. This has already been successfully tried in case of JNNURM urban bus standardization. Cost of Metro Rail implementation in India would also soon provide similar benefits as more cities opt for it and encourage manufacturers to localize their content.

*Civil Society* has been active at various levels and it has resulted in change of policy making and implementation at all levels of government. However urbanization has once again not been the primary agenda for the civil society in most cities. Even the vocal sections of the civil society have been limited to an urban area or a particular sector only and thereby not having right impact. Indian Mountain Initiative has been in existence since 2011 and has tried to tackle such limitations of civil society action. The mountain cities agenda is one more such step which began with first mountain cities discussion in 2012 Gangtok SMDS-2. Some of the recommendations from this discussion were:

- Better definition of road hierarchy, focusing on transport issues, forming regionbased tourism circuits, by curtailing rural-urban and urban-urban migration, and through egalitarian distribution of resources.
- The focus of urban intervention should also be on such soft aspects as urban governance and capacity building. In the area of urban governance there is a need for revising bye laws, developing e-governance, creating municipal act to form a 3-tier urban mechanism, and developing public-private partnership mechanisms.
- Approaches such as city branding also allows for a strategic vision to be implemented.
- As funding is seen as a fundamental bottleneck there is a need to find multiple sources of funding based on assets, central resources, subsidies, etc., especially to sustain the development of smaller urban centres.

In order to study the issues greater details IMI convened the second mountain cities event on January 20, 2014 at Mussoorie. This study has been part of this initiative and author has played active part in conceptualizing, organizing the event. Event was structured to bring out specific suggestions to influence the National and State Urban Development Programs for a visible change in the provision of Urban Amenities in the mountain states with an objective to create discourse on Sustainable Habitats in the mountain states.

Through this event, important connection was made with the National Mission for Sustaining the Himalayan Eco-system by engaging the division on climate change in department of science & technology where this important mission has been anchored. This engagement also helped the mountain states to bring the urban development as key agenda for the mission.

Price Waterhouse Cooper also provided the knowledge support to the event by bringing out a study on the urban scenario in the mountain states.

The participants also were asked to divide into three thematic groups to discuss specific aspects of Planning, City Services & Governance with respect to urban development in mountain states. Each group was asked to bring out key suggestions for short, medium & long term by different entities of the state.

Innovative approach to spatial planning was introduced in this event by engaging nonprofit entity Archl to study Mussoorie and create a 3D model with scenario development for future course of development of the town.

In the next section detailed report on the proceedings & outcomes of this event has been presented.

## Mountain Cities 2014, Mussoorie

This conference was scheduled to be held on January 19 & 20 at Mussoorie but due to sudden weather changes and heavy snowfall at Mussoorie, the organizers and participants were reminded of the severities faced by mountain cities and how elements of nature, human interaction can turn the most appropriate tourism opportunity of snowfall into nightmare for the city dwellers and tourists.

Under the circumstances, innovative parts of the event, a Mussoorie walk by participants and interaction with the urban local body representatives had to be cancelled. Despite all the odds the indomitable spirit of the participants prevailed over the adverse conditions and everyone made it to the event venue, LBS National Academy of Administration Mussoorie by late night of January 19<sup>th</sup>.

### Innaugral Session :

Ms Vandana Chavan, Member of Parliament and former Mayor of Pune, delivered a highly emotive and thought-provoking Inaugural address on January 19<sup>th</sup> itself in which she captured the subject of urbanization in the context of mountain cities, the efforts of central government and the way forward.





On the morning of January 20th in the inaugural session Participants were welcomed bv Manmohan Singh Mall, Chairman of Mussoorie Municipality. He gave a brief introduction Mussoorie Urban Local body and history of Mussoorie. He underlined problems the of parking, drinking water and sewerage. He also underlined Mussoorie specific problem of

forest conservation act which is impeding implementation of housing and necessary

Indian Mountain Initiaitive | Conference Report : Mountain Cities 2014, January 20, 2014 Mussoorie

infrastructure projects. He thanked IMI for choosing Mussoorie as venue for this event. He hoped that the conference and future discussion will help in finding solutions for mountain cities.



The Inaugural presentation by Dr Akhilesh Gupta, Advisor, Department of Science & Technology, Climate Change Programme, exposed the participants to the rolling out of the National Mission on Sustaining Himalayan Ecosystem (NM SHE), one of the eight National Missions of the Climate Change architecture. Dr Akhilesh Gupta shared that this Mission has to

involve all eleven mountain States and Sustaining Urban Habitat was one major part of this Mission and he looked forward to engaging with many stakeholders who had assembled in this Workshop. Ms Patricia Mukhim, a renowned environmental activist from Shillong raised many a doubts regarding the way the official roll out of these Mission take place and how far removed are common man even from the jargon that gets deployed in official communications! She urged for use of simple and lay man language and better understanding of mountain habitat related problems and issues.



Mr Kush Varma, DG, National Institute for Administrative Research (NIAR) welcoming the participants shared his own experience and looked forward to mainstreaming the learnings of this National Workshop and its follow-up by the IMI. Inaugural Plenary generated a charged exchange with participants welcoming this initiative and underscoring how the problems and

issues of small and medium mountain cities get ignored both by the state governments and marginalised due to non-implementation of the 24th Amendment to the Constitution, specifically aimed at empowerment of the urban local bodies.



Mr. Nidish Nair from PWC presented a study on comparison and benchmarking of Mountain cities with the objectives to make a comparative assessment of hill cities, to draw the attention of policy makers for a separate SLB for hill cities & to draw the attention of policy makers to major issues in hill cities and to initiate discussion on hill city specific strategies. This study underlined how cities have unique challenges;

hence require different service benchmarks. Cities of Gangtok, Shillong, Nainital, Aizwal, Mussoorie & Shimla were selected under the criteria of Size, significance (capital cities/ economic importance/tourist centers, etc.) and regional balance (North, eastern etc.). The indicators measured were Urban planning mechanisms in

Indian Mountain Initiaitive | Conference Report : Mountain Cities 2014, January 20, 2014 Mussoorie

place, Environment friendliness, Disaster Mgmt. preparedness, Quality of basic services, Energy management initiatives, Financial sustainability & e-governance initiatives. The Study concluded that:

- Special service level benchmarks for Hill cities, covering environment, disaster mgmt, land use planning need to be developed
- There are Positives in these cities with respect to sensitivity towards environment, disaster management
- Improvements are needed in Basic Service quality, Service level reforms
- Certain Sectors needing urgent attention Urban planning, public transport, solid waste management



## Case Study - Mussoorie's Alternative Futures

The research, info graphics, mapping and 3 D Model in the Case Study developed by Arch.I. and led by Mr Anne Fenstra generated huge interest among the participants and the post Inaugural plenary session. This study proposed an innovative and alternative future vision for the 33,600 population Hill Town through 3 themes; 'Clean Air', 'Smart Water' and 'Organic City'. The research based methodology used design and graphics as communication tools and arch i team proposed to set up a 'Solution Studio' for the Mountain Cities. It created lively discussions and a very appropriate back-drop for the three planned break-out sessions, on Governance & Finance, City Planning and Architecture and Public Utilities and Services. The break-out sessions allowed participants to exchange views and experiences of various towns, experts, officials, public representatives, town planners and concerned citizens. Arguably, such a cross section of people, drawn from governments, Central Ministries and mountain States, institutions, utilities and town-planners had taken for the first time, in a small mountain city like Mussoorie. Not only the issues related to the Flagship programme of urban development, namely the Jawaharlal Nehru National Urban Revival Mission ( JNNURM) and another National Mission, Sustaining Himalayan Ecosystem, were shared for the first time, in context of small mountain cities, but a realization was driven home that the mountain cities receive the same treatment as do the mountain issues on the national canvas of policies.

### Future of Mountain Cities in India

This session was co chaired by Mr. Manmohan Mall, Chairman Mussoorie & Ms. Patricia Mukhim, Editor from Meghalaya. This session saw presentations from MoUD representative, participants from HP, Ladakh, Uttarakhand, Sikkim & representative from European Business & Technology Centre.

Ms. Anjaly Pancholy, Associate Town & Country Planner from MoUD Definition of Mountain Cities in India There is a need for slope criteria besides the altitude criteria. Studies of Mountain Cities in India However no comprehensive literature exist for identifying the problems, issues and planning requirements of mountain cities. Ms. PAcholy also Suggested Planning Norms for Mountain Cities :

- Since it is an ecologically fragile area, only carrying capacity based development should be proposed, with not only planning parameters but also aesthetic and cultural parameters taken into consideration.
- Delineation of area for development should be made considering land suitability analysis including physical factors such as soil, geology, rock types, geomorphology, topography, slope, etc. Remote sensing & GIS technology should be used for generating maps at appropriate scale. Microzonation of delineated area should be carried out before planning for development.
- Proper policy, institutional and legislative framework has to be created for preparation of Comprehensive Development Plan and its implementation keeping in view the natural hazard proneness of the a rea
- While formulating Comprehensive Development Plan priority should be given for Environmental Management and Disaster Management. The following restrictions and conditions may be proposed for future activities.
- No construction should be ordinarily undertaken in areas having slope above 30% or areas which fall in landslide hazard zones or areas falling on the spring lines and first order streams identified by the State Government on the basis of available scientific data.
- Construction may be permitted in areas with slope between 10% to 30% or spring recharge areas or old landslide zones with such restrictions as the competent authority may evolve.
- Prepare detailed contour plan of the area of 1:5000 or larger showing contours at interval of 0.2 to 0.5 metre;

• Regulations for disaster mitigation -development control, building regulations/bye-laws for natural hazard prone areas should be followed in the proposed development.

Mr. Tikender Pawar, Deputy Chairman of Shimla Municipal Corporation voiced the concerns of the mountain cities in a very appropriate Shakespearean dilemma "To be or not to be". Mountain Cities have primary survival goal of "To be" at the moment against the odds of nature and policy climate of the nation. He also differentiated between the course and nature of discourse with the habitants of mountain cities and the outside stakeholders. It is important capture the imagination and aspiration of the city stakeholders while visualizing and shaping future of the mountain cities while the outside stakeholders need to have a responsible attitude in terms of sharing the enforced disabilities of mountain cities. He also emphasized the point of not copying other cities as the situations are completely different. He gave example of Pune which has to pay on 2 Rs./KI for bulk water whereas Shimla pays 60 Rs./KL for bulk water. Solutions for drinking water supply have to take care of this basic difference in conditions.

Mr. P S Jangpagi, Director Urban Development, Government of Uttarakhand presented the Uttarakhand urban development scenario. He presented how current programs under various flagship schemes are being implemented in the state. He also pointed out how the schemes in urban development arena eg. National Urban Health Mission or National Urban Livelihood Mission are currently being adapted from Rural Development program. Mr. Jangpangi also made a very relevant point about glaring miss with regards to involvement of Urban Development department in District Plan exercise. He was hopeful that this would soon be corrected.

Mr. Moses from DRDA, Ladkah made a presentation introducing Leh city. He informed the audience that Leh will also be venue of the 4<sup>th</sup> Sustainable Mountain Development Summit. He touched upon the basic problems of city which are similar to others like drinking water& sanitation. Leh city has developed vision 2025 document which states that "By 2025 Leh will emerge as the country's best model for hill area development in a challenging environment with its sustainability embedded in the ecological protection, cultural heritage & human development"

Mr. Shakti Singh presented on behalf of Sikkim contingent. It was interesting to note that Sikkim is only state in the country which has increased its forest cover in last year. He informed that Solid waste management is being implemented in community model for door to door collection. He also underlined the issue of problem to get environmental clearance for land fill in the state. Sikkim is trying to innovate around the problem by encouraging zero waste dump approach and ensuring that waste is completely processed or recycled. Mr. Singh also informed that Sikkim doesn't have any property tax and there is political hindrance for

Indian Mountain Initiaitive | Conference Report : Mountain Cities 2014, January 20, 2014 Mussoorie

imposing such taxes which results in loss of a revenue source for local body. The water supply is at flat rate of 60 rs. /month. Sewerage is 60% connected. Lack of parking lots and hence they are going for ropeway etc. This approach also has run into problems due to high cost of DPR preparation at the moment.

Mr. Monish Verma from European Business Technology Centre introduced his organization and its relevance to mountain states. The approach of EBTC is more focused on technology platforms and projects. He drew attention of audience to European conditions which are very similar to mountain states and how we can learn from them. He also informed the audience that EBTC is actively looking for engagement in the area of waste management technology where Swedish technology partners are keen to discuss possibilities.

### Thematic Breakout Sessions:

For thematic session a matrix was worked out by knowledge partner PWC to ensure a goal oriented discussion. It was designed to focus on what best practice the group would like to share or implement in the three time frames by various stakeholders. These are also outcomes and recommendation of this summit.

	< 1 Year	1- 3 Years	3 years
I (Individual)			
Civil Society			
City Authority			
State Government			
Central Government			



**City Services Group** : This group focused on Solid waste management and waste water management. The other city services could not be taken up for discussion due to paucity of time. This group was facilitated by Mr. Sushil Ramola.

	< 1 Year	2- 3 Years	3 years
I (Individual)	<ul> <li>Reduce , "Refuse"</li> <li>Recycle &amp; Segregate at Home</li> <li>Educate Family &amp; Neighbours</li> </ul>		
Civil Society	<ul> <li>Green Protocol</li> <li>Pressure Group</li> <li>Awareness in School etc.</li> <li>Create A Model</li> <li>IMI Theme Group</li> </ul>		
City Authority	<ul> <li>Levy Fines/Reward for violation/following</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Water Harvesting</li> </ul>	Recycle every     possible waste

Indian Mountain Initiaitive | Conference Report : Mountain Cities 2014, January 20, 2014 Mussoorie

	<ul> <li>Implement SWM Rule 2000 (Modify for Mountains)</li> <li>Create Data</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Decentralize Waste Management</li> </ul>	
State Government	<ul> <li>Levy Tax on non- environmental friendly packaging</li> <li>Involve Army/Eco Task Force</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>One Agency for Water</li> <li>Goal of water positive state</li> <li>Ban Non- recyclables ( or find solutions )</li> <li>Drainage System</li> </ul>	Awareness
Central Government	<ul> <li>Make Mountain Specific SWM Guidelines</li> <li>Mandatory use of Polythene on the roads</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Impose Industry Responsibility</li> </ul>	



**Planning Group:** This group was facilitated by Prof. Mahavir, Head of Department of Environmental Planning, Head of Department Regional Planning, SPA New Delhi & Mr. Tikender Pawar, Deputy Mayor Shimla. The group mainly focused on actions from the state & central government as the planning

in the current circumstances is being dealt at these levels of governance.

- State Action :
  - State commission on Urbanization
  - o Regional Planning Guidelines
  - o Managing the peri-urban areas, transitioning urban habitats
  - o Planning Process Capacity Building
  - Integrated Planning Process involving elected representatives, civil society & experts etc.
  - Manage and plan urbanization for creating employment.
- Central Government Action :
  - o URDPFI guidelines revision in terms of Hilly Areas
  - o Legal Framework
  - o Incentives
- Local Body / Civil Society :
  - Planning to address lifestyle / culture issues
  - Micro zoning to facilitate out migration
  - Plan for Micro Solutions
  - o Balance Planning for City residents and tourism

**Governance & Finance Group**: There was a discussion regarding the best practices involving government functionaries, involvement of community & improvement of finances of urban local bodies. The main recommendations from the group were:



- Best practices by the government departments could be brought into mainstream by providing legal backing
- Best practice of community participation in garbage handling at Govindghat was shared.
- Cities could create their own finances instead on depending on state or central government finances. A suggestion was made in terms of issue of municipal bonds by the ULBs
- A system of accountability & transparency needs to be brought in to ensure that taxes and charges levied for specific purpose are spent on that purpose by the collecting authority.
- Land based revenue system for the urban local body also could be developed, similar to land revenue system in rural settings. This could be another revenue source for local body

The valedictory plenary, guided by Ms Bharti Ramola and Sushil Ramola, in which the insights gained in the three break-out sessions were discussed after presentations, showed that a the participants had been exposed in a fair measure to the main issues and agendas that require to be attended to, on a high priority, at various levels in multiple forums, from grass -roots right into the national policies levels.

A few suggestions came from the participants, which are worth sharing :

- All IMI events to be plastic free events in future. Ms. Jodie Underhill volunteered to collect all the plastic waste from the summit.
- Documentation of best practices was requested by all the participants
- 'Solution Studio' for the Mountain Cities so research/mapping/3 D modeling for future events and cities will be available. IMI would thus become the 'Solution Generator Platform
- Summary report to be shared with central & state governments

Heavy snowing, near intractable transportation, over-stretched carrying capacity of a small Hill Station like Mussoorie, damaged and disrupted public utility like electricity and water and a near absence of any planning or future plan provided a made-to-order backdrop for this inaugural workshop on a highly neglected public policy, urban development in mountain regions, as distinct from the burgeoning cities in the plains region -the latter, a high priority both for the mountain states and the Urban Development Ministry. Participants and the local organizers, among others, especially thanked the Convenor Mr PD Rai, the Academy and the Doon University Volunteers, who braved all possible odds offered by the Nature.



## Recommendations

This study and discourse on mountain cities through IMI has brought the issue of urbanization in mountain states into focus. The conference in Mussoorie made a breakthrough with the new beginning in perspective planning with the help of 3-D modeling as well. Based on the inputs from the conference and material referred to in this study, here is the brief of recommendations for various categories of issues:

**Planning:** This is key area has been addressed only by a few states so far. Most of the small and developing towns in our country today have no master plans. The JNURM program brought in the city development plan documents which had galvanized the process across the country for a rudimentary but welcome framework for planning. The latest draft URDPFI guidelines 2014 also as yet do not recognize the specificity of mountain regions. The need for recognizing this is very important as the entire policy paradigm is dependent on it. For example currently Uttarakhand follows the same UP acts on urban planning and still has same by-laws for entire state whereas the geography of the state has slopes of varying degrees which can't be dealt with same specifications. For example the road planning in the master plans in mountain cities needs to taken into issues of land availability, the setbacks of buildings then need to be revised, alternate public transport options need to be factored in. It is important that following actions be taken at various levels :

Centre :

- Immediate recognition for the need of specific requirement of mountain states and supporting development/modification of national guidelines accordingly.
- Supporting States in the various levels of planning as the mountain states and cities lack resources to undertake this major overhaul.

State :

- States like Uttarakhand should immediately redraw the policy landscape by revisiting the UP acts and revising/replacing them refreshed acts/policies.
- Develop state perspective plans and regional plans
- Provide resources to subsidiaries & ULBs for decentralized Planning.
- Ensure that planning process looks at carrying capacity & disaster management as the fundamental components. Carrying capacity tool should be used not stymie the development but also assist in

development process by findin sustainable solutions to increase carrying capacity.

- As the land availability is limited in urban growth centres and these are interspersed with nature, it would be better to look at cluster approach for developing the local level plans. This would lead to a more sustainable approach for sharing resources and increasing carrying capacity for the cluster while individually these urban centres would saturate at lower levels. This brings the urban transport as a tool for connecting the nodes of the cluster as the centerpiece of the strategy and improve its viability as well.
- Better utilization of Land for public/semi public purposes needs to be given priority in planning and implementation so as to ensure that land scarcity doesn't lead to exclusion of poor from the process.
- Every city in mountains has inherent potential for tourism and it should be made part of urban planning process

Local :

- Local Bodies and PRI entities need to find their collective voice for developing the clusters as mentioned above.
- Improving the resources of these entities is in their hands and through participatory approach it can be achieved as well.

City Services:

- The specific Service level benchmarks should be developed for mountain cities for all city services.
- The roll out of many city services would have to be planned as hub and spoke models in a cluster to make the best use of shared resources and provide better scale to private/public implementation of these projects.
- Public Transport, Roads, Parking, Water, Sewage & Waste Water, Solid Waste Management need to be made part of essential services for all urban centers.
- Public transport planning should look at a mix of mobility solutions which would connect with road and off road networks in the city. There have been encouraging examples in many cities like Medelin, Hongkong etc. for these interconnected mixed models.
- Waste & Waste water management are key areas for these cities where both of these problems could be turned into solutions. The Mussoorie model prepared by Archl needs to be explore further to develop more integrated projects.

• City Services planning should also take into account the major economic driver of tourism. Every city in mountains has inherent potential for tourism and it should be made part of urban planning process

### Governance & Finance:

- Decentralization and implementation of 74<sup>th</sup> amendment has been recommended so often that now it is becoming a cliché in its own right. Even if the process is slow but an institutional approach to this goal is important.
- The development of certain institutions to support the local bodies under the reformed regime is very important. The city services related agencies could be developed on regional or cluster basis and linked to local bodies in functional/service delivery structure.
- Development of PPP mechanism to support the capacity gap for these institutions is needed urgently
- Developing new central schemes or converging national missions for mountain areas with the urban planning regime is also important to bring new funding sources. The latest tools like Green Bonus also should be used partly to fund the urban areas so as to make the initial high costs of sustainable development technologies affordable.

### Private Sector Engagement:

- Hitherto private sector has not developed in the area of city services or other urban development activities in the mountain cities. It is not possible to implement programs/ projects without some degree of private sector involvement in the implementation process.
- As suggested above the planning process should enable the mountain cities to collectively create economies of scale to make private sector participation viable and sustainable in these activities.
- Better urban planning & implementation regime would also create enabling environment for private sector investments in the other areas of economy of these mountain cities and thereby improving financial resources for the cities.

## Appendix 1 Background Note of Mountain Cities 2014

## National Workshop on Mountain Cities 2014 Mussoorie – 19th & 20th January 2014

## **Background Note**

Urbanization in Mountain States has historically developed as Hill Stations or pilgrimage locations. Most of these hill stations have a legacy of colonial planning and still are dependent on infrastructure created during those days. Second wave of urban growth was driven by migration of villagers to nearby towns in search of education and employment which led to growth of few towns as education centres as well. Majority of the mountains states in Indian were carved out of the bigger states as the development of these areas was a subject of neglect under the bigger entities. Formation of new states also led to growth of urbanization in the capital cities & district head quarters of these states.

Despite the growth in urbanization, there are only 20 one lakh plus population cities in these states and most of which are in the plains/terai part of the states. By classification of urbanization most of these urban settlements would qualify as towns only.

As this urbanization has been a result of growth of small towns which have grown into nearby rural habitations, it has been plagued by :

- Lack of Master Planning
- Unplanned development of housing
- No plan for Urban Transport
- No plans for basic necessities like drinking water, sewerage, drainage & solid waste management
- Population growth out pacing development of physical infrastructure
- Disaster prone development near hazardous areas
- Lack of resources and capacity in urban local bodies & delays due to centralized planning/implementation

As we, the citizens of these mountain states face the consequences of these problems and compare ourselves with the developments in neighbouring metropolitan cities or mountain cities around the world; it is natural to have aspirations for better liveable mountain cities/towns. It is imperative for the governments of these cities and states also to improve state of urban amenities in order to ensure a better experience for the tourists who provide one of the biggest sources of income to these states. These urban agglomerations are also important link in the value chain of inclusive development and act as growth drivers for surrounding rural economies. In the context of mountain development rural and urban need to be treated as complementary to each other for either to be effective. History has also taught us that these urban habitats have always been prone to natural calamities and in the absence of long term planning for such events there have been historic disasters.

The national urban planning landscape also is dominated by the topography of plains which has led to super imposing ill fitted solutions on these cities. When these small states seek solutions on their own, the market has never perceived them as sizeable opportunity to design unique and economical solutions. There are pockets of improvements but impact of these is either limited to a small area or soon outstripped by the demand due to lack future proofing at the planning stage.

Indian Mountain Initiative (IMI), which began with the first Sustainable Mountain Development Summit (SMDS-1) in Nainital in 2011, has been trying to change the paradigm of planning & development for mountain states both at the national and state level. In 2012 Gangtok SMDS-2 the issues regarding Urban Scenario in Mountain States were discussed. The keynote speaker Dr. K.C. Sivaramakrishnan, Chairman Centre for Policy Research underlined issues that are unique to mountain cities, a by-product of such features as topography, slope, altitude, restricted space, etc. There is little recognition of the unique challenges faced by mountain cities in the policymaking circles. This is best reflected in City Development Plans and how similar they are irrespective of the urban location/site in question. The guidelines of the Ministry of Urban Development as listed in Jawaharlal Nehru National Urban Renewable Mission which have been framed with cities in the which have been framed with cities in the plains in mind, do not distinguish between mountain cities and cities in the plains. In other words, there are no guidelines or standards for planning and development of mountain cities. Paucity of space and opportunities has resulted in pockets of urban concentration in mountain regions. In the case of Sikkim, population, investment, and traffic, to name a few variables, are concentrated in Gangtok and the East District. Such imbalance could be corrected through better definition of road hierarchy, by focusing on transport issues, forming region-based tourism circuits, by curtailing rural-urban and urban-urban migration, and through egalitarian distribution of resources. The focus of urban intervention should also be on such soft aspects as urban governance and capacity building. In the area of urban governance there is a need for revising bye laws, developing e-governance, creating municipal act to form a 3-tier urban mechanism, and developing public-private partnership mechanisms. Approaches such as city branding also allows for a strategic vision to be implemented. As funding is seen as a fundamental bottleneck there is a need to find multiple sources of funding based on

Indian Mountain Initiative | Conference Report : Mountain Cities 2014, January 20, 2014 Mussoorie

assets, central resources, subsidies, etc., especially to sustain the development of smaller urban centres.

IMI is taking this discourse further with the Mountain Cities-2014 in Mussoorie. **Centre for Public Policy, Doon University** is the anchor institution to host this IMI. This event aims to bring out specific suggestions to influence the National and State Urban Development Programs for a visible change in the provision of Urban Amenities in the mountain states with an objective to create discourse on Sustainable Habitats in the mountain states. IMI is also working to bring all the stakeholders and various agencies to converge on this agenda through this event. Planned structure and agenda of Mountain Cities-2014 is as below :

### Structure :

In this one day event participants shall work towards outlining integrated models for mountain cities with a focus on following major components of urban development planning framework:

- Planning :
  - o City Master Plans
  - City Aesthetics, Public Space Planning & Management
  - Building Bye-laws with mountain specificities & disaster management focus
- Water & Sanitation Issues
  - o Drinking Water
  - o Drainage, Sewerage & Waste Water management
  - Solid Waste Management
- Urban Transport
- Financing of these physical & social infrastructure projects

Participants are being invited from:

- Urban Development directorates of Mountain States
- Select group of Mayors/Chairmen, Municipal Commissioners
  - Representing State Capital Cities
- Core IMI participants
- Representative of MoUD Government of India
- Representatives of multilateral organizations:
  - o World Bank
  - o ADB
  - o UN Habitat

- o GIZ
- o ICIMOD
- o GIZ
- o SDC
- Subject Experts :
  - Water & Sanitation Expert
  - o Urban Transport Expert
  - o Sustainable Architecture Expert
  - o Disaster Management Expert
  - o City Master Plan & Building Bye-Laws Plan

Location	: Mussoorie – LBSNAA				

**Dates** : 19<sup>th</sup> & 20<sup>th</sup> Jan, 2014.

**Format and length :** A walk through the city, a discussion on Mussoorie will be followed by Dinner on Sunday, Jan 19, followed by the workshop on January 20.

ArchI, Delhi shall present sustainable mountain city models with Mussoorie as subject city which will entail :

- Conducting a research and analysis of the most significant issues for the city of Mussoorie. Analyzing the city, looking into current issues/ problem faced by the city.
- Preparing the research in New Delhi, Mussoorie, Dehradun.
- Preparing illustrations, text, filtering research/data collection. Giving holistic view to the city.
- Developing future scenario for Mussoorie, giving insight into the future of the city.

## Note on prime movers & supporters of the agenda

## The Indian Mountain Initiative

Indian Mountain Initiative (IMI) is a collective initiative for recognizing the value of mountain regions and enabling people to realize the potential by integrating knowledge and experiences of multiple stakeholders. It started with the first Sustainable Mountain Development Summit (SMDS-1) in Nainital by Central Himalayan Environment Association (CHEA) wherein civil society, private sector, scientist, administrators & political representatives gathered to ponder over the development issues of mountain states and also to create a collective voice of its people. This initiative has caught the imagination of the people of the mountain states which has resulted in continued momentum leading into next summits in Sikkim (SMDS-2 Gangtok, 2012) & Nagaland (SMDS-3 Kohima, 2013). Next summit has already been planned in Jammu & Kashmir in 2014. IMI has helped also co creation of a legislator's forum & youth forum of mountain states. At the national planning stage IMI has been instrumental in creation of Mountain Division of Ministry of Environment & Forest. IMI inputs have also been key to two of the most important working groups created for 12th Plan of Planning Commission on mountain issues. IMI has acted as a movement so far and has worked without a permanent secretariat. In SMDS-3 it has been resolved that an institutional setup would also be put in place to conduct year around activities on Mountain Development issues. CHEA, ECOSS Sikkim & SDFN Nagaland are founding members of IMI.

Indian Mountain States areas cover 11 states and 1 hill district of West Bengal at the moment. These states cover 18.15% of india's land mass and population is 6.35% of national total. The urban- rural distribution is at 21% -79% for these states whereas national distribution is as 31%-69%. These sates have had only 5% share of the national GDP in FY2012.

State	Population	Growt h Rate	Area Sq.km	Densi ty	Sex Rati o	Literac y	Urban	Rural
	1,210,193,		328724				377,105,7	833,087,6
India	422	17.64	0	382	940	74.04	60	62
Assam	31205576	17.07	78438	398	958	72.19	4398542	26807034
Jammu and Kashmir	12541302	23.64	222236	56	889	67.16	3433242	9108060
Uttarakhan								
d	10086292	18.81	53483	189	963	78.82	3049338	7036954

Indian Mountain Initiative | Conference Report : Mountain Cities 2014, January 20, 2014 Mussoorie

Total	76825803		596720				16302378	60523425
Bengal	1846823	14.77	3149	586	970	79.56	727963	1118860
Hill District of West								
Sikkim	610577	12.89	7096	86	890	81.42	153578	456999
Mizoram	1097206	23.48	21081	52	976	91.33	571771	525435
Arunachal Pradesh	1383727	26.03	83743	17	938	65.38	317369	1066358
Nagaland	1978502	-0.58	16579	119	931	79.55	570966	1407536
Manipur	2570390	12.05	22327	115	992	79.21	834154	1736236
Meghalaya	2966889	27.95	22429	132	989	74.43	595450	2371439
Tripura	3673917	14.84	10486	350	960	87.22	961453	2712464
Himachal Pradesh	6864602	12.94	55673	123	972	82.8	688552	6176050

### **Centre for Public Policy, Doon University:**

Centre for Public Policy is an autonomous Centre anchored in School of Social Sciences (SoSS) of Doon University. The Centre has been setup to promote and nurture researches and serious efforts in the domain of public policy, with special reference to the mountain States like Uttarakhand. In the context of climate change and associated vulnerability especially to the mountain ecosystems necessitates the formation of an institute that can act as facilitator for policy formulations and evaluations for the state. With this view in focus it wishes to establish an on-going dialogue with all on-going researches and research projects which may be in progress in Uttarakhand. It is headed by NTPC chair Professor Dr. R S Tolia, former Chief Information Commissioner & Chief Secretary of Uttarakhand. Dr. Tolia was the first convenor and prime mover of the Indian Mountain Initiative.

### National Centre for Urban Management (NCUM), NIAR Mussoorie

The National Centre for Urban Management (NCUM) has been established at Lal Bahadur Shastri Nationall Academy of Administration, Mussoorie under the aegis of Ministry of Urban Development, Government of India. NCUM's mandate is to prepare urban managers in government, enhance local self-governments as vibrant institutions, promote inclusive, transparent and good urban governance, and provide capacity and capability enhancement to stakeholders involved in urban development, management and quality improvement in teaching, training, and research in urban sector to make cities more liveable. It now functions under NIAR which is an autonomous research institute under LBSNAA, Mussoorie. NCUM is to act as a catalyst institution to promote professionalism in urban management and development administration; to share knowledge, information and experience in decentralization, good urban governance practices, municipal management and act as knowledge hub; to provide opportunities to career civil servants to develop and upgrade their knowledge and experience; to organize Training of Trainers (ToT) and specialized training courses; to undertake and/or commission studies in urban development systems research in urban management and development issues like housing and environment etc.; to undertake impact assessment of training and to network amongst training institutions within and outside the country.

### **Supporters of Mountain Cities 2014**

- DEPARTMENT OF SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY (CLIMATE CHANGE PROGRAMME DIVISION)
- ICIMOD , Kathmandu, Nepal
- GIZ RED Uttarakhand & GIZ CCA-NER
- ECOSS Sikkim (Founding Member, Indian Mountain Initiative)
- CHEA Uttarakhand (Founding Member, Indian Mountain Initiative)
- SDFN Nagaland (Founding Member, Indian Mountain Initiative)

## **Issues**:

### Water & Sanitation:

Despite being called water towers of India, none of the mountain state cities meet the modern service level benchmarks for the delivery of water services to citizens, neither for quality nor for quantity. Water supplies that were sufficient till a few decades back have now been outstripped by demand and old infrastructure has makes the entire process cost inefficient. While water has been a subject of exploitation for energy but has not been managed as source for drinking water at the same time. Majority of ridge towns face shortage of supply and at the same time even river side urban settlements have not reached 24x7 water supply benchmarks.

Waste Water treatment and reuse is another neglected subject especially in the case of ridge towns which spend considerable resources on pump lifting water. There is a need for integrated water supply which includes waste water management as well.

Fast growing cities and new consumption patterns have led to growing mounds of solid waste right at the edges of these cities/towns. Managing this growing problem is complicated by :

- Small size of waste collection in the individual cities fails to attract private sector partners.
- Lack of suitable and available land for procession and disposal of waste
- Lack of coordination among urban local bodies to develop cluster based solid waste processing and disposal for smaller towns
- Lack of private sector players in waste to energy solutions and also lack of waste to energy technologies appropriate for environmentally fragile mountain cities.

## Sustainable Architecture, City Master Plan & Building Bye-Laws Plan

Master planning concept have lagged behind the development of mountain cities which have rapidly added the out growth and rural habitats into their fold. This lack of planning has resulted in many ills like, lack of road connectivity to residential areas, lack of public spaces, choking of natural drainage and water sources. The speed of development has also led to growth of concrete jungles in these cities and also extinction of traditional and sustainable architecture of these areas.

## **Disaster Management**

Many of the mountain cities have had close encounter with natural disasters and have been rebuilt a few times over already. Recent earthquakes in Sikkim and Flood disaster have been timely reminders for the mountain states to focus on disaster management for both rural and urban context. Building codes and city master plans need to incorporate and enforce the disaster management related changes. New technologies also need to be explored for all

#### **Urban Transport**

Urban transport is yet to develop into a citizen service for the mountain cities. Walking is seen as most natural process of commute in these cities apart from privately owned transports. Lack of roads and also the limitations of road width hamper implementation of bus based solution. Lack of appropriate bus/minibus design for these conditions has also been glaring miss under the JNNURM and National Urban Transport Policy. There is an urgent need to initiate discussions on technologies for multi modal urban transport solutions for the mountain cities.

#### Energy

How do we use energy and can we plan for more renewables right from here?

## Appendix 2 Program Schedule

## Program : 19/1/2014

On Arrival - 4PM	<b>Check-in, Registration &amp; Tea</b> At Silverwood Executive Guest House adjoining LBS main entry Gate of LBSNAA
4-5.15 pm	Mussoorie Walk Through Introduction to the Walk by Prof. Anne Feenstra Walk through Mussoorie led by Anne's Team.
	<ul> <li>SWM Group Led by Mr. Vipin Kumar         <ul> <li>Gandhi Chowk to SWM Dumping Zone</li> <li>Pick up and drop to Town Hall</li> <li>By vehicle from Town Hall to LBSNAA</li> </ul> </li> <li>Other Group Led by Anmol Jain         <ul> <li>Gandhi Chowk – Town Hall walk</li> <li>By vehicle from Town Hall to LBSNAA</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
4.30-5.00 pm	Media Interaction at Town Hall
5.15-6.30 pm	Welcome of Participants by Shri Manmohan Mall Discussion on Future Scenarios for Mussoorie by Anne & ArchI
6.30 pm 7:30 pm	Return by Vehicles to Silverwood Dinner at LBS Academy

## Program : 20/1/2014

- 8 9AM Breakfast & Registration At Gyanshila, LBSNAA
- 9-10.30 AM Introductory Plenary At Gyanshila Auditorium, LBSNAA

Chair : Mr. Kush Verma, DG NIAR

Welcome Address : Dr. R S Tolia

Speakers :

- Ms. Vandana Chavan, MP
- Dr. Akhilesh Gupta & Dr. Nisha Mehendirataa, DST
- Mountain Cities A Benchmark Study: Mr. Nidish Nair, PwC

10.30-11.15 pm	Case Study – Mussoorie's Alternative Futures By Mr. Anne Feenstra & Archl Team						
11.15 - 12.00 pm	Mussoorie's Model Discussion followed by Tea Break By Mr. Anne Feenstra & Archl Team						
	At Gyanshila Lounge						
12 - 1.30 pm	Future of Mountain Cities in India Co- Chair :Mr. Manmohan Mall, Mussoorie						
	Co-Chair :Ms. Patricia Mukhim, Meghalaya						
	* MoUD, GoI : Anjaly Pancholy						
	* Presentation from HP, J&K, Sikkim, Uttarakhand & Meghalaya						
	* Learning from Other Countries: Europe Mr. Monish Verma, EBTC						
1.30 - 2.15 pm	Lunch						
2.15 - 3.30 pm	Thematic Discussion: The Future of Mountain Cities						
	Governance & Finance At Gyanshila Auditorium, LBSNAA						
	Co Chair : Mr. Sushil Ramola						
	Co Chair : Mr. Umakant Pawar						
	<b>Planning</b> At Seminar Room III, Gyanshila LBSNAA						
	Co Chair : Dr. Mahaveer, Head of Department, SPA						
	Co Chair : Mr. Tikender Pawar, Deputy Mayor Shimla						
	<b>City Services</b> At Seminar Room IV, Gyanshila LBSNAA						
	Co Chair : Ms. Bharti Gupta Ramola, PWC						
	Co Chair : Ms. Higio Aruni, Chief Councillor Itanagar						
	Short Presentations:						
	<ul> <li>SWM (Mussoorie): Mr. Vipin Kumar</li> <li>SWM (Dharmshala): Ms. Jodie Underhill, Waste Warriors</li> <li>Waste Water : Mr. Sanjay Agrawal, Clover Organics</li> </ul>						

3.30 - 4.00 pm	Break for Tea
4.00 - 5.00 pm	Report Back to the Plenary At Gyanshila Auditorium, LBSNAA
	Co Chairs : Governance & Finance
	Co Chairs : Planning
	Co Chairs : City Services
5.00 - 5.30 pm	Action Agenda for Mountain Cities for going forward Summary & Closing Mr. Sushil Ramola & Ms. Bharti Gupta Ramola
	At Gyanshila Auditorium, LBSNAA

Vote of Thanks Mr. Sushil Ramola

## Appendix 3 Speech of Ms. Vandana Chavan


### Speech of Ms. Vandana Chavan

The world is undergoing urbanisation at an extremely fast pace.

It was in 2007 (July 11), for the first time in the history of the world that more than half of the world's population (ie. 3.3 billion) out of the 6.6 billion total population, according to the UN report, started staying in urban areas, the majority of them in developing countries.

This number would double to 6.4 billion by 2050 when some 9.2 billion people are expected to inhabit the earth, the report said.

Today there are 1,80,000 more people living in urban areas than there were yesterday. There will be another 1,80,000 there when you wake up tomorrow and another 1,80,000 the day after that. This urban dynamo will continue for decades.

Globally therefore urbanisation is one of the greatest challenges facing humanity in the 21st century thus, bringing 'Urban Issues' centre stage and tagging it as an extremely important issue for the governments and all concerned to address.

#### URBANISATION IN INDIA

Though the urbanisation in India (which is 31.16%) is much lesser compared to many other countries (China 45%, Indonesia 44%, Mexico 78%, Brazil 87%) – but is certainly poised to grow. Natural growth of cities, the 'rural flight' exhibit skewed patterns of urbanisation in Indian cities.

Some telling statistics of India's urbanisation pattern

- India's urban population will increase from 377 million in 2011 to 600 million by the year 2031.
- According to the 2011 Census 53 'million +' cities accounted for 43% of India's urban population
- According to the 2011 Census no of towns in India increased from 5161 in 2001 to 7935 in 2011

Some interesting figures would show that the National Capital Territory of Delhi is the most urbanised at 93%, followed by Union Territory of Chandigarh 89.8%, and Pondicherry at 66.6%. Amongst the States it is Tamil Nadu at 43.9%, Maharashtra 42.4% and Gujrat 37.4%; in absolute numbers however it is Maharashtra which leads with 41 million people living in the urban areas, followed by UP with 35 million and Tamil Nadu with 27 million. It is interesting to observe that some of the Hilly States are among the least urbanised – Himachal Pradesh at 9.8%, (Bihar 10.5%), Assam 12.7%, (Orissa 14.9%)

Urbanisation had been considered as a problem in India till recently and the governments at all levels tried to discourage migration. But in recent years the perception has changed.

With cities contributing more than 65 per cent of the GDP in the recent years, the government has begun to look at the urban areas as engines of growth. It has been acknowledged by the High Powered Expert Committee, headed by Dr. Isher Judge Ahluwalia, that 'India's economic growth momentum cannot be sustained if urbanisation is not actively facilitated'

Indian Mountain Initiative | Conference Report : Mountain Cities 2014, January 20, 2014 Mussoorie

Since growth is inevitable, governments need to develop timely policies that turn potential crisis into opportunities.

#### CHALLENGES FACED DUE TO URBANISATION

The Twelfth Five Year Plan Report identified the challenges that cities face due to rapid urbanisation

- Shortage of urban housing 18.78 million
- Insufficient water the 2011 census revealed that only 70.6% urban population is covered by individual water connection (that too for a duration of only 1 to 6 hours) which is far below the figures in other countries - China 91%, S. Africa 86%, Brazil 80%.
- Quality of water
- Sanitation issues specially the issue of Open defecation According to a World Bank report 'Over 600 million people in India or 53 per cent of Indian households defecate in the open, absence of toilet or latrine leading to health issues and malnutrition.

The 2011 census reveals that 13 % of the urban population defecate in the open; Out of the total number of toilets - 37% toilets are connected to open drains and 18% not connected at all.

- Illegal settlements Increase in the number of poor in the cities (migrated for sustenance/outcome of natural disaster). 34.4% between 1993-2004
- Traffic and Transportation in every big city is a problem in a study on Urban Transport by the Ministry of Urban Development, in 2010, based on 87 cities, it is estimated that in about 20 years' time, if no concrete steps are taken, speed of major corridors in many cities would fall from 26-17km/hr to 8-6 km/hr.
- The Air Quality has deteriorated sharply the per capita emission levels in India's 7 largest cities have been estimated to be atleast 3 times than the WHO standards.
- Besides this there are problems like untreated sewage being dumped into rivers thus polluting the water bodies, problem of solid waste management, environmental and health issues and the list can go unendingly.

### MOUNTAIN STATES - CHALLENGES

The problems and challenges for the mountain cities, however, are more serious and diverse and have to be looked in a completely different perspective.

Though size-wise and population-wise the Mountain Cities may be smaller than many others, the peculiar locations at which they are seated, attract special attention, and therefore the development parameters for the 'plain areas' will not be suitable here.

The Himalayan Mountains and the North Eastern Regions in a way regulate a major part of the country's economy as they are the sources of our life supporting rivers.

Considering the fragile nature of the ecology, the difficulty in implementing conventional development initiatives, the vulnerability and the effects of Climate Change - there is a need to reshape national development and other policies and frameworks to recognise and address the particular needs of mountain populations.

• During my little research on the Mountain Cities, it was heartening to see that special attention has been provided to the region and a concerted approach for the region has been consistently taken through the Five Year Plans for the Hill Area Development Program and the Western Ghats Development Program.

It is obvious that it is not enough otherwise we would not be here to deliberate on this important topic.

The Fifth Five Year Plan provided for a *Special Central Assistance* to the designated hill area in order to supplement the efforts of the State Governments to concerted supplement the efforts of the State governments in the development of these ecological fragile areas.

The Sixth Five Year Plan though the emphasis shifted to ecodevelopment, the general tenor of HADP remained substantially the same as that of normal State Plan following the same sectoral approach.

The Seventh Five Year Plan laid particular emphasis on the development of ecology and environment, namely *eco-restoration*, *eco-preservation* and *eco-development*. The aim was to evolve plans and programmes which would *stimulate* socioeconomic growth, development of infrastructure and promotion of ecology of the areas covered by HADP.

During the Eighth Plan, the approach was substantially the same as that in the Seventh Plan with special focus on *involvement of the people and meeting their basic needs* through improved management of their land and water resources. The measures outlined towards this end include

(i) an *energy policy* which would reduce pressure on forests and provide alternate sources of energy,

(ii) *afforestation* of denuded forest land with species which can provide both fuel and fodder,

(iii) provision of *adequate and safe drinking water* by development of gravitational sources of water,

(iv) emphasis on *improvement of health facilities* including infrastructural facilities in primary health institutions,

(v) development of skilled manpower,

(vi) evolving a proper *land use pattern* keeping the socio-economic and ecological parameters in view,

(vii) development of horticulture and plantation crops,

(viii) improvement of livestock,

(ix) development of *industries such as electronics* which do not pollute the atmosphere and lead to high value addition,

(x) development of *network of transport and communication facilities* with emphasis on feeder paths and roads;

(xi) evolution of *appropriate technology and scientific inputs* which would suit local conditions and harness local resources.

In the Ninth Plan, the main objectives of the Programme were *ecopreservation and eco- restoration*.

All development schemes were to be planned within this framework with emphasis on *preservation of bio-diversity and rejuvenation of the hill ecology*. Traditional

practices were to be dovetailed with appropriate technology to serve the needs of the people of these areas.

The Tenth Plan, the multi-sectoral approach continued but with increasing emphasis on *watershed development* and ecological restoration/preservation for the hill areas of Assam and West Bengal.

 I was happy to read that a 'Task Group on Problems of Hilly Habitations' has been constituted for areas covered by the Hill Areas Development Programme (HADP) to study the objectives with which HADP and WGDP were initiated and examine to which extent those objectives have been fulfilled, further to also modify the objectives in the light of current circumstances.

I learn that this Task Group is to examine planning and implementation mechanism and suggest framework for participatory planning as envisaged in the V. Ramachandran Committee Report of the 'Expert Group on Grassroots Level Planning', Study the structure of the local governing bodies in planning, decision making and execution of works, further study the mechanisms of convergence/synergy of policies, programs and funds, viability of flagship programs for the region and recommend revision of guidelines to secure full and better coverage and finally to recommend outlays.

• I also came across a Report "Climate Change and India: a 4x4 Assessment - (A Sectoral and Regional Analysis for 2030s)" published by the Ministry of Environment and forests, which provides an assessment of impact of Climate Change in 2030s on 4 key sectors viz. Agriculture, Water, Natural Ecosystems and Bio-diversity and Health for 4 Climate Sensitive Regions vis. the Himalayan Region, the North Eastern Region, the Western Ghats and the Coastal Area.

In order to have a tangible effect of these studies, programmes and outlays, in achieving the major objective of ecological balance of hill areas, there is a need to integrate all the programmes and schemes both horizontally and vertically and fill in the missing gaps.

#### CLIMATE CHANGE

We have to recognise that, no country in the world is as vulnerable to Climate Change, on so many dimensions, as we in India.

- A coastline of 7000 km is susceptible to sea level rise,
- Rivers emanating from the Himalayan Glaciers are the life support to billions for their life and livelihood,
- almost 70 million hectares of forests are exposed to Climate change on multiple fronts (also to the mining as they are reservoirs of valuable minerals).

While 'strategizing' development for the Mountain region therefore, it is vital, that the science based study should be put as the key filter.

It is an extremely challenging and daunting task!

It would be in the fitness of things that this forum deliberates to pen down such pointers in the deliberations that follow in the day - to my mind to spell out a few

- there is need to do a Mapping of Mountain Regions identify disaster prone and eco sensitive areas, biological and socio-economic livelihood zones and habitable zones
- need to prepare Climate Change Action Plans-whereby sustainable development, adaptation and disaster management issues are addressed
- there is a need to Strengthen Processes of Planning and Management, at State and Local level

#### POVERTY AND VULNERABILITY

We are aware of the fact that climate change, environmental and socio- economic factors have greatest impact on the marginalised and poor, as they have the least adaptive capacity. One of the most important factors which will have to be addressed while strategizing development of Mountain Cities is therefore, Poverty and Vulnerability

Cities are considered engines of economic growth - in the mountain cities however, considering the special geographical constraints and considerations, I strongly feel that an approach of 'integrated planning' needs to be adopted to include rural area in the vicinity as a part and parcel of the mountain cities - as the economies of both are and need to be, strongly supported by each other.

Mountain communities have a high degree of resilience and a rich tradition of practices to avert risks.

Increasing uncertainties,

- inadequate and insecure access to resources, technology and finance,
- a rapidly degrading natural resources, and
- poor integration into value chains and markets

however, severely compromise their capacities to effectively deal with change and take advantage of emerging opportunities to pull themselves to improve their economic conditions.

Addressing poverty is an integral part of sustainable development, and therefore it should be the foremost task of all concerned, to reduce vulnerability and increase socio economic resilience.

I feel these are another set of issues that need to be deliberated by this forum. To my mind, we need to find and suggest, sustainable livelihood options, to be able to enhance the economic conditions and in turn strengthen the resilience of the Mountain people:

On a general note, we need to support or help them, to harness the rich natural and sociocultural diversity, to be able to reap full benefit of their unique environment

- Promote eco-tourism
- Support in promoting niche products and services
- Explore sustainable livelihood options for men and women
- Build strong rural -urban linkages to benefit all, agriculture products, handicrafts
- Innovate income generation strategies
- Finding non-polluting businesses for the new generation
  - animation industry for the IT savvy,
    - handicrafts for those with deft fingers
    - wellness industry for the health conscious
- City branding

Tourism is a key sector for economic development in this region. With the growing number of tourist arrivals, negative environmental impacts have already been observed, especially in terms of waste (biodegradable and non-biodegradable) that is polluting the sacred environment.

Haphazard and uncontrolled tourism development is damaging the ecosystems, and eroding cultural and spiritual values. This would cause irreversible damage to local livelihoods in the long-term.

Efforts need to be taken up to promote "Responsible Tourism". Not only Tour operators should be cast with a duty but Mountain Cities should aim to become 'temples for teachings on Cleanliness, Hygiene and Good Citizenship'.

A special effort by a massive campaign and sometimes strict penal actions would probably help to achieve desired results to keep the Mountain areas pristine and serene.

Agriculture is one of the main occupations of the people in the Mountain area.

Recognising that EXOTIC FRUITS AND VEGETABLES ARE AN ATTRACTION AT HILL STATIONS, efforts need to be taken to further develop the same:

It is encouraging to learn that Local innovation and experiments to cultivate new crops, growing several crops at the same time, and improved methods are making farmers better equipped to face a future with an uncertain climate.

To encourage and build capacities of such farmers we need to take steps to :

- organise Community to Community knowledge or experience exchange
- push the Government to take lead to initiate an interface between community and agricultural experts, to solve problems and guide the farmer community
- Also an interface with Policy makers to understand what kind of critical support community requires from the Government at the National, State and Local level.

On all these counts, whether Climate Change, Livelihood issues or vulnerability and adaptations issues - it is very important to bridge the Information and Knowledge Gap.

It is extremely important that, in the light of the regions vulnerability, the Policy-makers, necessarily take 'informed decisions' - by which I mean they are fully aware of the fragility of the area and its environmental and socio-economic consequences.

#### CHALLENGES FACED BY THE LOCAL GOVERNMENTS

No doubt it is important that the Central and State governments look into the issues of the region and also provide special attention, policies and outlays for the development of the Mountain region, which is an important, ecological sensitive zone, for the country as a whole - it is equally important to address civic issues efficiently and proactively through the local governments.

Uttarakhand disaster showcased clearly how unplanned and haphazard development, resulted in the wash away of buildings, sweeping away thousands of innocent lives.

Local governments have an extremely important role to play in managing the cities and the other smaller settlements. Just as in other cities, what local governments lack today, is the capacity to manage the rampant growth 1) Capacity Building - the pace of addressing issues of towns and cities has not been able to cope up with the speed of urbanisation. One sees therefore that at the local government level we are only fire fighting rather than spending time on long term vision plans and their effective implementation and monitoring.

The administration, elected representatives need to get inputs regarding latest studies on urbanisation trends, latest technological solutions for providing basic civic amenities, good practices and successful urban development models in similar situations etc. City to City Partnerships – within hilly region and other big cities

2) Planning - India's urbanisation effort is being implemented through haphazard and disjointed projects and activities, with inadequate or no planning for the urban area as a whole.

The Master Plan or the Development Plans pertain mostly to land use and athough mandated by legal provisions, do not adequately address the financial or operational strategy for holistic development of the urban area.

- 3) Infrastructure Water Treatment Plants and distribution, Sewerage Treatment Plants, Roads, Solid Waste Management, Urban Transport all form important components of a city.
- 4) Financing for Urban Local Bodies apart from special funds from Central and State Governments tourists object is always to address paucity of funds PPP etc

Tourism Tax, Environment Tax

- 5) Good Governance
  - Local governments are the first interface between people and government
    - Improved service delivery Citizens charter
    - Decentralisation
    - Better information management and transparency
    - People's participation Citizens Committees/ Area sabha to institutionalise participatory development process
    - Improved interaction with people. NGOs, CBOs
    - e-governance
    - forging and strengthening regional partnerships for sustainable mountain development.

I am sure the initiative taken by the Indian Mountain Initiative since the past two years to address issues on urbanisation in the Mountain States will bear fruit.

As they say "Great things are done when men and mountains meet."

### Appendix 4 Presentation of Dr. Akhilesh Mishra

## National Mission for Sustaining The Himalayan Ecosystem: Objectives, Deliverables and Governance

Akhilesh Gupta & Nisha Mendiratta Climate Change Programme, Department of Science & Technology Government of India Email: akhilesh.g@nic.in, nisha67@nic.in

## National Action Plan on Climate Change Eight National Missions

- **National Solar Mission**
- **National Mission for Enhanced Energy Efficiency**
- National Mission on Sustainable Habitat
- National Water Mission
- National Mission for Sustaining the Himalayan Ecosystem
- National Mission for a Green India
- National Mission for Sustainable Agriculture
- National Mission on Strategic Knowledge for Climate Change

## <u>The National Mission for Sustaining</u> the Himalayan Ecosystem (NMSHE)

GOAL: To understand the complex processes affecting the Himalayan Eco- system and evolve suitable management and policy measures for sustaining and safeguarding the Himalayan eco-system.



## **Primary Mission Objectives**

- Building Human and Institutional capacities
- Networking and Strengthening knowledge institutions and to develop a coherent database for Himalayan Ecosystem (geological, hydrological, meteorological, biological and socio cultural issues)
- Detecting and Decoupling natural & anthropogenic causes
- Prediction of future trends on potential impact of CC
- Assessment of socio-economic and ecological consequences
- Traditional knowledge systems for Community Participation
- Developing regional cooperation
- Creating awareness amongst stakeholders in the region and their participation

## Thematic Task Forces and Issues Being Addressed under the Mission

Himalayan Glaciers and The associated Hydrological Consequences (WIHG, NIH)

Biodiversity Conservation and protection (GBPIHED)

(NMSHE) National Mission For Sustaining the Himalayan Eco- system Traditional knowledge Societies and their livelihood (JNU)

Wild life Conservation and protection (WII)

Sustaining Agriculture (ICAR)

# **NMSHE Deliverables**

Establishmen of State of art Institute on glaciology Mainstreaming G-SHE

G-SHE Actions Standardized Database generation &

management

Regional & International cooperation

> Health Status Reporting

Capacity Building & Manpower Development

Traditional Knowledge System & Community Based network

## **Institutional Network Partnerships proposed**

- Academic institutions and universities
- Scientific institutions: Under various ministries
- Technical bodies and agencies: Both State and Central Governments
- Defense and para- military organizations
- Training institutions in mountaineering

- Community based organizations
- Knowledge institutions in the private sector
- Non Governmental Organizations
- Public outreach and awareness organizations
- Governmental and non Governmental Organizations in the Himalayan States

## Proposed Actions to Address Mission's Objectives and Goals

- Continuous Monitoring of Ecosystem / Data Generation
- Establishment of State-of-the-Art institution on Himalayan Glaciology for Research
- Prediction of Socio-Economic and Climate Change Scenarios and Vulnerability Assessment
- Identification of desirable Adaptation and Development Policies for IHR
- Strengthening of Regional Cooperation
  - Implementation of G-SHE guidelines for priority action

## **Possible Roles of Stakeholders in NMSHE**

- MOEF : G-SHE related coordination and implementation in collaboration with State Governments
  - Policy related actions
- MOES : Observational Network,
  - Forecasting / Warning System for Extreme Weather
  - Climate Projections

### Himalayan State Governments:

- G-SHE related actions
- Developing CC Vulnerability & Resilience database
- Coordination with State level R&D institutions for undertaking CC related research
- Synergizing NMSHE related actions with State Action Plan
- Creating awareness amongst users at the State level

## **Climate Change: State Level Response**

- In August 2009, the Prime Minister urged all the states to develop their State Action Plan on Climate Change consistent with the objectives of the NAPCC
- To set the stage for stimulating action on climate change at the state level
- To effectively ensure that national objectives are aligned with regional development priorities and the local environmental context

### **Objectives of SAPCCs**

Lay out sectorspecific and crosssectoral, time bound priority actions for the state



Outlay consequent budgetary requirements



Outline necessary institutional and policy infrastructure including planning processes

### NMSHE linkages with SAPCCs in the Himalayan States

NMSHE	J&K	UK	HP	Skm	Asm	Meg	Man	Nag	Miz	ArP	WB
Secondary Objectives											
Network knowledge institutions in Himalayan Ecosystems	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	NA	NA	•
Develop bio-geo data base for Himalayan Ecosystems	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
Detect & decouple natural & anthropogenic induced signals	•	٠	•	٠	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•
Assessment of the socio- economic and ecological consequences of global environmental change		•	•						•		•

### NMSHE linkages with SAPCCs in the Himalayan States

NMSHE	J&K	UK	HP	Skm	Asm	Meg	Man	Nag	Miz	ArP	WB
Secondary Objectives											
Studying of traditional knowledge systems for community participation in adaptation, mitigation and coping mechanisms		•	•			NA		•	•	•	•
Evaluation of policy alternatives for regional development plans for key sectors	•	•	•	•	•		•	•		•	•
Creation of awareness amongst stakeholders	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
Development of regional cooperation with neighbouring countries	•	•	•	NA	NA	•	•	•	NA	NA	•

## **NMSHE: Progress So Far**

- Mapping of all R&D Institutions in the IHR completed
- A Network of nearly 50 institutions in the region is set upfunding of projects being initiated
- 6 Thematic Task Forces anchored at lead institutions in the region being set up
- State Level CC Cell/Centre being set up/strengthened
- A National Centre for Himalayan Glaciology is being set up in Mussoorie – EFC submitted
- An Indo-Swiss Capacity Building Programme in glaciology and related areas was launched last year. 2 Phases completed
- The EFC on NMSHE approved- the Cabinet Note submitted
- Action on G-SHE component initiated jointly with MoEF

# Issues Concerning Governance for Sustaining Himalayan Ecosystem (G-SHE)

**Governance for Sustaining** Himalayan Ecosystem (G-SHE) Sustainable Urbanization: Solid waste management Town planning norms Pilgrimage in sensitive areas Eco-tourism Water Security: Rejuvenation of Springs Building environmental <u>Awareness</u> Conservation through community involvement Infrastructure Development: Green roads

# <u>G-SHE Actions: Solid</u> <u>Waste Management</u>

Complete ban on use of plastic bags in all hill towns and villages
Discouraging use of bottled water, which adds to toxic plastic litter in hill towns and along trekking routes.
Composting of bio-degradable household

waste and recycling and re-use of other types of waste

### <u>G-SHE Action: Town Planning and Adoption</u> and Enforcement of Architectural Norms

- Amendment of Municipal bye-laws for construction
- Enforcement of National Building Codesetting up of State-level Urban Arts Council
- Compulsory use of solar water heaters, rain water harvesting and appropriate sanitation facilities
- Prohibition of Construction activity in source-catchment areas of cities, including along mountain lakes and other water bodies.

# G-SHE Actions: Promotion of Sustainable Pilgrimage

- Developing a comprehensive inventory of key pilgrimage sites in each State that would include analyses of the ecological capacity of each site, based on its location and fragility.
- Develop a plan to harmonise the inflow of pilgrims with the capacity of the local environment to cater to the needs of pilgrims
- The construction of roads should be prohibited beyond <u>at least</u> 10 kilometres from protected pilgrim sites, thereby creating a much-needed ecological and spiritual buffer zone around these sites.

# G-SHE Actions: Promotion of Sustainable Pilgrimage:

- Each designated pilgrimage site should have a declared buffer zone where development activity will be carefully regulated.
- At all entry points to designated buffer zones, pilgrims will be advised to take back all waste, in particular, non-degradable items.

# G-SHE Actions: Commercial and Adventure Tourism

- Homestead tourism would be promoted in this area and commercial hotel tourism of the 3 to 5 starvariety would be avoided.
- Each state to set up a Homestead Tourism Audit and Certification agency, to promote standardized and quality practices in designated tourism zones.
- Each state to consider the imposition of an entry tax for vehicles entering important hill towns.
- Parking fees for private vehicles in hill markets and hill towns to be raised substantially to discourage heavy traffic

# **G-SHE Actions: Water security**

- Initiate a state-wide programme for rejuvenation of Himalayan springs and protection of high-altitude lakes
- Provide legislative protection for mountain lakes, natural springs and key water sources and prohibit construction activities along these water-bodies.
- Inventorise mountain springs (active and dormant) and also do detailed geological mapping to identify the spring recharge zone.

# G-SHE Actions: Building environmental awareness

Utilize local festivals and fairs for spreading environmental awareness and to link the protection of environment to local cultures and festivals

Central and State governments to jointly organise an annual festival of the Himalayas to celebrate local cultures, which demonstrate ways of sustainable living for resilient societies in harmony with the pristine nature of the Himalayas.

# <u>G-SHE Actions: Green Road</u> <u>Construction</u>

Environmental Impact Assessment to be made mandatory for the construction of all state & national roads and expressways of more than 5 km length Road construction will provide for the treatment of hill slope instabilities resulting from road-cutting, cross drainage works and culverts, using bioengineering and other appropriate technologies Plans for road construction must provide for disposal of debris from construction sites at suitable and identified locations

# <u>G-SHE Actions:</u> <u>Implementation Mechanism</u>

- DST and MOEF to work together with Himalayan State Governments for implementation of G-SHE components
- GBPIHED would be coordinating G-SHE actions from MoEF
- NMSHE has earmarked some funds for G-SHE implementation
- A Coordination mechanism between DST and MOEF is being evolved

**Growing Population** in the Indian Cities (Census 2011) B Cities with population 5 m and above (13 by 2021) 53 cities with population 1 m and above (76 by 2021) 459 Cities/Towns with population more than 1 lakh (~600 by 2021)

# <u>Most Populous Cities in the</u> <u>Himalayan States</u>

City ranking in terms of population	Major Cities in Himalayan States	Population as per 2011 Census
30	<u>Srinagar</u>	1,192,792
46	<u>Guwahati</u>	963,429
74	<u>Dehradun</u>	578,420
88	<u>Jammu</u>	503,690
147	<u>Aizawl</u>	291,822
160	<u>Imphal</u>	264,986

# **Uttarakhand Disaster, June 2013**
## **Possible Causes of Uttarakhand** (Kedarnath) Deluge June 2013

- An unconfirmed <u>cloudburst</u> event,
  - Glacier and moraine outbursts,
  - Steep slopes associated with the terrain,
- Sudden gushing of water and debris into the valley regions,
- Flooding of rivers on account of incessant rain,
  - Exceeding of carrying capacity of rivers,
  - Major landslides,
- Panic reactions of people

#### High Resolution Satellite Imagery of Uttarakhand Disaster

Flow from Glacier

(1) Blocked basin created by Moraine-a pool of water was built up

(4) Main Channel of Flow

(2) overtopping of the moraine barrier- resulting in release of impounded water

(3) Trifurcation of Flow

(5) Kedarnath Town struck by flow from 2 channels

Kedarnath Town



(edamath

#### Multi-layered Data Base Management and Modeling



#### **Integrated Disaster Management Concept**



## Disaster Management System Required for IHR

Observations: Close Network of Doppler Weather Radars, Dense Network of Automatic Weather Stations and High Resolution Satellite Imageries

Forecast: Running of High Resolution Weather Forecast Models on the Super Computing System

Application:

- Linking forecast with Disaster Management and Decision Support System
- Deployment of Integrated Disaster management
   System
- Dissemination: Real Time dissemination to Public and Disaster managers

# Thank You !

#### Appendix 5 Presentation of PWC by Mr. Nidish Nair

www.pwc.com

### Benchmarking Hill Cities

20<sup>th</sup> January, 2013





#### Content

#### Introduction

Objective, approach and limitations

#### Hill cities comparison / benchmarks

Demographics, Urban Planning mechanism, Environment friendly initiatives, Disaster management readiness, Quality of basic services and service level

JNNURM program and hill cities, Governance and IT reforms

#### Heat Map for Hill cities

**Discussion points** 

## Introduction

PricewaterhouseCoopers Ltd

January 20, 2014 Slide 3

#### **Objectives of benchmarking of hill cities**

Hill cities have unique challenges; hence require different service benchmarks



Slide 4

#### Approach and methodology of the study

Criteria for the selection of cities

 Size, significance (capital cities/ economic importance/touri st centres, etc.) and regional balance (North, eastern etc.)

#### Cities selected

- Gangtok,
- Shillong,
- Nainital,
- Aizwal,
- Mussoorie
- Shimla

#### Indicators

- Urban planning mechanisms in place
- Environment friendliness
- Disaster Mgmt. preparedness,
- Quality of basic services,
- Energy management initiatives,
- Financial sustainability
- e-governance initiatives

Output A comparative analysis of hill city parameters

**Limitations:** Majority data is self reporting by cities; Secondary data sources include JnNURM website, Census data, SLB of MoUD, project reports; Limited time frame for the study; Data gaps for several indicators

PricewaterhouseCoopers Ltd

## Hill cities comparison

## Demographic profile

Devenetors		Cities								
Farameters	Gangtok	Nainital	Aizwal	Shimla	Shillong	Mussoorie				
Area (Sqkm)	19.28	11.73	129.91	35.54	10.36	67.00				
Population in million (2011)	0.10	0.047	0.291	0.171	0.143	0.033				
Growth Rate (Decadal) (%)	242	27	28	17	08	NA				
Population Density (P/Sqkm)	5202	4068	2246	4834	13804	449.52				
Gender Ratio (Female/1000 Male)	889	894	1029	915	1006	NA				
Literacy Rate (%)	82.17	84	98.8	94.55	91.5	NA				
Slum Population (%)	23.51	21	26.48	6.1	10	NA				

# Urban Planning readiness – what plans are in place?

Denometers	Cities						
Parameters	Gangtok	Nainital	Aizwal	Shimla	Shillong	Mussoorie	
Transportation Plan (TP)	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	
City Sanitation Plan (CSP)	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	
Climate Resilient Plan (CRP)	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	NA	
Solar City Plan (SCP)	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	
Laws & regulations governing natural reserves and green space	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	

# Urban Planning Tools – Applicability of landuse benchmarks?



#### **City Initiatives**

Nainital: Building Bye Laws Supporting Natural Resource Conservation through development of 'Sewerage and drainage lines up to discharge point and water supply lines' Mussoorie: New Constructions of residential houses/ hotels needs supreme court permission in Mussourie, monitory.

### **Environmental Sensitivity Readiness**

Daramata	Benchmark		Cities						
rs		Gangtok	Nainita l	Aizwal	Shimla	Shillon g	Mussoorie		
Pollution	Control Mechanis	sm							
Air	Air Pollution Monitoring Mechanism	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		
Water	Water Pollution Monitoring Mechanism	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		
Noise	Noise Pollution Monitoring Mechanism	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		
Other (bio medical & hazardous waste, MSW etc.)			Yes	No	Yes	Yes			

### **Environment Sensitivity Readiness**

	Benchmark		Cities						
Parameters		Gangto k	Nainita l	Aizwal	Shimla	Shillon g	Mussoori e		
Environment Preservation/Sustainability									
Ban on Plastics	Required to have Ban on Plastics	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes		
Control on Vehicular Movement	Policies or Regulation for control on Vehicle Movement	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		
Promotion of Non- Motorised Transport	To promote Non- Motorized Transport	No	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes		
Environment related reforms	Environment related reform should be 100% achieved	Yes*	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			

\*They are not 100% achieved because the building plan approval process is not in place with compliance with available building by laws.

### Disaster mgmt. preparedness

	Benchmar k	Cities							
Parameters		Gangtok	Nainital	Aizwal	Shiml a	Shillon g	Mussoo rie		
City Level Disaster Management Plan(CDMP)	City Level Disaster Management Plan	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes		
Disaster Preparedness Mechanism	Advisable	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		
City Level Institutional Mechanism	Advisable	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		
Community Awareness Program	Advisable	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		

### Quality of Basic Services – Water and Sewerage

	Benchmark	Cities						
Parameters		Gangtok	Nainital	Aizwal	Shiml a	Shillon g	Mussoori e	
Water supply								
Quality of Water sources	Good	Good	Good	Good	Good	NA	Good	
Recovery of O&M Cost through user Charge Collection	Yes	Yes	NA	Yes (15%)	Yes	Yes (90%)	Yes (80%)	
Sewerage								
Existence of Sewerage System	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	

#### Service Benchmark - Water





# Quality of Basic Services – Drainage and SWM

	Benchmar k	Cities						
Parameters		Gangto k	Nainital	Aizwal	Shiml a	Shillong	Mussoo rie	
Drainage								
Projects Planned under various schemes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
SWM								
Waste Treatment mechanism in place	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	
NGO/CBO Participation in Waste Management	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	

#### Service Benchmark - SWM





### **Quality of Basic Services – Public transport**

	Benchmar k	Cities						
Parameters		Gangto k	Nainita l	Aizwal	Shimla	Shillon g	Mussoori e	
Urban Transport								
Availability of Public Transport	Advisable to have Public Transport	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	
Intermediate Public Transport (Organized/Un- organized)	Organised	Un- Organise d	Organised		Un- Organise d	Un- Organise d	Unorganise d	
Level of Congestion (High/Medium/ Low)	High/Mediu m/Low	High	High	High	High	High	High	
Policies for Traffic Congestion	Yes			Yes	Yes	No	Yes	
Designated Parking Spaces	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	

Ongoing initiatives -Municipal reforms and infrastructure projects

#### What initiatives are underway - Municipal Reforms

Municipal Reforms	E- Governance set-up	Shift to Accrual based Double Entry Accounting	Property Tax(85% Coverage & 90% Collection Efficiency)	100% cost recovery (Water Supply & SWM)	Internal Earmarking of Funds for Services to Urban Poor	Provision of Basic Services to Urban Poor	
Gangtok							Meeting
Nainital							targets
Aizwal							Slow progress
Shimla							Not
Shillong							satisfactory



JnNURM provides funding support to States, based on the State Comprehensive CB Plans and all the ULBs are covered under the CB plan

Plans submitted by 4 States, so far **Rs 127 Cr** 

#### What initiatives are underway – Basic infrastructure development under JNNURM

- 85% of the scheme funds has been allocated for the implementation of projects
- Over 40 projects worth Rs. 2000 Cr sanctioned for Hill States, > 50% progress



## Conclusion

PricewaterhouseCoopers Ltd

January 20, 2014 Slide 21

#### **Conclusion - Heat Map of Cities**

Cities	Urban Planning	Environment Sensitivity	Disaster mgmt plans	Water Supply	SWM	Urban Transport	Municipal Reforms
Gangtok							
Nainital							
Aizwal							
Shimla							
Shillong							
Mussoorie							

- Special service level benchmarks for Hill cities, covering environment, disaster mgmt, land use planning....
- Positives sensitivity towards environment, disaster management
- Improvements needed Basic Service quality, Service level reforms
- Sectors needing urgent attention Urban planning, public transport, solid waste management

## Thank you

#### Points for consideration

Special service level benchmarks for hill cities, incorporating

- Disaster mgmt., environmental factors, revised water norms.....
- Land use planning norms

Text goes here

- and here
- and here

#### Text goes here

- and here
- and here



Service Level Benchmark for Hill Cities

Conclusion text goes here

Conclusion text goes here

#### Discussion points (based on data generated from cities)

- Applicability of SLB to hill cities
- Areas doing good:
  - Mechanisms to prevent disaster management and environment protection are the areas showing good performance
  - In all the cities vvarious urban planning mechanisms are in place
  - There is scope of land use planning in all the cities
- Areas that require improvements:
  - Environment friendly urban transport system, SWM AND SEWAGE are the major sectors need attention
  - In all the cities, the level of unaccounted water is very high
  - Except Shimla & Mussoorie, other cities does not have any waste treatment mechanisms . This will leads to environmental degradation
  - None of the cities have achieved 100% collection efficiency in SWM
  - Efforts have to be taken to improve house to house collection
  - Reform implementation is very slow : especially in NE states

#### Final analysis

City	Achievements	<b>Attention required</b>
Shimla	<ul> <li>Various urban planning mechanisms are in place,</li> <li>Efforts have been taken by the city to reduce traffic congestion through controlled vehicular movement at designated stretch.</li> <li>City DMP is in place</li> <li>100% achievement in implementing environmental related reforms</li> <li>Pollution control mechanisms are in place</li> </ul>	Despite being controlled vehicular movement, the city has high level of congestion and there is lack of unorganised intermediate public transport
Mussoorie	<ul> <li>Pollution control mechanisms are in place</li> <li>80% Recovery of O&amp;M Cost through user Charge Collection</li> <li>SWM collection efficiency is good;75% and door to door coaction is 50%, which needs attention.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Though disaster management related mechanisms are in place, there is no CRP and SCP in place; also climate resilient plan is not in place</li> <li>Should focus on the implementation of environment related reforms</li> <li>Absence of sewage treatment mechanisms is another area needs to be looked into.</li> <li>Issues in urban transport such as availability of public transport, intermediate public transport system, and level of traffic congestion has to be addressed in a systematic way.</li> <li>Coverage of piped water supply, &amp; metering are far below the benchmark</li> </ul>
Pricewaterhous	eCoopers Ltd	Slide 26

#### Final conclusion

City	Positive aspects	Negative aspects
Shillong	<ul> <li>High population density with low growth rate , higher gender ratio, &amp; highly literate</li> <li>The city has scope for expansions in land use</li> <li>Pollution control mechanisms and Disaster management mechanisms are in place</li> <li>Piped water coverage is very good;90% &amp; metering is 100%</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>•TP &amp; CSP are not in place</li> <li>•Greater emphasis is required in Urban</li> <li>Transport sector as the availability of</li> <li>public transport &amp; intermediate public</li> <li>transport are not in place and level of</li> <li>traffic congestion is high</li> <li>•SWM collection efficiency is not up to the</li> <li>mark: 36%</li> <li>•Only three e governance modules are</li> <li>developed</li> <li>•Waste treatment mechanism and sewage</li> <li>treatment are the areas needs attention.</li> </ul>
Nainital	<ul> <li>Scope for expansion in land use patterns</li> <li>Pollution control mechanisms are not up to the mark</li> <li>Have taken very good initiatives environmental protection</li> <li>Coverage f piped water is good:85% &amp; SWM collection efficiency is satisfactory:60%</li> <li>Services availability through governance is good: 8 out of 9 modules are developed</li> </ul>	•CSP, CRP and SCP are not in place •No waste treatment mechanism in place •Greater emphasis is required in Urban Transport sector as there is non availability of public transport, level of traffic congestion is high and no designated parking spaces in the city
		January 20, 2014

Final conclusion		
City	Positive aspects	Negative aspects
Aizwal	<ul> <li>Impressive gender ration</li> <li>Scope for expansion in land use of various categories</li> <li>Pollution control mechanism and environment preservation mechanisms are in place except that the city has not banned the use of plastics</li> <li>Disaster management mechanisms are good except that the city has not prepared DMP</li> <li>Urban transport system is satisfactory, however the level of congestion</li> <li>SWM collection efficiency is goof:70% and door to door collection is 80.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Sewage treatment and SWM treatment mechanism are not in place</li> <li>Piped water supply coverage is only 63%</li> <li>Service delivery through e –governance is NIL</li> <li>Planning mechanisms are above average as TC and CSP are not in place</li> </ul>
<b>Gangtok</b> Pricewater	<ul> <li>Pollution control mechanism are in place</li> <li>Environment preservation mechanism are average</li> <li>Piped water coverage is good:80%</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>CSP and SCP are not in place</li> <li>Gangtok has reached upper limit of residential land use, crossed the upper limit for transport &amp; communication and about to reach commercial land use.</li> <li>CDMP is not in place. Similarly, city level institutional mechanism for disaster management are also not in place</li> <li>Waste treatment mechanism are also not in place</li> <li>Urban transport mechanisms need attention</li> <li>SWM sector also needs attention: collection afficiency and door to door collection is ble 28</li> </ul>

#### Appendix 6 Presentation of ArchI by Mr. Anne Fenstra
MOUNTAIN CITIES CASE STUDY





INDIAN MOUNTAIN INITIATIVE **RISE IN POPULATION OVER THE YEARS** 

#### FLUCTUATING POPULATION INFLUENCES MANAGEMENT





Witten is PUMPED 11 100-500m

WASTE GENERATED



LAND USE







## **CASE STUDIES**

### HOME TO A NEWLY-BORN RIVER NAMED THE GAD GANGA

- Soil and water conservation efforts
- reduced the rate of rainwater runs off on the slopes
- increases infiltration
- Increases soil moisture
- Helps springs recharge

### **ENGINEERING MEASURES**

- Trenches(15-30cm deep and 1-20m long) dug alon the contours
- Mud-and –stone walls (1m high and 10m long)
- 150 pits dug for plantation and infiltration

SLOPE	HEIGHT	TRENCHES Per Hectare	VOLUME Of Trench	STORAGE OF WATER PER HECTARE	SEC	TION ( Pit	OF THE
%	m	NO.	Cum.	cum.	m	m	m
< 20	20	83	1.7	142	2.5	1.5	0.6
20 - 30	18	111	0.9	100	2	1	0.6
30 - 40	14	143	0.6	86	2	0.6	0.6
40 - 50	11	152	0.5	76	1.5	0.6	0.6
50 - 60	8	208	0.35	73	1	0.6	0.6
> 60	Recomme nded for stable slopes						

#### JAL TALAIS (WATER PITS)



#### PLANTATION AROUND TALAIS



#### WATER TRENCHES





WATER STORED IN DAMS

**REJUVINATED STREAM** 

## INDUCED

- Reduced Peakflow
- Increased Time of concentration
- Increased Infiltration
- Increased Base Flow
- Reduced Soil Erosion

#### ACHIEVED

- Higher Plant Survival Rate
- Increased Fodder Availability
- Drinking Water Security
- Increased Life of Downstream Storage
- Structures



## MUNICIPAL WASTE WATER AFTER WATER TREATMENT

SR.N			NOT			
0	EXPENDITURE	HIGH	MEDIUM	LOW	REQUIRED	
1.	PRELIMINARY Expenditure		~			
2.	LAND AND Rehabilitation			$\checkmark$		
3.	CIVIL WORKS	$\checkmark$				
4.	BUILDINGS	$\checkmark$				
5.	ROADS AND Communication				$\checkmark$	
6.	CONSTRUCTION SUPERVISION AND ESTABLISHMENT		~			
7.	MAINTANENCE DURING CONSTRUCTION			~		
8.	PLANT AND Equipment	$\checkmark$				
9.	ECOLOGY AND Environment				$\checkmark$	
10.	MISCELLANEOUS Works		•			
HIGH	I - >Rs 10000	Rs.	10000 >	MEDIUM	> Rs.5000	

< 5000

## **COST ESTIMATION**

COST OF CIVIL STRUCTURE ( PENSTOCK )	Rs 5000
POWER HOUSE	Rs 35000
TOTAL	40000
INSTALLED Capacity	2 x 5hp = 7.46 kW
COST PER WATT	Rs 5.3
OPERATION COST	1% OF COST
MAINTANANCE Cost	0.5 % OF CIVIL WORK
	2 % OF HYDRO MECHANICAL, ELECTRICAL COST
ANNUAL Depreciation Charges	2 % OF TOTAL COST
INTEREST RATE	10 % FROM BANK
SELLING PRICE	Rs. 300 PER UNIT



#### **ESCALATOR**



#### **TRANSPORT LAYOUT**



#### **MEDELLIN CITY**

EXTENSION	380.64 Km2
POPULATION Census ( 2005 )	2,223,078
ALTITUDE	1479 m.s.l.
ANNUAL TEMPERATURE	18 - 24 C

#### **TRANSPORT LAYOUT**





## INITIATIVE

- Public transport initiative
- Reduces crime, poverty
- Connecting poorest
   neighbors
- Travel on steep slopes
- 3,20,000 SQM green space

#### **ESCALATORS**





SYSTEM DE	TAIL – ESCALATOR
NEIGHBOURHOO D	COMUNA TRECE
NETWORK LENGHT	385 M
HIGHEST Elevation	28 STOREY HIGH
PROJECT COST (1.8KM)	412 MILLION INR
TRAVEL TIME ( BEFORE )	30 MIN WALK
TRAVEL TIME ( AFTER )	7 MINS
CAPACITY	12000
CABLE CAR	
These !!	

## SYSTEM DETAIL – CABLE CAR

CHARACTER	ELEVATED	
ELECTRIFICATION	ELECTRIC MOTOR CABLE	Powering Bull Wheel
OPERATING Speed	16 KM/H	
HIGHEST Elevation	399 M (1,309 FT)	
PROJECT COST (1.8KM)	1600 MILLION INR	
METROCABLE 1 ( 2003 )	2 KM ,7 MINS	
LINE K		
METROCABLE 1 ( 2007 )	2.7 KM ,11 MINS	
LINE J		
METROCABLE 1 ( 2009 )	4 KM ,15 MINS	
LINE L		
ROUTES	3	
TICKET FARE	Rs 30	
REDUCED CO2	18,932	

### INITIATIVE

I I II

2 🗷 👪

- Reduce heavy waste transport to 60%
- **1999** Mobile Vacuum System, 2400 dwellings ( non recyclable, organic waste )
- **2005** 1st phase, underground waste transport system (non recyclable, organic waste, paper)
  - waste room (not includec system, E waste )

STREET SECTION AT STOCKHOLM

#### SEPERATION



## **ENGINEERING MEASURES**

#### **STAGE ONE**

- Deposits waste into Inlet
- Stored temporarily
- Inlets emptied, regular intervals
- Automatic emptying

#### **STAGE TWO**

- Sensored system to empty
- Fans build vacuum in pipe
- First, transport air enters the pipe system
- Second storage valves beneath the inlets are opened
- Waste bags fall sucked away

#### **STAGE THREE**

- Collection station
- Separated through a cyclone separator
- Separated from transport air
- Compressed
- Transport air released clean

#### **STAGE FOUR**

- Collection separated
- For disposal or re-processing

#### COMPACTOR



#### **CONTROL ROOM**





**SERIES OF FAN** 



#### **CONTAINER FOR FOOD WASTE**



### FOR RESIDENTS AND THE LOCAL

- Accessibility and convenience
- Local environment is improved
- Traffic movements are reduced
- Vehicle related CO2 emissions reduced General greenhouse gas emissions lower





#### FOR THE LOCAL AUTHORITY

- No contingency
   planning required
- Minimal health & safety
- manual handling Recycling rates improved
- No Contamination
- Food waste
   recycling rates
   improved

## FOR THE DEVELOPER

- Additional space is made available
- Improves cityscape
- No on-going costs

### WIDER ENVIRONMENTAL / SOCIAL CONTEXT

- Recycling increases
- Reduction in methane emissions
- Incentive schemes can be easily
- Future developments (heating scheme and energy production)
- Accessibility and convenience
- Traffic movements are reduced
- Vehicle related CO2 emissions

- Higher investment costs for suction systems
- Lower operating costs
- Lower costs per year dwelling for stationary suction systems

PRELIMINARY CALCULATION ( 53 SERVICE PLACES 3 FRACTIONS 2095 APARTMENTS )	INVESTMENT COLLECTION SYSTEM	OPERATING COSTS, Collection System	TOTAL OPERATING AND CAPITAL COST ( 6% COST OF CAPITAL )
MANUAL WASTE HANDLING	250 MILLION	25 MILLION	41 MILLION
PNEUMATIC SYSTEM	400 MILLION	7.5 MILLION	36 MILLION
% DIFF	+ 40 %	- 70 %	- 12 %



#### **DOCKING POINTS**



WASTE DATA	
NO. OF DWELLINS	2095
WASTE AMOUNT	TON/YEAR
COMBUSTIBLE WASTE	471
PAPER WASTE	175
ORGANIC WASTE	218
DRIVING DISTANCES	КМ
DRIVING UP DISTANCE	4
TO TREATMENT PLANT	8
RETURN DISTANCE	4

### INITIATIVE

- Informal recycling economy
- Dry waste separated for recycling
- Organic waste, heaviest waste
- Treated close to source, avoids transportation
- Through composting pits and biogas
- Residents bring waste to collection points (78%)
- House-to-house collection system (22 %)
- Workers earn income
- Receive fee for collecting, sorting and managing compost (biogas plant)
- Resulting biogas is methane 85 %

#### **STREE MUKTI SANGATHAN (SMS) - NGO**

Parisar bhaginis," or "neighborhood sisters," teaching them the principles of zero waste

#### **BHAGINIS**



SYSTEM				
	SITES	WORKERS	WET WASTE (KG)	DRY WASTE (KG)
COMPOSTING	27	57	1714	418
CLEANING	26	42		318
DRY WASTE COLLECTION	70	282		14212
HOSPITALS	19	35		1670
<b>BIOGAS PLANTS</b>	8	13	7055	39
TOTAL	150	429	8769	16657

**BIO GAS** 



#### WASTE SEPERATION





#### **COST ESTIMATION**

ANNUAL CONTRACTS RECEIVED	RS 100,000 – RS 2,00,000
COST FOR TRANSPORT PER TON	RS 600
COST FOR DISPOSAL	RS 500
AMOUNT SAVED	RS 3,69,360
QUANTITY GENERATED	55,000 LPG 10,000 kg ORGANIC COMPOST

#### CAPACITY COMPARISON OF DIFFERENT BIOGAS PLANTS

CAPACITY	INSTALLATION COST ( US \$ )	OPERATING COST/YEAR ( US \$ )	DAILY WATER ( RECYCLED )	DAILY WORKERS	DAILY BIOGAS (AS COMPARED TO LPG CYLYNDER, WHICH RUNS UPTO 45 – 60 DAYS )	DAILY FERTILIZERS ( TONS/DAY )	SPACE REQUIRED ( m2 )
500 kg	22,000	2,000	1 kl ( 500 l )	1 1 Supervisor	0.75 - 1	0.004 - 0.05	50
1 ton	30,000	3,000	1.5 kl (1 kl )	2 1 SUPERVISOR	1.5 - 2	0.08 – 0.1	80
2 tons	44,000	5,000	3 kl ( 2 kl )	3 1 SUPERVISOR	3 - 4	0.16 – 0.2	150
5 tons	1,00,000	8,000	6 kl ( 5 kl )	4 1 SUPERVISOR	8 - 10	0.4 - 0.5	300

#### **PARISAR VIKAS WASTE MANAGEMENT PROCESS**



#### **TECHNICAL DETAILS**

MICRO HYDRO PROJECT	1300 MSL ELEVATION
GROSS HEAD	3 - 30 m
MEASURED FLOW AND DATE	0.204 m3/s ( may 2010 )
LEAST FLOW	0,20134 m3/s
DESIGN FLOOD LEVEL	0.6 m ABOVE NORMAL WATER LEVEL

	WATER LEVEL	0.8 m
	DESIGN DISCHARGE	0.154 m3/s
	RIVER SOURCE	LAMAYA KHOLA ( PERENNIAL) RIVER )
	ROTATIONAL VELOCITY	120 TO 300 RPM
	POWER	25000 W / 25 kW
	HOUSEHOLDS SERVED	259
	LENGTH OF HEADRACE CANAL	1071 m ( STONE MASONRY WITH CEMENT MORTAR )
total	GENERATOR	50 kVA, 3 PHASE, SYNCHRONOUS, BRUSHLESS
	LOAD CONTROLLER	ELEC 25 kW SHAFT OUTPUT
	PENSTOCK TYPE AND LENGHT	MILDSTEEL TYPE, 66 m
	TYPE OF TURBINE	PELOTON 38 kW SHAFT OUTPUT
	POWERHOUSE DIMENSIONS	5 m X 4 m X 2.7 m

fore-bay Penstock mild steel pipe: 0.28m internal diameter with length 70 m powerhouse 30 m head SCHEMATIC PROCESS FLOW DIAGRAM



#### UNA DISTRICT, BLOCK AMB , HIMACHAL PRADESH

WATER SOURCE	KARLUHI
WATER LIFT	26 m
INTAKE TANK	8000 LITRES
DELIVERY TANK	2.88 HEACTARES
AREA COVERED	2.88 HECTARE
COST	1.96 LAKHS
YIELD	40 TO 100 KGS



#### **HYDRAM SYSTEM**



DELIVERY TANK

California Cal

ENERGY UTILIZATION EFFICIENCY	35 %	
WATER LIFT	30 TIMES WATER HEAD	
ENERGY UTILIZATION EFFICIENCY	98 %	
WATER LIFT	4 – 10 TIMES WATER HEAD	
LOW MAINTENANCE Costs	ADVANTAGES	
LOW TECHNICAL Expertise		
COST COMPARISON		
GRAVITY SYSTEM	20 % MORE	
ELECTRIC SYSTEM	35 % MORE	
DIESEL SYSTEM	45 % MORE	



" A funicular or inclined plane or cliff railway, is a cable railway in which a cable attached to a pair of tram-like vehicles on rails moves them up and down a steep slope; the ascending and descending vehicles counterbalance each other "





START	1992
LENGTH	53 m
GAUGE	1.6 m
DIFFERENCE OF LEVEL	30 m
SLOPE GRADIENT	67.5 %
SPEED	0.7 m/s
CABLES	2 + 1
NUMBER OF CARS	2
WATER TANK	1600 L
CAPACITY	17 PER CAR

#### ENGINEERING MEASURES

- Run off water stored in reservoir
- Water pipes operated by hydraulic jack
- Upper car should be heavier than bottom car
- Water added to the tank of upper car till car begins to move, 1600 litre
- Automatic filling and movement based on computers
- Based on pull of the cable on the winding drum
- Water released when car arrives at bottom
- Water from bottom reservoir put to top reservoir by hydraulic pump
- Water resued





The Lifts need absolutely no power to operate , water is its motive power. This is not damaged or polluted in any way, just used as ballast and dropped on the beach at Lynmouth about 100 metres away from the river, from which it was taken. The lifts themselves do not create any emissions, their carbon footprint today has not significantly changed since the lift opened, it is probably one of the most environmentally friendly tourist attractions in the country and has been for well over a hundred and twenty years.



- **1. WATER DISCHARGE PEDAL**
- 2. FOOT BRAKE PEDAL
- 3. GOVERNOR CONTROLLING FOOT BRAKE
- 4. BRAKE ACCUMULATOR TANK
- **5. ACCUMULATOR**
- 6. FOOT BRAKE PUMP
- 7. FOOT BRAKE
- 8. MAIN BRAKE ASSEMBLY

## **SCIENTIFIC CLOSURE PLAN**

- Relocation and reformation of existing waste to a 1:3 slope
- Laying construction and demolition waste ans compaction
- Laying vegetation layer
- Laying 300mm top soil
- Laying geo composite layer
- Laying 1.5mm geo membrane layer
- Laying 200 gsm and 400 sm geotextile
- Laying 300 mm drainage layer
- Installing landfill gas recovery system methane
- Methane power generation of 2mw
- Installing leachate collection system further sent to sewage treatment plant
- Chanelling storm water
- Construction of landscape and roads for recreation



START	1992
POPULATION	12 MILLION
MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE (MSW)	6500 TONN PER DAY
CONSTRUCTION AND DEMOLITION (C&D) WASTE	2400 TONS PER DAY AT GORAI
WASTE DUMP	2.34 MILLION TONS
DUMP HEIGHT	26 m

MUMBAI SPREAD OVER AN AREA OF 437.71 SQ KM, PROXIMITY TO SEA COAST WITH HIGH HUMIDITY LEVELS, AND TIDAL INUNDATION. CREEK WATERS POLLUTED DUE TO INFLOW OF LEACHATE HARMING THE MANGROVES IN THE VICINITY



## **SYSTEMS**

**W**ASTE SYSTEM

## **ENERGY SYSTEMS**

## TRANSPORT SYSTEM



## **VISIONS**



## Appendix 7 Presentation by Ms. Anjali Pancholy, MOUD, GOI

# **Development of Mountain Cities**

## **Perspectives for Urban Development in India**

Anjali Pancholy Associate Town & Country Planner Town & Country Planning Organization Ministry of Urban Development, Govt of India

20.01.2014



## **Definition of Mountain Cities in India**

- No clear cut classification
- Known as Hill Stations in popular perception
- Planning Commission defined hill area as those with elevation of 600 m and above, later in 1985, any area with slope of 30% and above was classified as hilly.
- Hill Area Development Programme uses the 981 definition.
- Therefore Cities in these states can broadly be called mountain cities.
- There is a need for slope criteria besides the altitude criteria.

## **Studies of Mountain Cities in India**

- There are a number studies/ theses reports etc. on individual mountain cities/ towns
- ITPI two conferences dealing with hill areas 1994 (Coimbatore) and 2003 (Shimla)
- UDPFI Guidelines make some statements about planning in Hill Areas
- However no comprehensive literature exist for identifying the problems, issues and planning requirements of mountain cities

## **Classification of Mountain Cities in India**

#### **Functional Classification**

- Pilgrimage Cities Haridwar, Rishikesh, Badrinath, Kedarnath
- Tourist Resorts Manali, Mahabaleshwar
- Administrative Capitals Shimla, Shillong, Kohima
- Market and Trading Centre, Industrial Centres Solan, Mandi, Almora
- Plantation Cities Ooty, Darjeeling
- Educational and Health Centres Nainital, Shimla, Darjeeling
- Military Cantonments

### Classification on the basis of site and physiography

- Cities along spurs and ridges Shimla, Almora
- Cities in valleys Dehradun, Kathmandu

### **Classification based on history**

- Pre-British Traditional Towns and Capitals of Kingdoms Chamba
- Cities founded by the British Hill Stations like Shimla, Dalhousie
- Post Independence Cities New Tehri



## **Historical Aspects of Mountain Cities in India**

- Mountain Cities originally associated with religion and mysticism
- Colonisation and their so-called discovery by the British led to establish of hospitals and educational institutions first, followed by administrative institutions

   Shimla is an ideal example.
- Some mountain cities started off as collection and trading centres for agricultural, horticultural or plantation produce of the surrounding areas
- Tourism has been an important driver of the development of mountain cities
- In recent times, the formation/ grant of statehood to the North-East States has provided fillip to the growth of the North-East Mountain Cities as State Capitals
- Large projects and associated displacement, such as Tehri Dam, have also led to formation of new cities





## **Constraints in Development of Mountain Cities**

- Basically, mountain cities have been slow to develop due to
  - Site constraints and lack of buildable land
  - Accessibility constraints and difficulty of transporting people and goods
- Mountains are also more vulnerable to natural disasters. The Himalayas are highly prone to earthquakes, landslides and flash floods.
- Most mountainous regions also repositories of biodiversity and ecologically fragile
- Rampant city development could have disastrous consequences if unplanned and unchecked.
- But in recent decades, rapid and unbridled urbanisation has made settlements even more vulnerable to natural & man-made disasters. According to a statistic, the Himalayas have the highest density of population as compared to any other mountain region in the world.
- Ref: Uttarakhand cloudburst 2013 and Ladakh disaster 2010; devastating earthquakes have occurred with surprising regularity in the Himalayas
- It is the poor who are left most vulnerable. Almost 70% of the 210 million people who live in the greater Himalayan region live in poverty.

## **Problems of Urban Development in Mountain Cities**

- Other problems plague the development of mountain cities, such as:
- High population growth and urban sprawl in-migration from surrounding areas
- Traffic and transportation problems
- Scarcity of water and difficulty of providing water supply, iron contamination of water
- Solid waste management problems dumping on hillsides
- Waste water disposal
- The high degree of informality is apparent in everything from basic services to tourism infrastructure
- Other not-so-apparent problems: loss of green cover and bio-diversity, concretization of slopes and natural drainage, occupation of marginal land
- Despite its reputation as the "water tower of Asia", most of the Himalayas' high-altitude cities already struggle with water shortages in the dry season. Climate change and shifting rain patterns have compounded new population pressures. This not only affects drinking supply, but also has impacts in areas such as hydropower.


## Demographics

City/Town	2001					Decadal			
	State	Civic Status	Population	State Urban Population	Share of State Populatio n (%)	Population (provisional)	State Urban Population	Share of State Population (%)	Growth Rate
Srinagar	Jammu & Kashmir	UA	971357	2516638	39%	1192792	3433242	35%	23%
Shimla	Himachal Pradesh	UA	144578	595581	24%	169758	688552	25%	17%
Dehradun	Uttarakhand	UA	527859	2179074	24%	578420	3049338	19%	10%
Gangtok	Sikkim	NTA	29162	59870	49%	98658	153578	64%	238%
Guwahati	Assam	UA	814575	3439240	24%	968549	4398542	22%	19%
Shillong	Meghalaya	UA	267881	454111	59%	354325	595450	60%	32%
Itanagar	Arunachal Pradesh	СТ	34970	227881	15%	59490	317369	19%	70%
Aizawl	Mizoram	NT	229714	441006	52%	291822	571771	51%	27%
Agartala	Tripura	MCI	189327	545750	35%	399688	961453	42%	111%
Kohima	Nagaland	тс	78584	342787	23%	n.a.	570966	n.a.	n.a.
Imphal	Manipur	UA	245967	575968	43%	414288	834154	50%	68%

Source: Census of India 2001 and 2011 (provisional)

## **Government of India Initiatives -**

- Hill Area Development Programme
- North East Development Programme
- Western Ghats Development Programme

There is also the United Nations' International Partnership for Sustainable Development in Mountain Regions



## **Two Important Factors**

to remember while planning for Himalayan Cities

- the vulnerability of the region and
- the important role the mountain range plays in regulating global climate



## **Special Development Needs of Mountain Cities**

UDPFI Guidelines 1996, though far from comprehensive, recognize the special requirements of planning in hill cities, through:

- Lower developed area density parameters
- Different pattern of landuse, with larger provisions for residential and ecological landuses and smaller for industrial and transportation landuses
- Provision of land for commercial activities in tourist centres, board and lodging requirements and informal sector (informal eating places and shops selling local specialities) on the basis of tourist inflow figures

UDPFI Guidelines are currently under revision.



## **Suggested Planning Norms for Mountain Cities**

Since it is an ecologically fragile area, only carrying capacity based development should be proposed, with not only planning parameters but also aesthetic and cultural parameters taken into consideration. For example, care should be taken to regulate buildings styles so that they conform to traditional facades that match the temple building and merge with surrounding landscape.

Delineation of area for development should be made considering land suitability analysis including physical factors such as soil, geology, rock types, geomorphology, topography, slope, etc. Remote sensing & GIS technology should be used for generating maps at appropriate scale. Micro zonation of delineated area should be carried out before planning for development.

Proper policy, institutional and legislative framework has to be created for preparation of Comprehensive Development Plan and its implementation keeping in view the natural hazard proneness of the area



- Economic activity and tourist arrivals should be regulated as per comprehensive development plan prepared for this purpose with various stake holders. Separate arrangements must be made for low-cost informal sector.
- Land Use Zoning: The main purpose of the land use zoning is to provide regulations for development of a particular area to serve the desired purpose efficiently and to preserve its character. It also provides guidelines for the kind of buildings to be constructed. Zoning regulations are legal tools for guiding the use of land and protection of public health, welfare and safety. Such regulations also include provisions for the use of premises/property and limitations upon shape, size and type of buildings that are constructed or occupy the land. Further, these provide both horizontal as well as vertical use of land. These regulations also improve the quality of life.



- While formulating Comprehensive Development Plan priority should be given for Environmental Management and Disaster Management. The following restrictions and conditions may be proposed for future activities.
  - No construction should be ordinarily undertaken in areas having slope above 30% or areas which fall in landslide hazard zones or areas falling on the spring lines and first order streams identified by the State Government on the basis of available scientific data.
  - Construction may be permitted in areas with slope between 10% to 30% or spring recharge areas or old landslide zones with such restrictions as the competent authority may evolve.
  - Prepare detailed contour plan of the area of 1:5000 or larger showing contours at interval of 0.2 to 0.5 metre;
- Regulations for disaster mitigation development control, building regulations/byelaws for natural hazard prone areas should be followed in the proposed development.



## **Famous Mountain International Cities**

#### **Davos & Bogota**

These two exemplify two diverse types of development – one located in a developed country with a high per capita income and the other in a developing country with a relatively low GDP and per capita income.

The former is a world class ski resort and convention centre, the latter a national capital and a sprawling city facing pressures of all kinds.

Alpine mountain cities North American mountain cities South American mountain cities Japanese mountain cities





# Thank you

anjali.pancholy@gmail.com

TCPO website: www.tcpomud.gov.in



## Appendix 8 Presentation of EBTC by Mr. Monish Verma



### EU's Mountain Agenda

**Urban Cleantech Collaboration** 



#### Innovative bottom-up project development for Mountain Cities

Monish Verma, Environment Sector Specialist , EBTC, New Delhi, India January 20, 2014, *LBSNAA, Mussorie* 

www.ebtc.eu

EBTC is a programme co-funded by the Luropean Union and coordinated by VEUROCHAMBRES, the Association of European Chambers of Commerce and Industry

### **EBTC's Urban Cleantech Collaboration**



- 1. About EBTC
- 2. Mountains Europe's Ecological Backbone



### EBTC is dedicated to promoting EU clean technologies in India



- EBTC works complementarily with existing EU efforts in India.
- EBTC provides tailored services ranging from market exploration to establishment in the Indian market. It provides, notably:
  - comprehensive market insight,
  - help in market access issues,
  - inroads for entrants,
  - identifying projects and partners
  - advice on market entry strategy
  - business and technology incubation.
- EBTC is the nodal point in India of the Enterprise Europe Network (EEN)

#### **EBTC in short**

- 4 sectors: Biotech, Energy, Environment and Transport
- 4 offices: New Delhi, Mumbai, Bengaluru and Kolkata
- 20 staff including 4 sector experts & IPR expert
- 30 partners, based in Europe and India
- 22 cooperation agreements between companies facilitated
- 300+ delegates from 24 EU states, including via 12 Flagship missions and 9 Focus missions
- 100+ project briefs on EBTC website



## European Business and Technology Centre

#### **EBTC Services – Value add**



SERVICES

Creating value, addressing gap, being complimentary to existing efforts

### The approach to value creation: from B2B ideas to market access



<i>Connecting SMEs from EU and India on a web platform</i>	<i>Exploring</i> <i>commercial</i> <i>potential of</i> <i>research ideas</i>	Adapting and localizing mature technologies to Indian context	Ensuring technological validation through demo		
Enterprise Europe Network	Research Innovation Business	Technology Localization Model	Making Pilots Happen		
EBTC is EEN's node in India	FP7 Horizon 2020	A unique model being developed with EBTC partner	IWDP India Water Development Programme		

Developing a set of platforms and channels for companies, clusters, investors, research institutions and technology developers

## Urban Cleantech Collaboration in EBTC's WP6 2014



#### **Objective:**

As cities grow there is an increasing need for clean technologies to enable their transition to more sustainable (cleaner and greener) future. Else, the economic dynamism that cities bring will be vitiated.

#### **Description:**

To leverage the Greening Cities activity (WP5), EBTC intends to collaborate with international bodies and selected Indian cities to explore ways for using EU clean technologies in energy, environment and mobility sectors. Some activities include:

- To develop a sustainable development strategies which focuses on mitigation and adaptation strategies for climate change as well as energy provision and efficiency for developing Indian megacities on one hand and the furiously growing Tier II/III towns/cities in India.
- To use EU expertise and technologies to select and implement pilot projects

#### Outcome:

Build EU-India city partnerships, participate in urban projects/initiatives in select cities

### **EBTC' Urban Cleantech Collaboration**



- **1. About EBTC**
- 2. Mountains Europe's Ecological Backbone



## Europe's ecological backbone: recognising the true value of our mountains







Mountains (36 % of Europe's area) are often recognised as areas with permanent natural handicap – steep slopes, less fertile soils, extreme climate, remote location





Mountains – population unevenly distributed, increasing but also depopulating in certain areas

But 118 million people live in mountains (including Turkey) and 10 countries have at least half of their population living in mountains







Mountain economy – forestry, agriculture, manufacturing and services

## Land cover

forest 41 %, pasture and mosaic farmland 16 % natural grasslands 15 % unvegetated open space 14 %



#### Economic density in the EU-27 and in mountains areas









# Mountains are rich in biodiversity

16 % of Habitats Directive species live exclusively and other 11 % mainly in mountains

18 % of habitat types are linked to mountains, other 39 % occur in mountain areas



Wilderness Quality Index (including terrain ruggedness) for Europe







# Mountains have, on average, better environmental quality than non-mountain areas

Based on economic density and accessibility, HNV farmland, proximity to natural areas, air quality and degree of soil sealing



### Mountains provide essential ecosystem services

Ecosystems Services	Agro ecosystems	Forests	Grasslands	Heath and scrubs	Wetlands	Lakes and rivers		
Provisioning								
Crops/timber	4	1			4			
Livestock	4	=	=	=	4			
Wild Foods	-	1			=			
Wood fuel		=					1	
Capture fisheries					() <del> </del>	-	Trend between periods	
Aquaculture					4	1	Positive change between	
Genetic	-	4		=		Į.	the periods 1950-1990 an	
Fresh water		1			1	Ť	1990 to present	
Regulating							Naastiya ahanga hatwaan	
Pollination	Ť	4	÷				the periods 1950–1990 an	
Climate regulation		Ť		=				
Pest regulation	st regulation ↑							
Erosion regulation		=	<b>#</b>	=			No change between	
Water regulation		=		1		=	— the two periods	
Water purification					=			
Hazard regulation					=			
Cultural								
Recreation	Ť	=		Ť	Ť	=		
Aesthetic	1	=	=	=	1	=		

## degrading - the loss of biodiversity often reduces productivity of ecosystems



## Mountains are a significant resource of water and renewable energy for whole Europe







## Threads intensifying utilisation (agriculture, forestry, urbanisation, transport - habitat loss, fragmentation)

Up to 10 % of mountain areas is affected by TEN-T corridors and approx. half of mountain populations live within 5 km from those corridors



## Threads land abandonment (loss of species and ecosystems requiring management)





Threads - climate change (extreme events, higher risk of rock falls and soil erosion, upward shift of biota and risk of extinction)







# Threads - climate change (faster change than adaptation capacity, temperature increase, less snow, melting glaciers and permafrost)



#### Glacier mass balance of European regions, 1967–2008









Mountains are addressed in various policies but mainly as a part of other sectors - agriculture (RDP, LFA), forestry, nature conservation (nationally designated areas, NATURA 2000) or wider regions - territorial cohesion policies (ERDF, ESF),

92 % of EU mountain area (excl. BG and RO) is classified as LFA



#### 49 % of Natura 2000 area (EU-27) is in mountains



33 % of EU mountain areas is HNV farmland



Mountain people are more vulnerable as they might loose their very subsistence and living environment

Non mountain people might be threatened indirectly – decrease in provided ecosystem services (water availability, but also floods, mountain recreation)

Europe's ecological backbone: recognising the true value of our mountains





## Thank You!



www.ebtc.eu

New Delhi | Mumbai | Bengaluru | Kolkata | Brussels

Appendix 9 Presentation of Uttarkahnd Urban Development by Ms. P S Jangpangi, Director Urban Development, GoUK

## Mountain Cities of Uttarakhand



Urban Development Department Uttarakhand

## **PROFILE OF THE STATE**

• Year of creation Total districts 13 Districts in Hill Area 11 Districts in Plain Area 02 Divisions 2 Total Area 53483 sq km. 34651 sq km. Forest area **Total Population** 100.86 lacs. **Urban** Population 30.49 lacs (30.20 %)

State consists largely of difficult hilly terrain, snow bound mountains, rivers and valleys.

9<sup>th</sup> Nov 2000 as 27<sup>th</sup> State.


## **PROFILE OF LOCAL BODIES**

- Largely small to medium towns, with some having major transitional/ migratory population
- Geographically difficult and hilly terrain.
- 72 ULBs in the State. 69 with elected bodies. Nagar Nigam 06 Nagar Palika Parishad 28 Nagar Panchayats 38
- 41 ULBs located in hill areas and 31 in plain areas





## **CONSTRAINTS.**

- Small to medium towns, with major transitional/ migratory population and limited revenue generating possibilities and capacity to pay.
- Geographically difficult terrain, raises project and O&M costs.
- Service provision obligation for huge floating population *putting extra burden on ULBs* -- 16 ULBs pilgrim destinations, others important tourist destinations.
- Towns sparsely populated, low levels of economic activity and low tax paying capacity of citizens leading to high dependence on State Government
- Land scarcity with most of the ULBs due to environmental imperatives.
- Elected Bodies reluctant to enhance / impose taxes & fees and aversed to reforms
- ULBs Lack capacities

# VISION



- Improved quality of urban life through integrated sustainable development of urban areas.
- Slum free, poverty free, fully sanitized cities.
- Equitable cities with access and affordability of services for all.
- Sustainable provision of quality services through adequate infrastructure
- Creation of empowered and self-sustaining urban governance structures
- Creation of Satellite towns

# **STRATEGY**

- Fund urban infrastructure through convergence of available funding avenues JnNURM, NULM, RAY, ADB, NGRBA, State and City funds, user charges, multilateral funding, PPPs etc.
- Pursue reforms, including 74<sup>th</sup> Amendment, at State and City level, incentivize key outcomes sanitized cities, universal basic services, revenue generating infrastructure
- Targeted poverty alleviation: slum up-gradation and rehab, self-employment and training, employment generation
- Widening of revenue base
- Implementation of Reform agenda
- Incorporation of ULBs under district plan
- Predictable norms based inter-governmental fiscal transfers SFC and infrastructure funding: Rs. 263 Crore during 2013-14



## PRESENT INFRASTRUCTURE SCENARIO

Water supply Avg Water Supply-70-100 lpcd  $\geq$ Avg Supply Hours- 4 hrs/day. Sewer 6 Towns Covered with Sewerage system.  $\geq$ (Mussoorie, Nainital, Bhimtal, Dehradun, Haridwar, Rishikesh) SWM SWM initiated only in 3 Mission  $\geq$ towns(Dehradun,Haridwar,Nainital), Non availability of flat land resulting in non-disposal of Waste Multi level parking only at 3 places Parking  $\geq$ with low capacity(Mussoorie,Nainital, Almora) Roads and drains Urban roads conditions poor due to  $\geq$ excessive rains and land slides.

## MOUNTAIN CITIES OF UTTARAKHAND

Garhwal Mandal =27 ULBS	Kun	naon Mandal =1
Percentage of Mountain cities population in State	=	4.73
Percentage of population in Mountain cities in Urban population	=	15.68
Total population of Mountain cities	=	478020
Percentage of Urban population	=	30.20
Total Urban Population of State	=	3049000
Total Population of State	=	10086000
Total number of Mountain cities	=	41

Nagar palika parishad = 9 Nagar Panchayat = 18 Kumaon Mandal =<u>14 ULBS</u> Nagar palika parishad = 7 Nagar Panchayat = 7



8

#### Service level Benchmarks in mountain cities

#### Water Supply - 9 INDICATORS

									Efficiency		Efficiency
			Coverage		Extent of				IN redressal	Cost	In
S.N	Name of District	Name of ULB	of water		metering	Extent of			of	recovery	of water
			supply	Per capita	of water	non	Continuity	Quality of	customer	in water	supply-
			Connection	supply of	connecti	revenue	of water	water	complaint	supply	related
			S	water	ons	water	supply	supplied	S	services	charges
	INDICATORS	Water Supply	100%		100%	20%	24nrs	100%	80%	100%	90%
											Current
	ਸੈਜੀਗਕ	ਤੁਆਆਉ੦ ਤੈਤੀਰਾਕ	2012-13	2012-13	2012-13	2012-13	2012-13	2012-13	2012-13	2012-13	2012-13
1			62	107	20	47	18	100	90	85	95
2		न0पा0पार0 भवाला	62.4	34	4.4	85	5	100	80	100	99
3	पिथौरागढ़	न0पा0परि0 पिथौरागढ़	80	102	34	46	16	100	100	50.6	60
4	चम्पावत	न0पा0परि० टनकपुर	52	88	3.8	40	8	100	91	100	90
5	बागेश्वर	न0पा0परि0 बागेश्वर	65	95	0	10	5	95	90	50	90
6	अल्मोडा	न0पा0परि० अल्मोडा	94	222	0	43	8	100	74	44	0
7	देहरादून	न0पा0परि० मसूरी	90	80	80	20	4	100	90	100	80
8	चमोली	न0पा0परि० गोपेश्वर	50	85	30	30	6	100	70	80	45
9		न0पा0परि० जोशीमठ	21	48	0	71	8	78	42	73	4.4
10	पौडी	न0पा0परि0 पौडी	80	80	0	0	2	100	60	95	34
11		न0पा0परि0 श्रीनगर	96	135	20	18	6	100	95	93	90
12		न0पा0परि0 दुगड्डा	11	97	0	0	16	100	80	85	90
13	टिहरी	न0पा0परि० नरेन्द्रनगर	65	150	65	45	6	85	88	0	0
14		न0पा0परि0 टिहरी	40	40	10	50	3	100	70	30	60
15	उत्तरकाशी	न0पा0परि० उत्तरकाशी	41	82	70	15	8	100	100	73	100
16	रूद्रप्रयाग	न0पा0परि० रूद्रप्रयाग	28	75	0	50	6	80	2.8	67	75

#### **Service level Benchmarks in mountain cities**

#### **Sewerage and Sanitation -9 INDICATORS**

SI. No.	Name of District	Name of ULB	Coverage of toilets	Coverage of sewage network services	Collection efficiency of the sewage network	Adequacy of sewage treatment capacity	Quality of sewage treatment	Extent of reuse and recycling of treated sewage	Efficiency in redressal of customer complaints	Extent of cost recovery in sewage management	Efficiency in collection of sewage charges
INDI	CATOR (	Sewerage and									
	Sanita	ntion)	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	20%	80%	100%	90%
			Current	Current	Current	Current	Current	Current	Current	Current	Current
			2012-13	2012-13	2012-13	2012-13	2012-13	2012-13	2012-13	2012-13	2012-13
1	नैनीताल	न0पा0परि0 नैनीताल	95	65	9	8	12	2	95	75	95
2		न0पा0परि0 भवाली	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3	पिथौरागढ़	न0पा0परि० पिथौरागढ़	100	40	60	0	0	0	75	0	0
4	चम्पावत	न0पा0परि० टनकपुर	79	2.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5	बागेश्वर	न0पा0परि0 बागेश्वर	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6	अल्मोडा	न0पा0परि0 अल्मोडा	99	8	33	32	0	0	10	35	10
7	देहरादून	न0पा0परि0 मसूरी	60	60	80	0	0	0	60	60	70
8	चमोली	न0पा0परि० गोपेश्वर	100	20	50	0	0	0	50	20	85
9		न0पा0परि0 जोशीमठ	73	20	0	0	0	0	76	60	53
10	पौडी	न0पा0परि0 पौडी	90	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
11		न0पा0परि0 श्रीनगर	85	20	0	100	100	15	80	70	82
12		न0पा0परि0 दुगड्डा	90	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
13	टिहरी	न0पा0परि० नरेन्द्रनगर	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
14		न0पा0परि० टिहरी	97	83	85	20	100	100	74	20	50
15	उत्तरकाशी	न0पा0परि० उत्तरकाशी	91	35	40	42	0	0	70	0	0
16	रूद्रप्रयाग	न0पा0परि० रूद्रप्रयाग	99	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0

#### Service level Benchmarks in mountain cities(16 Nagar Palika Parishad)

#### **Storm Water Drainage - 2 INDICATORS**

	Name of District	Name of ULB	Coverage of storm water drainage network	Incidence of water logging/ flooding
	BENCH MARK INDICATORS		100%	
	( Storm Wa	ter Drainage )	Current	Current
			2012-13	2012-13
1	नैनीताल	न0पा0परि0 नैनीताल	5	
2		न0पा0परि० भवाली	50	)
3	पिथौरागढ़	न0पा0परि० पिथौरागढ़	100	)
4	चम्पावत	न०पा०परि० टनकपुर	100	)
5	बागेश्वर	न0पा0परि० बागेश्वर	30	)
6	अल्मोडा	न0पा0परि० अल्मोडा	10	)
7	देहरादून	न0पा0परि० मसूरी	C	)
8	चमोली	न0पा0परि० गोपेश्वर	15	5
9		न0पा0परि0 जोशीमठ	15	5 (
10	पौडी	न0पा0परि0 पौडी	35	5
11		न0पा0परि० श्रीनगर	35	5
12		न0पा0परि० दुगड्डा	10	)
13	टिहरी	न0पा0परि० नरेन्द्रनगर	10	) (
14		न0पा0परि० टिहरी	100	)
15	उत्तरकाशी	न0पा0परि० उत्तरकाशी	60	)
16	रूद्रप्रयाग	न0पा0परि० रूद्रप्रयाग	20	) (



#### Service level Benchmarks in mountain cities (16 Nagar Palika Parishad)

#### **Solid Waste Management - 8 INDICATORS**

Se	rvice - So	olid Waste								
	Manag	ement			INDIC	CATOR	S			
Sl. No.	Name of District	Name of ULB	Household level coverage of solid waste management services	Efficiency of collection of municipal solid waste	Extent of segregat ion of municip al solid waste	Extent of municip al solid waste recovere d	Extent of scientifi c disposal of municip al solid waste	Efficienc y in redressal of customer complain ts	Extent of cost recovery in SWM services	Efficienc y in collectio n of SWM charges
BEN	CH MARK	INDICATORS	100%	100%	100%	80%	100%	80%	100%	90%
(So	lid Waste N	(Ianagement)	Current	Current	Current	Current	Current	Current	Current	Current
			2012-13	2012-13	2012-13	2012-13	2012-13	2012-13	2012-13	2012-13
1	नैनीताल	न0पा0परि0 नैनीताल	51	88	0	4	0	100	27	82
2		न0पा0परि0 भवाली	100	100	100	100	100	0	100	90
3	पिथौरागढ़	न0पा0परि0 पिथौरागढ़	94	96	0	0	0	0	0	0
4	चम्पावत	न0पा0परि० टनकपुर	100	100	0	0	0	70	0	0
5	बागेश्वर	न0पा0परि0 बागेश्वर	С	80	0	0	0	0	0	0
6	अल्मोडा	न0पा0परि0 अल्मोडा	С	90	5	0	50	100	0	0
7	देहरादून	न0पा0परि0 मसूरी	70	75	50	0	0	100	0	0
8	चमोली	न0पा0परि0 गोपेश्वर	5	83	0	0	0	0	0	0
9		न0पा0परि0 जोशीमठ	16	76	23	2.8	75	75	0	0
10	पौडी	न0पा0परि0 पौडी	60	90	0	0	0	90	0	0
11		न0पा0परि0 श्रीनगर	25	90	10	0	0	98	0	0
12		न0पा0परि० कोटद्वार	30	75	0	0	0	100	0	0
13		न0पा0परि0 दुगड्डा	C	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
14	टिहरी	न0पा0परि० नरेन्द्रनगर	60	60	0	0	0	0	0	0
15		न0पा0परि0 टिहरी	11.3	96	10	0	0	80	10	100
16	उत्तरकाशी	न0पा0परि० उत्तरकाशी	54	82	50	0	24	80	0	0
17	रूद्रप्रयाग	न0पा0परि० रूद्रप्रयाग	12	92	10	0	0	69	0	0

## Projects for 41 Mountain Cities



			<b>Ongoing Projects</b>		Proposed Project	
S.No	Component	Funding Source	No of Projects	Total Cost (In Lacs)	No of Projects	Total Cost (In Lacs)
1	Water Supply	JnNURM	1	547.00	8 <u>H6</u>	19829.84
		ADB <u>H5</u>	1	4251.00	3	-
		NGRBA <u>H5</u>	-	-	1	-
2	Sewerage	JnNURM	2	81.33	5 <u>H7</u>	13038.04
		ADB	-	-	4	-
		NGRBA		-	5	-
3	Solid Waste	JnNURM	2	1064.00	5 <u>H8</u>	-
		SPA	13 <u>H9</u>	838.51	-	-
		NGRBA	-	-	12 <u>H5</u>	-

## Projects for 41 Mountain Cities



			Ongoing Projects		Prop Proj	osed ects
S.No	Component	Funding Source	No of Projects	Total Cost (In Lacs)	No of Projects	Total Cost (In Lacs)
4	Road with Drains	JnNURM	7 <u>H10</u>	2938.53	5 <u>H11</u>	7849.41
5	Soil Erosion	JnNURM	-	-	2	2700.20
6	Heritage	JnNURM	1	1085.00	-	-
7	Poverty Alleviation	RAY	3 <u>H12</u>	3856.00		
		NULM			2	

#### **Poverty Alleviation program in mountain cities**

Slum free mountain ULBs H1	=	8
Slums in Mountain ULB's	=	149
Slum Population	=	42462
No. of slum Households	=	6736
BPL Population	=	13664
No. of BPL Households	=	2819

#### Slum profile of Mountain ULB's H2

(As per Slum survey report June 2011 UUSDIP)

#### **Proposed Schemes Under Poverty Alleviation:** 1) NULM

#### Mountain ULBs under NULM:-

#### **Garhwal Region**

- •Uttarakashi
- •Chamoli
- •New Tehri
- •Rudraprayag
- •Pauri

#### **Kumaon Region**

- •Pithoragarh
- •Champawat
- •Almora
- •Bageshwar
- •Naintal

Proposed Activities under NULM H3

- Social Mobilization & Institution Development
- Employment through Skills Training & Placement
- Self Employment Program
- Capacity Building & Training
- Support to Urban Street Vendors
- Scheme of Shelters for Urban Homeless



#### 2) RAY

•Rajiv Awas Yojana envisages a '*Slum-Free India*' with inclusive and equitable cities with every citizen having access to basic social and civic services. The Scheme focusing on:

•Bringing the slums within the formal system and providing same amenities as the rest of the city/town

•Redressing the failures of the formal system that lies behind the creation of slums

•Tackling the shortages of urban land and housing

Projects Sanctioned recently :

S.N	Mountain ULB	No. of Dwelling	Sanctioned
0		Units	Project Cost
			(Rs. in Lakhs)
1.	Agstyamuni	204	1984.57
2.	Rudraprayag	95	744.73
3.	Joshimath	150	1128.56
Total-		449	3857.86

•Projects for Barkot and Chinyalisaud are under preparation.

#### **Capacity Building Scheme for Urban Local Bodies(CBULB)**

- •GoI has sanctioned Capacity Building Project for ULBs amounting for Rs 43.92 Cr
- •The project will strengthen the role of urban local bodies in context of national priorities and urban reform.
- •RPMC H4 will be established in following 09 Mountain ULBs under the project-

1) Almora	4) Pauri	7) Uttarkashi
2) Mussoorie	5) Srinagar	8) Gopeshwar
3) Pithoragarh	6) Tehri	9) Bageshwar

#### •Activities proposed under CBULB

- •Preparation of Integrated city Plan for the city & its Peri-urban area including CB Plan
- •Preparation of **Business cum Financial Plan** for Projects, Setting of Systems for asset management & value creation & putting in place fiscal tools for revenue enhancement
- •Preparation of Training Modules (15 nos)
- •Residential Training Programme (15 nos)
- •Non Residential Training Programme (12 nos)
- •Exposure Visit (10 nos)
- •Research Study/Documentation of best practices (5 nos)



#### Appendix 10 Presentation of Leh by Mr. Moses Kunzang, Project Director, DRDA

### Presentation from Team Ladakh

# Special features of Leh, J&K



- Spread across 45110 sq
  Kms (Ex Aksai Chin)
- Lowest population density and lowest precipitation
- Sharing border with two countries

# **Distt Profile**

- Population:1,48, 437 souls
- Buddhist: 77.30%
- Muslim:13.78%
- Rest: Hindu, Christians & Others
- ST: 82.04%



# **Distt Profile-2**





- 9 Blocks
- 3 Tehsils
- 93 Panchayat Halkas
- 112 inhabited villages

# **Distt Profile**



- Democratic decentralization in the form of LAHDC.
- One Chairman/CEC
- 4 Executive Councillors ECs
- 30 Councillors26 Elected & 4 Nominated

# **Critical Developmental Issues**



- Connectivity & Communication
- Less working season
- Extreme weather conditions



- High cost of living
- High construction & maintenance

cost

# Leh Town:

- Population 28, 639 souls
- Highly fluctuating
- In summers: Tourists, Labour class for civil & Army
- Presence of Army in Large numers.

# **Existing Scenario**

- Problem Areas:
- Drinking Water: Tanker, Only Public posts. Drilling
- Drainage & Sewerage; Leh on Septic Tank
- Traffic:
- Toilets
- Unregulated Constructions.
- Poor roadnetwork
- Public Transport

## **Unregulated** Construction





#### Drinking Water Problem

Open drain running over waters supply pipes.

#### Garbbage Problem











## **Future Prospects: JNNURM**

- Augmentation & Re-Organization
- of Supply Scheme: Rs 70.48
- Sewerage System in :
- Solid Waste Management:
- Improvement of Roads in:
- Total

Rs 70.48 Rs 59.39 Rs 10.94 Rs 76.53 Rs 217.35 Crores



- Theme of the Workshop:
- Governance & Revenue
- City Planning & uilding Bye Laws
- City Services

# **Perspectives for Future**

- Vision Document: Ladakh 2025
- Leh city as microcosm of Ladakh Region.
- Tourism Facilities
- Heritage Town:
  - Organization Help
  - Individual efforts like Tsingtsaks
  - Polythene Ban
  - Festivals
  - Construction Regulation
  - Local vegetable vendors

## Heritage Town



# Leh Gate The second

## **Natural Disaster**

- Climate Change
- Leh Floods of 2010
- Leh District Disaster Management Plan.
- State Disaster Plan with TISS
- Community based Disaster Management Plan


- Theme of the Workshop:
- Governance & Revenue
- City Planning & uilding Bye Laws
- City Services

# Governence

- Failure as far as Leh is concerned:
- No ULB in Place
- Two Councillors represent the Town
- 4 Lambardars/Gobas
- We are aware of peoples participation
- Local Ownership
- Reathing space for tourists and locals

# **City Planning & Bye Laws**

- Draft Leh Master Plan
- Building Regulations not in place
- Building Permission not implemented.
- Leh Town a transition between Rural & Urban

# **City Services**

- Waste Management
- Water
- Energy
- Transportation

- Source of Energy:
- Solar
- Hydal
- Wind Geothermal
- Fossil Fuel
- Animal

# **Energy Supplied through**

- Diesel Generators In almost every village
- Alchi Hydel Project: 45 mw
- Stakna Hydel: 4 mw
- Martselang Hydel: 3 MW
- Dha Hydel: 6 Mw
- Hanu Hydel: 9 MW
- Puga Geothermal
- Ultra mega Solar Power Project: 5000 MW



# Vision 2025

By 2025, Leh will emerge as the country's best model of hill area development in a challenging environment, with its sustainability embedded in ecological protection, cultural heritage and human development. "

-Vísíon 2025

-Vision document available at www.leh.nic.in



# Appendix 11 Base Paper on SWM by Mr. Vipin Kumar, Mussoorie

### Solid Waste Management Present and Future Perspective

#### 1.Introduction:

"Municipal Solid Waste" (MSW) is a term usually applied to a heterogeneous collection of wastes produced in urban and rural areas the nature of which varies from region to region." Waste generation is closely related, directly or indirectly, to a number of issues such as urban lifestyles, resource consumption patterns, jobs and income levels, and other socio-economic and cultural issues. All these issues have to be brought together on a common platform in order to ensure a long-term solution to urban waste.

The Municipalities face an uphill challenge to properly manage their waste with efforts to reduce the final volumes and to generate sufficient funds for waste management. The ULBs will have to divert waste for material and resource recovery, so that a substantial reduction in final volumes of waste could be achieved. The recovered material and resources could be utilized to generate revenue to fund waste management.

At present the towns in Uttarakhand State due to rapid urbanization and changing lifestyles, are generating about 752 tons per day of solid waste. Further, due to intermittent driving forces such as religious or leisure based tourism several tons of solid waste is added to the existing waste generation quantum in the tourist destinations, Char Dhams and en-route townships to these holy destinations. The process of collection, transportation and disposal of Municipal Solid waste( MSW) follows the traditional method of transferring waste to garbage dumps creating order in the inhabited areas with disorder in the dump sites.

### 1.1.Nature of Solid Waste

The high organic content of the hill towns MSW having moisture content between 60 -70% decomposes rapidly during the summer season producing foul odor of Hydrogen Sulphide, Cadaverine and Putrescence. These unattended dumps become a monotonous smitten of filth during the monsoons blocking the emission of gases but creating ideal conditions for breeding of disease causing vectors a potential threat to human health and safety. The indiscriminate disposal of waste alongside rivers, streams, hill slopes, road-sides and vacant lots, invite stray animals and has an adverse impact on the bio-diversity.

# 1.2.Impact of Solid waste in the Terrai Region

The problem of water logging after a sporadic torrential shower in the plains is due to clogging of drains which is an outcome of sweeping of waste in drains and water outlets. Although the Urban local bodies (ULBs) in Uttarakhand State spend a sizeable portion of the municipal budget on cleanliness of the towns yet they are unable to provide effective services in the Solid Waste Management (SWM) Sector. Most of the ULBs do not even have reliable MSW generation estimates which are normally based on the capacity of bins or the waste carrying trolleys.

#### 1.3. Peri-urban areas and problem of Solid Waste

The hinterlands of the urban areas which once formed the lungs of the towns have been transformed into inhabitable zones. At the same time shrinking land in urban agglomerates and unsystematic disposal of waste on the outskirts of the towns ,on approach roads and on hill slopes is creating a cauldron of conflicts between the urban and the rural population.

This problem needs immediate attention as it is a cause of a major organizational, financial and environmental challenge for the State.

### 2. Statutory provisions

As per the Constitution of India, Solid Waste Management is a state subject and is the primary responsibility of the State Government to ensure that appropriate solid waste management practices are introduced in all towns of the state. The National Waste Management Policy is governed through the Municipal Solid Waste (Management & Handling) Rules 2000 and the Plastic Waste (Management and Handling Rules 2011). Both set of rules are the guiding principles for evolving a sustainable Solid Waste Management system which not only provides cleanliness to the towns but also minimizes adverse impact on the environment. The State Government promulgated Government orders in the year 2007 for urban and Jila Panchayat areas vide No.558/A.Sha.V.-06-248 (Sa)/03 dated April 4<sup>th</sup>. 2007 and G.O. No. 113. The objectives of the State Directives was to ensure a decentralized waste management system in the hill towns to minimize the land requirement through encouraging back yard composting of the bio-degradable waste. The non-biodegradable waste was to follow the chain of recycling. In continuation of the Plastic waste management and handling rules 2011 the State Government issued the Plastic usage and Disposal of other Non-biodegradable Waste Act 2013.

# **2.1.,Detailed objectives of the G.O. No.558/A/sha.V.-06-248** Approach for Recovery of Resources for Recycling

# 2.1.1.Waste Generator's Responsibility:

Municipal solid waste can be broadly divided into three major categories as per source of generation i.e. domestic, commercial and institutional waste. Domestic waste is the largest part (81%) of municipal waste. Housing condition, Institution and Commercial set ups, Markets, Hotels and restaurants contribute to waste generation in an un-segregated manner. This waste is disposed off through open dumping without treatment. **The waste generators have the responsibility to segregate their waste at source for material and resource recovery.** 

# 2.1.2.. Material Diversion Strategy

The constituency wise desiccation of waste is the basis for evolving a **"Material Diversion Strategy"** as a step for resource recovery and energy conservation. It improves the quality of the bio-degradable composting material and minimizes the "pressure" on environment.

2.1.3.The Efficiency multiplier Approach (E.M.A.) for material diversion from the waste stream is based on participatory approach of the community and all stake holders of the town as it promotes a decentralized waste management system. It promotes source separation based on developing "vicinity composting", "backyard composting" by bulk generators of waste by Aerobic methodology. This also helps in optimizing transportation costs and increased efficiency in the waste collection system and less requirement of big patches of land which are not available with the ULBs. The Non –biodegradable waste, post component segregation and compaction can be sent for end use disposal through recycling. This diversion strategy was implemented as a pilot project

named MISSION BUTTERFLY at NAINITAL IN THE YEAR 2008-09-AND 10. It was subsequently switched over to PPP mode under the JnNurm project.



<u>The Efficiency Multiplier Approach for resource recovery</u> 3. The Waste to Resource Action Programme for Non –Biodegradable waste (WRAP)

#### 3.1.The concept of WRAP = Waste To Resource Action Programme

The Non-biodegradable waste in the Municipal Solid Waste stream is a resource and it can only be recovered through a value chain. It implies treating the low value garbage as secondary raw material through value addition with end use linkage through recycling. The backup mechanism for use of such material needs to be understood in the context of a hierarchy of carbon recovery with a support price. Waste collection and component segregation is the first step followed with a strategy to minimize transportation cost through compaction of voluminous material like plastic and paper. The recycling of such material saves on use of energy, checks carbon emissions and saves natural resources.

The short cut methods of disposing garbage through burning leads to release of carbon in gaseous form with precipitation of heavy metals in the bottom ash. The leaching of such metals in the ground water and their washing off after a torrent, may lead to their transfer to the human body through bio-magnification or direct consumption. Thus burning technologies which includes incineration under controlled conditions is not a solution to manage and dispose off municipal solid waste in the hill towns.

#### 3.2. Value Chain in Plastic , Paper, Cans and Tins

The spinoff of tourist activity leads to generation of huge quantum of waste which is either burnt or dumped in rivers. The main hindrance to handle such waste is its large volume and high transportation cost. At the initiative of the author four compactors were installed in Char Dhams in the year 2009 which helped in recovery of more than 200 tons of plastic and paper waste. This compacted waste was sent for recycling to Kathgodam and since then the compactors are functional. The success of the pilotised programme in the Char Dhams led to installation of eleven more compactors at different destinations in the State. Since, there is value addition to waste a lot of non –biodegradable waste is being diverted from the waste stream for recycling. 8 more compactors are being installed in the year 2013-14. The status of functional compactors as of date is as follows:

Destination	District
Dehra Dun (Private sector)	Dehra Dun
Mussoorie	Dehra Dun
Janki Chatti (Yamunotri)	Uttarkashi
Gangotri	Uttarkashi
Soneprayag	Rudraprayag
Badrinath	Chamoli
Gobindghat	Chamoli
Joshimath	Chamoli
Chamoli	Chamoli
Karanprayag	Chamoli
Gochar	Chamoli
Bageshwar	Bageshwar
Pithoragarh	Pithoragarh
Almora	Almora
Champawat	Champawat
	Destination Dehra Dun (Private sector) Mussoorie Janki Chatti (Yamunotri) Gangotri Soneprayag Badrinath Gobindghat Joshimath Chamoli Karanprayag Gochar Bageshwar Pithoragarh Almora

TO RECYCLING PLANT AT KATHGODAM





#### 3.4. Methodology and Technology

The compactor provides an integrated solution for collection, compaction and end use disposal of the non-biodegradable waste to the Urban Local Body and its surrounding area. The non-

biodegradable waste which is left littered due to low value addition is disposed through the chain of recycling. The methodology is simple and replicable anywhere in the country more effectively in the hill regions of the country. Precisely, it is a process to minimize the exorbitant transportation costs accruing during the disposal of voluminous waste. The schematic below provides an overview of the role of compactor for handling the non-biodegradable waste especially plastics :-



The compactor minimizes the volume of the non-biodegradable waste in the ratio 6:1 for all types of plastics, metal cans, paper, and also the multi layered laminated packings. Once segregated and compacted the waste becomes a resource and is sold for a price which helps in creating jobs and cleaning the environment.



#### 3.5. Characterization and desiccation of NBW

The non-biodegradable waste was segregated into different components and weighed separately for three days for 100 households, 25 shops, 10 restaurants and 20 lodges at Gangotri Dham

#### 3.5.1.Over all % age composition and scenario of Non-biodegradable waste (100 Kg. of NBW)

<b>Plastics</b>	<u>Paper</u>	<u>Glass</u>	<u>Metal</u>	<u>Clothes</u>
58.98%	32.00%	05.09%	1.93%	2%



# **3.5.2.**Weight based waste analysis of NBW components in H.H., Hotel, Road side waste in 25.18 % of (NBW) on a sample size of 500 Kgs at Gangotri

<u>Plastics</u>	<u>Paper</u>	<u>Glass</u>	<u>Metal</u>	<u>Clothes</u>
295 Kgs.	160 Kgs.	25.45 Kgs.	9.65 Kgs.	10 Kgs.

#### 3.5.3.Detailed analysis of plastics and other NBW

S.No.	Material	Weight	% age
1.	PET # 1 Polyethylene-tere-pthalate	35.4 Kgs.	12.00%
2.	HM # 2 High Density Polyethylene Film (Carry bags,	82.2 Kgs.	27.87%
3.	HDPE#2 Article (mugs, bottles, lids, etc)	19.82 Kgs.	06.72%
4.	PVC # 3 Polyvinyl Chloride in bottles of shampoo, oil	11.32 Kgs.	03.84%
5.	LDPE # 4 Low density Polyethylene film	24.10 Kgs.	08.17%
6.	P.P. # 5 Poly propylene film	25.51 Kgs.	08.65%
7.	P.P. # 5 article glasses ,bowls , biscuit containers trays	31.18 Kgs.	10.57%
8.	P.S. # 6 Polystyrene (Thermo Cole) crockery +	11.38 Kgs.	03.86%
9.	Multilayered laminated (Gutka, namkeen and chips	53.86 Kgs.	18.26 %
	Total plastics	294.77 Kgs.	58.98%
10	Paper (Mill Board, packing paper, cardboard boxes)	160.00 Kgs.	32.00%
11.	Glass	25.45 Kgs.	05.09%
12.	Metal including aluminum foil	9.65 Kgs.	01.93%
13.	Left over clothes	10.00 Kgs.	02.00%

#### 3.6.The working of centralized Micro-enterprise at ULB level in SWM (WRAP)(I)



Categories of Non-biodegradable waste by weight and volume

\*Glass bottles used in liquor packing are diverted for reuse and recycling on unit price by itinerant waste buyers.

\*Glass bottles used in packing milk /syrup etc. are sold as glass cullet by weight.

\*Recycling glass saves huge quantum of energy as compared to fresh glass manufacturing. \*Glass is recycled at Rishikesh, Roorkee and Rudrapur.

\*Metal as tin and steel is always sold by weight to the itinerant waste buyers \*Tin canisters are sold at unit price. \*Metal traditionally has a high recycling potential.

\*It is recycled at Roorkee and Rudrapur

#### 3.7.The working of centralized Micro-enterprise at ULB level in SWM (WRAP)(II)

#### Volume based categories in solid waste



\*Cartons and Newsprint are diverted from the waste stream by Itinerant waste buyers. \*Mill board (card board),scrap white paper (Record) and composite paper with plastic packing (as in battery cells) is collected from the Municipal solid waste stream.

\*This voluminous waste is segregated into different components and is then compacted for value addition . This also helps in minimizing transportation cost from the remote regions of the state.

\*Paper recyclers are based in LalKuan and Kashipur in Uttarakhand . It is also recycled at Muzaffarnagar in U.P. and Yamuna nagar in Haryana.

#### 3.8.The working of centralized Micro-enterprise at ULB level in SWM (WRAP) Volume based category Plastics (III)

Plastics are Polymers which are a complicated group of carbon and hydrogen bonded products and are non-biodegradable under normal conditions. They are segregated as per their properties and derivatives. They are incompatible with each other.



The other category comprises of ABS =Acto-nitrile-butaedine styrene, PA= Polyamide (Nylon) PCB= Poly carbonates etc. All the above seven categories of plastics are called as Thermo- plasts as they can be moulded in any shape on a fixed temperature. Besides, different grades of the above mentioned plastics as composite material with paper and metal in medicine wrappers and other packings are recovered from the waste stream which are segregated by tearing and are recycled.

# 3.9.Component segregation is the key to management of Plastic waste (IV)

Name of Plastics	Grades	Value Addition
P.E.T.	Transparent, Green and Brown	All three grades are to be segregated before being sent for recycling.
H.D.P.E.	Articles	Mugs, Buckets, Bottle lids, containers, Hangers etc.
	Film	H.M. Carry bags.
P.V.C.	Articles	Pipes, cable-insulators, shoe soles, .syringes, I.V.sets, Vials
	Film	etc. Bags, cellophane, gift paper ,cellu-tape etc.
L.D.P.E.	Film	Milk and salt packets ,tarpaulin, seat covers. (Is compatible with HDPE)
P.P.	Article	Cups, glasses, chairs, bowls etc.
	Film	Packing Sleeves of ready made garments ,C.D. covers etc.
P.S.	Article	Packing material and disposable crockery. (Light weight and blown up by carbon-di-oxide.)
A.B.S.	Article	Helmets, dash boards and other strong bond applications.
P.A.	Article	Composite with metals in cars,
	Fibre	Thread, socks and inner wear applications.
P.C.B.	Film	Used as liner in PP and H.M. bags used for packing flour and pulses.
Composite plastics with paper and metal or bubble foil packaging like medicine wrappers.		Segregated manually by tearing. Paper follows its own life cycles while Plastics their own. Metallic laminates of polyester are non-recyclable but can be used with 50% H.M. for moulded building material.

# 3.10.Value Addition through Waste Collection and Segregation centre Dehra Dun

A pioneering initiative was taken in the year 2003 when a recycler from Delhi was allotted Government land by the then Chief Secretary Dr.R.S.Tolia for setting up a recycling plant. The Doon Valley Act and its prohibitory provisions restricted the setting up of the plant and the entrepreneur started a plastic waste collection and segregation centre. At present the entrepreneur has established a plastic recycling plant in the Industrial estate at Selakui. A plastic processing plant was also established by the Government at Srinagar Garhwal with a private contractor to run it was unfortunately washed away in the unprecedented floods of June 2013. This project was helpful to initiate the concept of value addition to the low value based which is neither diverted by itinerany waste buyers nor by the waste pickers.

# 4. What needs to be done further ?

The State Government needs to define the Governing principles for evolving a sustainable waste management programme which addresses all issues pertaining to different constituents of the Municipal Solid Waste.

The Governing Principle should "Provide, facilitate and operate infrastructure and services to all, to achieve excellence in integrated solid waste management in a proactive, participatory, socially responsible and cost effective manner to protect health and ecosystems. To work in collaboration with all stakeholders, build area specific appropriate capacities and competencies and forge partnerships."

	Goal I	Goal II	Goal III
Perspective ->	Reduce Risk to Public Health and Ecosystems.	Compliance of the regulatory framework related to SWM	Strengthening the ULBs and the SWM Committee constituted in partnership with the community at the ULB level.
Objective (1)	Minimize human exposure to solid wastes.	Achieve compliance with MSWM Rules - 2000 and G.O. No. No.558/A.Sha.V06- 248 (Sa)/03 dated April 4 <sup>th</sup> . 2007 & G.O. No.113 of Panchayati raj.	Build internal capacity of Executive Officers, Sanitary inspectors and subsequently of the swachaks for compliance of the rules and adapt decentralized waste management system.
Objective (2)	Minimize Impact of plastic waste on environment in terms of air, water, soil, flora and fauna.	Achieve compliance with Plastic Waste Management and Handling rules 2011.	Build internal capacity for formulating bye laws as per clause 4 (b) of the rules. Evolve a value chain for plastic waste through rag-picker partnership with the formal system.
Objective (3)	Minimize risk to human health.	Achieve compliance with Bio-Medical waste management and handling rules 1998 as amended in 2003.	Build Internal capacity of the ULBs to report the matter to the UEPPCB for monitoring the disposal of Bio-medical waste as per the guidelines.
Objective (4)	Minimize the Impact	Evolve a set of specific	Build Internal capacity of the

of C&D waste on the	guidelines	for	C&D	ULBs	to ensur	e saf	e dispos	sal of
environment	Generators.			such	waste	for	reuse	and
				recvc	ling agai	nst a	Waste f	ees.

It is in this background that a clear, concise and consistent policy is necessary to establish waste management systems which are relevant and area specific as per the requirements of the towns.

# 4.1. Proposed main objectives of the Policy:

To evolve innovative solutions for community mobilization in the plain and mountain regions that respond to the diversity and complexity of area specific Solid Waste Management problem affecting the ecosystem. It will consequently lead to:

- 1. Evolution of strategic planning to handle and manage solid waste in the Urban Local Bodies through participation of the Community and all stakeholders.
- 2. Creating of institutional structure like SWM Executive committee at the State level, SWM cell (Advisory Committee) at the Directorate level, Project Management Unit at the District level and SWM implementation steering committee at the ULB level.
- 3. Structuring of economic efficiency by incidence of a user charge for primary collection through segregation of waste at source by the community to minimize the diminishing ecological values.
- 4. Placing of strategic interfaces for value addition to the waste through a resource based approach.
- 5. Selecting of appropriate SWM treatment technologies based on quantification and characterization of solid waste of towns and region specific situations.
- 6. Capitalizing of vehicles and equipments which are compatible and area specific to avoid contact and multiple handling of waste as far as possible.
- 7. Training of the Chairman, Elected members, Executive Officers, Medical Officer Health and Sanitary Inspectors and members of the steering committee (working group) at the ULB level by structured tools evolved through a participatory process to manage and operate the SWM activities.
- 8. Formalizing of the participation of itinerant waste buyers and rag pickers for resource recovery of high and low value based non-biodegradable solid waste especially film plastics.
- 9. Developing of Information, Education & Communication (IEC) materials like brochures, flipcharts, media communication for bringing about a behavioral change for handling and management of solid waste at the community level.
- 10. Generating of employment in the Solid Waste Management sector.

- 11. Developing of skills and mechanisms in waste collection of the "waste collection crew" which are humane and dignified.
- 12. Integrating of all types of "waste" which includes "construction and demolition debris", "biomedical waste" and waste generators like the Jila Panchayat governed areas, PWD, Housing, Forest, Tourism, Regulatory zones, ULBs and Pollution control board.
- 13. Drafting of a citizens charter which clearly defines the "mission" and "vision" statement as envisioned by the Government.
- 14. Evolving a regulatory framework for managing the waste streams through identification of gaps in the existing waste handling and management system.
- 15. Enhancing the capacity of the ULBs for not burning any type of garbage especially plastics.

# 4.2. Measuring outcomes through Key performance Indicators :

The **Key Performance Indicator (KPI)** is a quantitative measuring tool to assess the delivering system at the desired level. The focus of the indicator should be in terms of the overall cleanliness of the town in qualitative terms along with a data base of the quantum of waste recycled.

#### Key performance Indicators for the ULBs

Perspective	Performance Area	Unit of	Performance Indicator	Output scale
		scale.		
	Working group at	No. of	Drafting of a citizen charter	Number of Swachta
	the ULB level	members	and an agreement with the	Samitis with their own
			Mohalla Swachta Samitis	accounting system
	Implement New	Quintals	Placement of karamcharis in	Swachta Samitis to
	organizational		Mohalla Swachta Samitis for	promote source
	Structure for Door		door to door collection	separation of garbage
	to Door collection		creating a cluster of 160-	and end use disposal
			200 households in the hills	through composting
			and 200-260 H.H. in the	and recycling. Quantity
			plains.	in Kgs/Quintals to be
				specified. Contribution
				fees as user charge of
				the stakeholders in
				swachta samitis and

Institutional	Promotion of Business and performance culture through sales of recyclable non-biodegradable post consumer waste and compost	Quintals	Value chain in non- biodegradable recyclable waste.	detailsofbankaccountandtransactionbythecommunityanessential requisite.Revenue generationbythe sales of recyclablesand compost.
Communication with Internal and External stakeholders	Development and implementation of a Citizens Charter for Solid Waste Management	%	Participation of all stake holders which includes schools, Hotels,Lodges,Ashrams and Community based organizations	Success of Charter
Human Resource Development	Development and Implementation of workforce skills	%	Understanding life cycle of the post consumer waste and its disposal. through composting and recycling of the non-biodegradable waste.	Promotion of composting and recycling of waste thereby enhancing waste handling capacity.
	Budget spent on developing workforce skills	%	Essential expenses for crystallization of new technique for waste collection and disposal.	% age of the total annual budget.
	Development of a waste minimization partnership plan	Numbers	A holistic plan for minimizing waste and land requirement for disposal.	Visualizing areas where waste can be handled in backyards of waste generators. Hotels, institutions ,Govt colonies etc.
	Waste minimization communication plan	%	Implementation of waste minimization plan for composting in the backyards of the bulk generators.	Involving waste generators for implementing waste minimization plan.
	Development and Implementation of	Numbers	A curriculum based programme for managing	Training the children the skill of source

	a schools' waste Awareness and Education Plan		waste in the school campus. It includes Government and Public schools.	separation and management of such waste within the campus.
	Development and implementation of a waste awareness and education plan for hoteliers, Ashrams, Lodges etc.	Numbers	An action based programme which promotes source separation and scientific end use disposal.	Training the Hoteliers, Lodge and ashram owners the importance of source separation and end use disposal through composting and recycling.
	Development and implementation plan of waste awareness and education plan for Shops, trading centres , street vendors etc.	Numbers	An action based programme for containerizing garbage for collection in the evenings/ night time.	Training the trading and business community in containerizing waste for collection and disposal during evenings and night time.
Waste Education, Awareness and Training	Development and implementation plan of waste awareness and Education for public events and special projects	%	A strategy needs to be put into place for collection of waste during public events like fairs, kumbh, Char dham yatra, Religious ceremonies and sermons, programmes conducted by community based organizations ,mass gatherings ,Secretariat Collectorate etc.	Training of event managers and special provision for waste collection and disposal against a user charge.
	Policy and	Numbers Numbers	Improvement of service delivery to all households. Implementation of service delivery to all trading centers.	Total number of households. Total number of shops.
	management Plans	Numbers	Implementation of service delivery to all hoteliers, lodges, barat ghars , wedding points ashrams etc.	Total number of bulk generators.
		Numbers	Implementation of service delivery in slums and shanty slum areas around river	Total number of slum dwellers.

			catchments.	
	Optimization of collection operations	Kgs.	Waste collected per day per employee.	Total quantity of waste collected per employee per month.
Waste Collection	Fleet management	%	Use of containerized vehicles like binned rickshaws, handcarts, sid on bin lifter, refuse collector cum compactor etc.	Compatible fleet for hills and plains for waste collection in which waste is containerized.
	Management System	%	Development and implementation of waste processing and disposal Policy.	Implementation of policy through aerobic composting and recycling.
	Disposal facilities	%	Compliance with regulatory requirements as per MSWM rules – 2000 and G.O. No. No.558/A.Sha.V06- 248(Sa)/03 dated April 16 <sup>th</sup> . 2007 and No.113 in the peri -urban areas.	Degree of compliance
Waste processing and Disposal				
	Transfer stations and Material Recovery facilities	Numbers	Implement development of transfer stations in accordance with policy plan.	Number of facilities provided
	Compost facilities on decentralized and centralized basis.	No. and quantum of waste processed in Kgs/tons	Development and Implementation of a plan to address organic wastes	Implementation of plan.
	Policy and implementation plan for handling Construction and demolition waste	Tons.	Special plan for using such waste for soling of pavements and roads.	Implementation of plan.
	Policy and	%	Finalization of Area cleaning	Implementation of

	management plan		services policy	policy
		%	Implementation of Area Cleaning services Policy	Total extent of public areas served
Area Cleaning		%	Overall cleanliness of the city	Compliance with standards set using a photographic cleanliness index for comparison on progress made on monthly basis.
Plastic waste management	Policy and management plan.	Quintals	Component segregation as per the property of polymers. Plastic waste management rules 2011. (Schedule of the rules)	End use disposal at Srinagar/ Dehra dun/ Kathgodam.
Clusterbasedapproachfortownsfordevelopingsanitary land fills	Policy and management plan	Quintals	Compliant landfills in compliance of Schedule III of SWM rules 2000.	Left over residue like cloth waste, disposal nappies and other minor non-recyclables.
Linkage with peri- urban areas	Handling the Non- biodegradable waste	Quintals	In compliance of the G.O. No.113 of the Panchayat Raj	Quantum of Non- biodegradable waste handled.

# **4.3.** Institutional frame work:

The term "Institutional framework" refers to a set of formal organizational structures, rules and informal norms for service provision. Such a framework is the precondition for the successful implementation of solid waste management intervention tools.

# 4.3.1.Executive Committee at the State Level:

Official	Designation	Activity	Expected outcome
Chief Secretary	Chairman	Coordination and issuing	Flexibility and adaptability in the
		directives	ISWM programme.
Secretary Urban	Nodal Officer	Interaction with	Integrated solution to the waste
Development		departments for evolving	problem.
		a holistic policy	
Secretary, Panchayati	Member	Adopting the State policy	Ensuring implementation of ISWM
Raj		on ISWM for Jila	programme in peri-urban areas
		Panchayt administered	under their jurisdiction.
		areas.	
Secretary, Tourism	Member	Adopting ISWM	Participating and supporting ISWM
		programme.	in achieving the policy goal of

				"clean India campaign" of Govt. of
				India.
				Awareness generation activities
				among the tourist population.
Secretary Forest and Environment	Member	Adopting the ISN programme.	WΜ	Implementing the forest conservation act 1986 for clean forests and helping in identifying waste land patches for developing compost sites and compliant sanitary landfills. To monitor the actions required or Environmental Clearances if any required by SEIAA/SEAC.
				The UEPPCB to effectively implement the BMW management
Secretary Housing	Member	Adopting the ISN programme.	νM	Incorporating structural change during planning housing colonies with a mandatory inbuilt provision of land for Solid waste management. They need to also formulate policy for disposal of C&D waste in the process of construction and demolition
				through scientific end use disposal.
Secretary PWD	Member	Adopting the ISN programme	νM	Evolving a Policy for re-use of demolition waste in pavement and road construction. Banning the indiscriminate dumping of accumulated waste on the hill slopes during cleaning of PWD road drains.
Director, Town Planning	Member			To identify the lands for setting up Solid Waste Management activities like Transfer Stations, Processing plants, landfill sites and declare no development zone/ Buffer Zone.
Member Secretary, State Pollution Control Board	Member			To evolve a working model to assist speedy action in land identification/ authentication on the suitability of land for SWM activities, issuing SWM Authorization, Consent for Establishment etc.

# 4.3.2. Advisory Committee at the Directorate Level:

Official	Designation	Activity		Expected outcome
Director	Chairman	Coordination	and	Implementing the policies at
		issuing directives		the ULB level.
Member Secretary	Deputy	Delivering	the	Ensuring the implementation of

	director UD	directives to the ULBs.	the ISWM master Plan.	
Member	UEPPCB	Compliance BMW rules Ensuring the safe dispose		
			the contaminating BMW.	
Member	Deputy	Awareness in tourism	Ensuring the compliance of the	
	Director	related activities	"Clean India campaign" and	
	UTDB		initiative of the Govt. of India.	
Member	Expert SWM	Regular inputs to the Monitoring the implement		
		ISWM cell.	and filling the gaps for	
			dumpsite free ULB.	
Member	Panchayati	SWM in Village and Jila	Implementing the SWM rules in	
	Raj	Panchayat areas	the peri-urban areas.	

# 4.3.3. District level Committee

Official	Designation	Activity	Expected outcome
District Magistrate	Chairman	Coordination and issuing directives	Monitoring the implementation of ISWM programme at the District level.
Sub Divisional Magistrate	Nodal Officer	Interaction with departments for area specific strategy.	Restructuring the ISWM policy if required at the Tehsil level.
Chief Development officer (CDO) and Panchayati Raj Adhikari	Member	Adopting the State policy on ISWM for Jila Panchayat administered areas.	Ensuring implementation of ISWM programme in peri- urban areas under their jurisdiction.
Executive officers of the ULBs	Members	Mandatory duty of the ULBs.	Ensuring participation of the community and different stake holders within their area of jurisdiction.
District Tourism Development Officer (DTDO)	Member	Adopting ISWM programme.	Participating and supporting ISWM in achieving the policy goal of "clean India campaign" of Govt. of India. Ensuring the implementation of the ISWM programme in the GMVN and KMVN guest houses.
Divisional forest Officer	Member	Adopting the ISWM programme.	Ensuring clean forests and helping in identifying waste- land patches for developing compost sites and compliant sanitary landfills.
Secretaries of Vinimit Kshetra (Restricted areas) and Development Authorities	Member	Adopting the ISWM programme.	Implementing structural changes during planning housing colonies with a mandatory inbuilt provision of land for Solid waste management. Disposal of C&D waste be implemented in accordance of the policy adopted by the Ministry of

						housing.
Executive	Engineer	Member	Adopting	the	ISWM	Ensuring re-use of demolition
PWD			programm	e		waste in pavement and road
						construction. Identifying
						patches of land under their
						jurisdiction for storing such
						waste.

# 4.3.4 Implementing of SWM at the ULB level through a Working Groups:

Headed by Chairman, ULB Executive Officer-Secretary Elected Ward Members Environmental Engineer Prominent NGO working in SWM Senior Citizen (Retired) Stake holders – CBOs, Religious Heads, Schools, Traders, Institutions, Hoteliers, Ashrams, Journalist (One Member each)

The working group at the ULB level shall help in mass education and help in prevention of littering of waste in public places.

# 4.3.5. Mohalla Swachta Samiti:

President – Nominated (Honorary) Secretary- Nominated (Honorary) Treasurer- Nominated (Honorary) 2- Executive Members- Nominated (Honorary)

The Swachta Samiti shall maintain a day to day record of waste collection from step door. They shall frequently interact at the ULB level with the working group for improving service delivery and in over all cleanliness of their areas.

### 4.4. Organizational Chart for Institutionalizing Solid Waste Management:



#### 4.5. Violation and Penalties:

Any person/ institution/ government body who litters wastes in privy, drains, pubic roads, gullies, road sides, hill slopes, ravines, water bodies, streams, springs, **rivulets**, rivers, canals or any such place which is approachable and in use shall evoke penalties under Municipalities & State Acts.

The municipalities shall maintain a record of number of Challans & revenue realized through prosecution of offenders under the Acts.

#### 5. The Role of Multilateral Donor Agencies

Multilaterals and International Donor Agencies can play significant role in the SWM sector for mountain cities as the financing and technology would be key issues beyond the capacity of urban local bodies. So far the efforts and impact of their intervention has not been very encouraging.

World Bank funded JNNURM has helped only a few cities in mountain states but only a few cities have benefitted under this program.

ADB also has been active in many of the mountain states and has funded the Urban Development programs which have targeted the smaller towns. In Uttarakhand also ADB is engaged in such a

program but it now has retreated from funding SWM project due to non-availability of experts for the hill town.

# Appendix 12 Case study on Waste Water treatment by Clover Organics

#### THE LAWRENCE SCHOOL, SANAWAR

#### CASE STUDY PAPER ON WATER RECYCLING

The Lawrence School, Sanawar is one of the most prestigious schools of India and being founded in the year 1847, is also one of the oldest. It was earlier called the Royal Military School where children of the British soldiers were sent to study. The School has always had excellent infrastructure backed by dedicated Management and Slaff to provide all round education \0 students for many years. Sir Rudyard Kipling stated in his book 'Kim' quote "scnd him to Sanawar and make a man of him" unquote.

The school is si tuated on top of a hill near Sanawara village in Himachal Pradesh and is close to Kasauli and Solan. Being formerly an army school, the school received most of its water from MES reservoir near the Kasauli Club by means of a gravity main line of GI pipe of 3" diameter. On an average, the school received 1,28,000 liters per day (128 KLD) if supplies are normal. This supply was however erratic especially during the summer months.

SI #	USE OF WATER	CALCULATION (Average Basis)	REQUIREMENT ( Litres)
1	Bathing	30 liters x 1,600 units	48,000
2	Washing/Cloths / Utensils CIC	35 liters x 1600 un its	56,000
3	Cooking	10 liters xl600 units	16,000
4	Flushing	10 liters x: 1600 units	16,000
5	Drinking	5 liters x 1600 uni ts	8,000
6	Gardening		35,000
7	Swimming Pool Daily topping		3,000
	TOTAL		1,82,000

1. Water Requirement of the School: The immediate water requirement of the School on an average basis was as under:

This is an ideal situation in consumption of water as per Central Public Health and Environmental Engineering Norms, where by each child is given a bath daily. Break up of 1600units staying on the school campus during term time is students -711 staff including supports staff 200 nos X4 (dependents)units = 800units, total say 1600 units.

- 1. Future requirement: The school has many playing fields, which it always wanted to green, but could not do so owing to lack of water.
- 2. Water supply situation: The School received water from various sources. These were as follows:

SL #	SOURCE	AVERAGE QUANTITY (Liters)	BRIEF DESCRIPTION	
1	MES (Kasauli)	60,000	Being formerly an army school, the school received mos its water from MES reservoir near the Kasauli Club by means of a gravity main line of GI of 3" diameter. The supply is very erratic.	
2	Spring Source	6,000	Erratic supply as spring dries up during summer months.	
3	Bore Wells	50,000*	There are 3 bore wells dug. They give a supply ranging from a high of 20,000 liters per day to a low of 2,000 liters per day. One bore well has already stopped working.	
4	Tankers	5,000	Water is carried from Pinjore to the School in water tankers at great cost.	
5	Recycling of STP	8,000	Partial recycling was being done of sewage water.	
6	Rain water harvesting	3,000	Erratic supply and only partial roofs covered.	
	TOTAL	1,32,000		

\* Bore wells: There are three Bore-wells drilled in the School Campus. These three Borewells have been drilled to a depth of about 450 feet to 500 feet each. Initially the discharge from these Bore-wells, like the one below SSC was about 10,000 liters per hour but during the dry spell from March to June, it went down to 2000 to 3000 liters per hour.

- 3. Total requirement of the School: The School urgently needed at least 50,000 liters per day in order to meet its requirements. The School approached many batches for help and many meetings took place, however nothing substantial materialized.
- 4. Batch of 1983: The batch of 1983 created a milestone of sorts by collecting > Rs 20 lakhs from various students. The batch made Mr. Sanjay Aggarwal (their own batch mate) to head the project and create water for the School. This was because Mr. Aggarwal owns and heads, Clover Organic Pvt. Ltd, a Company offering ecological and innovative solutions in the area of wastewater treatment amongst other segments and could provide sustainable solution for the School. Many ideas were discussed by him and rejected. These included the following:

SL #	SOURCES	REMARKS
01	Digging more tube wells	No contractor was willing to offer assured supplies and hence this ideas was dropped.
02	Rain water harvesting	This meant tapping all the major roofs the buildings of the School and creating a contour mapping to collect water in different locations. Estimated cost of the same was given to be > Rs 2 crores and hence this was dropped.
----	--------------------------	--
03	More tankers	This was not a sustainable solution. Water had to be carried large distances and with the constant increase in fuel rates, it did not offer a lasting solution and hence it was dropped.

Exploring each idea above and then subsequently rejecting the same took a lot of time. He decided to look at recycling of wastewater as an option and found it to be a plausible solution to the School's problems. After a lot of survey, data was collected and consolidated on the School wastewater situation. (Kindly see Annexure 1).

- 5. Implementing the project: Funding for the project was received from the Batch of 1983. They nominated Clover Organic Pvt. Ltd., to head the project.
- 6. Details of project implemented:
  - a. *Creation of s new STP near Butchery:* A new STP was created of 10 KL capacity. This was to take care of sewage being generated in the Girl's Department (GD) of about 6.5 KLD.
  - b. *Refurbishing existing plants:* The existing plants located in PD (Prep Department) & BD (Boy's Department) were repaired and made more efficient.
  - c. *Connectivity:* Pipe connectivity was carried out for bringing sewage to the new plant and then taking the same for recycling after treatment.
  - d. Bio-augmentation: In order to make the water fit for recycling, Clover Organic used NatureVel WW for the same. It is a microbial product used for making the plants more efficient. This was used in all the plants by the School.
- 7. Outcome of the project: The following benefits accrued to the School as a result of this intervention:
  - a. The School has started receiving > 38 KLD of recycled water, which is being used for gardening and flushing. This has freed fresh water of equal quantity and now the School is surplus in water requirements.
  - b. Due to the use of NatureVel WW, there is negligible Coliform in the treated wastewater and the treated waste water more than meets the norms as laid down by CPCB. There is also no foul odour and very little sludge is being created.
- 8. Some pictures of the project with explanations:



The existing plant at PD (Prep Department) was refurbished under the directions of Clover Organic Pvt. Ltd. The plant now offers water quality within CPCB norms including the Coliform count.



The new plant near the Butchery that was made A pipe line was laid to connect the wastewater with the help of the Batch of 1983. generated at GD (Girl's department) to the new plant installed near Butchery.

Appendix 13 Paper on status of city planning in Uttarakhand by Town & Country Planning Department, GoUK

### **Problems of city Planning in Mountain regions of Uttarakhand**

Uttarakhand is a newly carved hill state which came in to existence on 9<sup>th</sup>November 2000. Just like other hilly states of India, Uttarakhand state also has peculiar phenomenon of urbanization. As per 2001 census out of total state population of 84, 89,349, 25.67% of the population lived in 86 census towns. During 2011 out of the total population of 1,01,16,752 persons 30.55% lived in 115 census towns.

The following table shows the class-wise classification of Towns in Uttarakhand.

Class	No. of towns	Urban Population	No. of towns	Urban Population
	2001	2001	2011	2011
Ι	4	1025204	7	1908600
II	3	260448	5	267634
III	14	446670	13	372148
IV	13	205255	22	30093
V	27	199899	28	214978
VI	15	41598	11	28316
TOTAL	76	2179074	86	3091169

 Table

 Class-wise classification of Towns in Uttarakhand

Sources: Census of India -2011

During 2001-11 decade the state has registered urban growth rate of 41.86%. This shows that majority of the town of Uttarakhand are small and medium towns.

# **Preparation of Master Plans in Uttarakhand:**

#### Legal provisions

After creation of new state of Uttarakhand all the legal provisions as applicable in the erstwhile state of Uttar Pradesh were adopted by the state of Uttarakhand. A brief description of the legal provisions is as follows:

# 1- The U.P. Regulation of Building Operation Act -1958

Under provisions of this Act only regulatory functions are performed. Important salient features of this Act are as follows:

- Section 2(f) Appointment of Prescribed Authority.
- Section 3 Declaration of Regulated Area.
- Section 4 Creation of Controlling Authority for discharge of functions assigned under the Act.

**Section 5-A** Master plan of Regulated Area.

# 2- The Uttar Pradesh Urban Planning and Development Act, 1973.

Under provisions of this Act there is provision for creation of Development Authority which carries out regulatory as well as development functions. Important salient features of this Act are as follows:

Chapter -II The Development Authority and its objects

Section 3 Declaration of development areas.

Section 4 The Development Authority.

Chapter –III Master plan and Zonal Development plan.

Section 8 Civil survey of the Master Plan for the development area.

Section 9 Zonal Development plans.

#### 3- The Uttar Pradesh Special Area Development Authorities Act, 1986.

This act was enacted to provide for establishment of Special Area Development Authority for planned development of certain area of Uttar Pradesh and the matters ancillary there to. Important salient features of this Act are as follows:

Chapter II Establishment of Special Area Development Authorities.

Section 3 Declaration of Special Development Areas.

Chapter III Master Plan for Special Development Areas.

Section 8 Preparation of Master Plan.

Section 9 Sector plans.

However after the creation of the new state of Uttarakhand, efforts were made to prepare a consolidated Urban and Regional Planning and Development Act viz. The Uttarakhand Urban and Country Planning and Development (Amendment) Act, 2013 Uttarakhand Act no. 25 of 2013. It was notified vide Gazette Notification no. 168/xxxvi (3)/2013/26(1)2013 dated Deharadun April 05, 2013.

Until now 23 Regulated Areas have been notified under R.B.O Act 1958 of which 13 are in Garhwal Division and 10 in Kumaun Division. There are 3 Development Authorities created under Urban Planning and Development Act 1973 and 3 Special Area Development Authorities created under the Special Area Development Authorities Act 1986. Detail of the above stated agencies is given in Annexure-I.

#### The Town and Country Planning Department, Uttarakhand

At the time of erstwhile state of Uttar Pradesh, there were only two Town and Country Planning Division at commissionery level viz. Garhwal Sambhagiya Niyojan Khand, Dehradun and Kamaun Sambhagiya Niyojan Khand, Haldwani which were carrying the work of preparation of Master Plan for the respective areas. However, after the Partition and creation of new state of Uttarakhand only few posts were made available from the Head quarter, Town and Country Planning Deptt., U.P. The post of Chief Town and Country Planner is yet to be created in the newly carved state. Till now the reorganization and strengthening of the Deptt. has not been done.

Since there is inadequate technical staff in Development Authorities/ Regulated Areas for carrying out the work of planning, enforcement and implementation of Master Plans, the preparation of the Master Plans / Regional plan is being carried out by the Town and Country Planning Deptt. The present status of the Master Plan / Regional Plan is depicted in Annexure-II.

#### **Issue related to the Master Plan for the Hill Towns:**

Preparation of the Master Plan for the hill towns is a challenging exercise. It requires practical experience and adequate knowledge of the hill topography. In Uttarakhand about 2/3rd of the area falls in the hilly topography. Therefore there is limited contiguous land available for development.

- 1- Irrespective of the fact that Uttrakhand possesses varied landforms from plains to the higher gradient hills, the method and norms for the preparation of the Master Plans is generalized which renders ineffective the planning proposals for hills. Therefore different norms should be laid for hill and plains separately with reference to:
  - 1- Land uses and land use area percentage distribution.
  - 2- Minimum area norms, population and gross/ net density.
  - 3- Zoning Regulations.
- 2- Unifunctional towns like pilgrim town, tourist town, industrial town needs specific land use categories, land use area percentage distribution and zoning regulations with respect to character of the town.
- 3- Keeping in view the sensitive environmental and ecological balance of the hilly areas, the control over floating population needs to be exercised through carrying capacity calculations.
- 4- Limited availability of developable land in the state demands rational approach to land use planning incorporating mixed land use provision both vertically and horizontally.
- 5- The higher or comparatively less compatible activities allowed in zoning regulations should be made conditional with respect to approach road and minimum plot area. Such activity should bear impact fee for upgrading existing infrastructure facilities in the area.
- 6- The Master Plan should contain a transportation plan, an infrastructure plan and a disaster mitigation plan

#### **Issue related to the Bylaws:**

- **1** Separate building bylaws should be specified for Hills and Plains keeping in views the landform and its vulnerability to nature calamities.
- 2 Definitions of Hills with respect to mean sea level needs to be rectified. It should be defined with respect to the slope gradient as well.
- **3** Definition of Ashram / Dharmshala to be included in pilgrim towns.
- 4 Definition of temporary and permanent structures.
- **5** Norms to determine the river banks in hills and plains should be evolved so that construction activities could be allowed at appropriate distance from the banks.
- 6 To maintain the building lines on major roads, the front setback should be laid down with respect to the road width in front of the plot instead of the plot size.
- 7 Approach road width needs to be specified for all activities.
- 8 Road hierarchy (including pedestrian path), junction geometry should be specified.
- **9** Basement norms to be elaborated with respect to definition, its usage, height, number, and mechanized/ semi mechanized parking.
- **10** Stilt norms to be elaborated with respect to definition, its usage and height.
- **11** Parking norms to be established with respect to different kinds of the parking viz terrace/mechanized/semi mechanized/ non mechanized/ road level terrace parking in hills/ basement /stilt/open parking.
- 12 Areas to be excluded and included in F.A.R computation to be listed.
- **13** Building bylaws pertaining to eco resorts to be included.
- 14 Method to promote amalgamation of land vide F.A.R and other bylaws parameters should be stated.
- 15 Method to extract spaces for public/semi-public uses through compensatory FAR's.

#### Suggestions for improvement of hill town planning and implementation:

- Hill towns have limited land and sometimes, limited potable water resources. So based upon the availability of above, carrying capacity should be calculated and accordingly floating population should be regulated in these towns. Calculation of carrying capacity shall be the basis of Master Plan.
- Mixed land use character of the towns has made traditional type of Master Plan landuses redundant. Therefore, approach in Master Plan should be to promote vertical and horizontal mixed use.
- Developable land is available only along the major spines which causes ribbon development and congestion. Therefore, a model should be devised which addresses the above issue.
- Identification of landslide zone is necessary and suitable measures should be proposed to safeguard life and property of these areas.
- Available developable land is primarily owned by private owners. So it is not practical to propose public/semi-public land uses. Therefore mechanism to assemble land for such uses should be devised in Master Plan.
- Land use reserved for public/semi-public uses shall be developed on priority basis which otherwise remains on paper only.
- Inadequate public parking provisions in Master Plan.
- Green buildings should be promoted in Master Plan.
- Buffer along river banks, forests should be spelt out in Master Plan.
- Proportion of negative slopes is often more in hilly areas. Therefore, the prescribed setbacks fail to fall in place. Minimum setbacks according to height of building shall be defined and rest shall be taken care of through ground coverage norms.
- The character of the town shall be preserved to maintain its identity. Otherwise all hill towns shall get converted to concrete jungle without any urbanscape and distinct character.
- The biggest challenge in hilly towns is that the available developable land is almost developed. So the issue is how to organize and regulate the organic development through Master Plan.
- Strategies to promote economic drivers shall be incorporated in Master Plan to control ex-migration of youth.
- Though Master Plan provisions are adequate but generally the proposals remain on paper only as implementation part of the plan does not spell out clearly as to which agency shall carry out those proposals of Master Plan; so fixing up of responsibilities should also be a part of Master Plan proposal.

### Annexure-1 Existing Regulated Areas in Uttarakhand

<b>Garhwal Division</b>	Kumaun Division
1- Roorkee	1- Pithoragarh
2- Uttarkashi	2- Bageshwar
3- Chamoli-Gopeshwar	3- Ram-nagar
4- Badhrinath	4-Haldwani-Kathgodam
5- Gochar	5-Kashipur
6- New Tehri	6-Bazpur
7- Rudraprayag	7-Rudrapur
8- Kedarnath	8-Kausani-lewshal
9- Pauri	9-Champawat
10- Shrinagar	10- Kichha
11- Auli	
12- Chopta	
13- Naveen Chakrata	

- 1- Mussoorie-Deharadun Development Authority.
- 2- Haridwar Development Authority.
- 3- Tehri Jheel Parikshetra Development Authority.

#### **Special Area Development Authorities**

- 1- Doon-valley Special Area Development Authority
- 2- Nainital Lake Area Special Area Development Authority
- 3- Gangotri Special Area Development Authority

#### Annexure-2 Master Plans Status in Uttarakhand

### **<u>Regulated areas</u>** Garhwal Division

1- Roorkee Master Plan	- under preparation
2- Uttarkashi Master Plan	- to be prepared
3- Chamoli-Gopeshwar Master Plan	- 2016
4- Badrinath Master Plan	- 2031
5- Gochar Master Plan	- 2021
6- New Tehri Master Plan	- to be revised
7- Rudraprayag Master Plan	- to be prepared
8- Kedarnath Master Plan	- under revision
9- Pauri Master Plan	- under revision
10- Srinagar Master Plan	-2011(to be revised)
11- Auli Master Plan	- under preparation
12- Chopta Master Plan	- to be prepared
13- Naveen Chakrata Master Plan	- to be prepared
<b>Development Authority/ Special Development Authori</b>	ty
1- Dehradun Master Plan	-2025
2- Haridwar Master Plan	-2025
3- Tehri Jheel Parikshetra Master Plan	-to be prepared
Special Area Development Authority	0001 1
1- Doonghati special area Master Plan	- 2001 under revision
2- Gangotri special area Master Plan	- to be prepared
<b>Regulated areas Kumaun Division</b>	
1- Pithoragarh Master Plan	- under preparation
2- Bageshwar Master Plan	-2031
3- Ram-Nagar Master Plan	-2001(to be revised)
4-Haldwani-Kathgodam Master Plan	- to be prepared
5-Kashipur Master Plan	-2011(to be revised)
6-Bazpur Master Plan	- 2011to be revised)
7-Rudrapur Master Plan	-2031
8-Kausani-lewshal Master Plan	-2011(to be revised)
9-Champawat Master Plan	- to be prepared
10- Kichcha Master Plan	- 1991 under revision
Special Area Development Authority	

1- Nainital Master Plan	- 2011(to be revised)
2- Bhimtal Master Plan	- 2011(to be revised)

# Appendix 14 Paper on Mussoorie Water Supply by Uttarakhand Jal Sansthan

# MUSSOORIE WATER SUPPLY SCHEME PRESENT STATUS AT A GLANCE

Water Supply Scheme for Mussoorie Town was constructed in the year 1908. In this scheme seven springs were tapped and water of these springs was collected in a sump situated at Murrey and then pumped to the reservoir constructed at Winsten hill, the total water production from this scheme is 2.40 MLD. Afterwards following reorganizations/augmentations works were executed to improve the water supply.

- A. In the year 1913 three springs were tapped and after collection in a sump at Mechennon, the water is pumped to another reservoir constructed at library. Thus 0.14 MLD water productions were enhanced.
- **B.** In year 1923 a boosting station at Landour was established.
- **C.** In year 1925 another augmentation work was carried out, in this Bhilaru spring was tapped and pumped to Gunhill reservoirs. This pumping station is producing 0.45 MLD of water.
- D. In year 1971 first reorganization of scheme was carried out in which along with previous production another sources named Jinsy spring and Kolty Gadhera were also tapped which is producing 1.85 MLD and 0.65 MLD water respectively.
- E. In the year 2003, a scheme from Dhobighat spring (Total production 0.40 MLD) was constructed to augment the water supply of Barloganj and Kingcrabe areas.
- **F.** In the meantime 6 gravity sources were also tapped and water from these sources is carried to different reservoirs.

At present the total water production from pumping schemes is 5.89 MLD and from gravity schemes is 0.61 MLD, thus total 6.5 MLD (**Details as per Annexure-1**). In the first scheme 5 reservoir of total capacity

Indian Mountain Initiative | Conference Report : Mountain Cities 2014, January 20, 2014 Mussoorie

6.98 MLD were constructed to meet the demand and supply gap in Tourist season. These are reserve reservoirs which are used only in tourist season. In year 2006 two more such reservoirs of total capacity 8 MLD were constructed for reserve storage.

At present there are 29 reservoirs with total capacity 29 MLD out of which 24.98 MLD is the reserve capacity and rest 4.02 MLD storage capacity is used for daily water supply. Present Permanent Population of Mussoorie Town is 42078 with 8000 Floating Population, the floating population increases to 50845 in peak season (Figure taken from Tourist Department). Present water demand of off season is 7.76 MLD and for Tourist season is 14.40 MLD while production is just 6.50 MLD. Thus deficit in water production is 1.26 MLD for off season and 7.9 MLD for Tourist season. The gap between demand and production shall go on increasing in coming year.

Thus there is a great need to make a suitable scheme to solve the water crises in Mussoorie Town.

**Proposals** – Uttarakhand Peyjal Nigam has to construct the new scheme. Peyjal Nigam is preparing a proposal to lift the water from Yamuna river. The funding source shall be either JNNURM or ADB. At present reorganization of old Sewerage scheme in under execution. But if the new sewerage line does not get proper liquid effluent, it cannot work properly and there will be a silting problem. Thus viewing the water crises and sewerage scheme reorganization there has become a great need to expedite the reorganization of water supply scheme.

# **MUSSOORIE SEWERAGE SCHEME**

# PRESENT STATUS AT A GLANCE

Sewerage Scheme for Mussoorie Town was constructed in the year 1931-32 for a small population. Afterwards some improvements were made in original scheme. At present approximately 55% population is benefitted from this sewerage scheme. The area covered from the scheme are, 1. Landour Buchad Khana, 2. Saraya Kuldi, 3. Archedia, 4. Shergadhi, 5. Barlawganj, 6. Bhiladu, 7. Company Garden, 8. Happy Valley. But still Landour Cantt Area, Tehri Bus Stand, Balahinsar, Chandra Bhawan, Maisonic Lodge to Kinkreg Bus Stand, In Mall Road Haikmans to Under Hotel Roseline, Library Bus Stand to Hotel Sunrise, Radha Bhawan Estate Circular Road, Spring Road are uncovered. The collected sewer is transported to 8 nos. Sceptic tanks. The salient features of present sewerage scheme is as per Annexure-2.

<u>Scheme under execution</u> – Reorganizations of Sewerage Scheme is being executed by Uttarakhand Peyjal Nigam. In this scheme Peyjal Nigam has proposed to treat the sewerage before disposal, thus replacing the old septic tanks. After execution of this scheme it is expected that the whole Mussoorie Town shall be covered by sewer system.

# Annexure-1

# WATER SUPPLY SCHEME

# SALIENT FEATURES

1.	Year of Construction	19	008			
2.	Main Reorganizations	1971-72, 1992 (Jinsi Pumping Scheme) and 2003-04 (Dhobighat Pumping Scheme)				
3.	Beneficiaries	Whole Mussoorie ' Vill	Town and Nearby 4 ages			
		Off Season	Tourist Season			
4.	Permanent Population- 2001	29319	29319			
5.	Present Permanent Population	42078	42078			
6.	Floating Population	8000	50845			
7.	Total Population	50078	92923			
8.	Water Production (MLD)					
	a. By Pumping	6.50	6.50			
	b. By Gravity	0.61	0.61			
	c. Total Water	7.11	7.11			
	Production					
9.	Demand of Water	7.77	14.42			
	(MLD) @ 135 LPCD					
	+ 15% Wastage					
10.	Deficit (MLD)	0.66	7.31			
10.	Total no. of Storage Reservoirs	29				
11.	Total Storage Capacity (MLD)	4.44				
12.	For Tourist Season	6				
	No. of Reserve					
	Storage Reservoirs					
13.	Extra Reserve Storage	24.6				
	Capacity (MLD)					
14.	Total No. of Pumping	8				
	Stations					
16.	Length of Rising &	About 96 Km				
	Distribution Mains					

# Annexure-2

# **SEWERAGE SCHEME**

# SALIENT FEATURES

1.	Year of Construction	1931-32
2.	Total Coverage	App. 55%
3.	Covered Zone	1. Landour Buchad Khana, 2.
		Saraya Kuldi, 3. Archedia, 4.
		Shergadhi, 5. Barlawganj, 6.
		Bhiladu, 7. Company Garden,
		8. Happy Valley (Total 8)
4.	Uncovered Areas,	Landour Cantt Area, Tehri Bus
		Stand, Balahinsar, Chandra
		Bhawan, Maisonic Loudge to
		Kinkreg Bus Stand, In Mall
		Road Haikmans to Under Hotel
		Roseline, Library Bus Stand to
		Hotel Sunrise, Radha Bhawan
		Estate Circular Road, Spring
		Road
5.	Length of Sewer Line	App. 21.00 Km
6.	No. of Inspections Chambers	1100
7.	No. of Sewer Connections	995
8.	No. of Sewer Seat	4552
9.	Sewerage Treatment Plant	08 no.
10.	Sewerage Treatment System	Septic Tank

# Appendix 15 List of Participants for Mountain Cities 2014

SI	Name	Designati	Organizatio n	City	State	Mobile	Email
1	Smt.Higio Aruni	Chief Councillor	Itanagar Municipal Council (IMC)	ltanagar	Arunachal	94366330 96	
2	Shri. Tagom Doming	Councillor and Member of ESC	Pasighat Municipal Council (PMC)	Itanagar	Arunachal	98621961 29	
3	Shri. Tayar Tache	Deputy Director	Deptt. of UD & Housing.	ltanagar	Arunachal	94360413 62	deputydirectorudhita@gm ail.com
4	Shri Likha Suraj	Town Planner	Deptt. of Town Planning & ULB's.	Itanagar	Arunachal	94360501 32	likhasuraj@gmail.com
5	Ms Anjali Pancholy	Associate Town & Country Planner	T&C Planning,Mo UD	Delhi	Delhi	98106828 09	anjali.pancholy@gmail.co m
6	Mr. Sushil Ramola	CEO	B-Able	Delhi	Delhi	98101625 26	sushil.ramola@b-able.in
7	Dr. Nisha Mehendiratta	Director / Scientist-F	Climate Change Program, Department of Science & Technology	Delhi	Delhi		nisha67@nic.in
8	Mr. Monish Verma	Environme nt Sector Specialist	European Business & Technology Centre	Delhi	Delhi	98182093 14	verma@ebtc.eu
9	Dr. Akhilesh Gupta	Head / Scientist - G	Department of Science & Technology	Delhi	Delhi	98104222 49	gakhilesh2002@yahoo.co.i n
10	Mr. Kiran Rajashekariah	Head, Regional Program	WWF-India	Delhi	Delhi	97111884 65	kiranraj@wwfindia.net
11	Mrs. Bharati Gupta Ramola	Market and Industry Leader at PwC	PWC	Delhi	Delhi	98100007 34	bharti.gupta.ramola@in. pwc.com
12	Mr. Himanshu Lal	Member	archl	Delhi	Delhi	99994110 77	
13	Ms.Melina	Member	archl	Delhi	Delhi	99104846 76	
14	Dr. Mahaveer	Professor of Planning, H ead, Departmen t of Environme ntal Planning H ead, Departmen t of Regional Planning	SPA	Delhi	Delhi	011-23702388	
15	Mr. Nidish Nair	Sr. Manager	PwC	Delhi	Delhi		
16	Mr. Anne Feenstra	Visiting Faculty	archI / SPA Delhi	Delhi	Delhi		annefeenstra7@gmail.co m

17	Mr. Tikender Panwar	Deputy Mayor, Shimla MC	Shimla Municipal Corporation	Shimla	HP	94180101 27	<u>tikender@gmail.com</u>
18	Mr. Jimet Takpa, IFS	Chief Conservato r Of Forests	J&K Forests Department	Leh	J&K	99069778 88	jiksmet@gmail.com
19	Mr Tashi Tundup	Executive Engineer	Public Health Engineering, PWD	Leh	J&K	94198128 33	
20	Mr Gulam Nabi Zargar	Executive Engineer	R&B Division, PWD	Leh	J&K	94191784 05	gnzargarleh@rediffmail.co m
21	Mr Tsering Angchuk	Executive Engineer	Construction Division, PWD	Leh	J&K	94191776 07	Tseringangchuk745@gmai I.com
22	Mr. Moses Kunzang	Project Director	District Rural Development Agency	Leh	J&K	94191783 22	kunzangm@yahoo.co.in
23	Ms. Vandana Chavan	MP	Rajyasabha	Pune	Maharashtr a	94220290 00	vandanahchavan@hotm ail.com
24	Madam Patricia Mukhim	Editor	Shillong Times	Shillong	Meghalaya	94363031 61; 98560280 66	patricia.mukhim@gmail.c om
25	Mr Aiban Mawkhroh	Architect		Shillong	Meghalaya	94361022 34	atelieraplus@otmail.com
26	Mr. Soumyadeep Banerjee	Migration and Population Specialist, Livelihoods	ICIMOD	Kathman du	Nepal	-5002246	sbanerjee@icimod.org
27	Ms Priyadarshinee Shrestha	Landscape Coordinato r	WWF Sikkim	Gangtok	Sikkim	94341534 01	pshrestha@wwf.panda.or g
28	Mr Samuel Thomas	Communic ations Manager	ATREE	Gangtok	Sikkim	94340451 23	samuel@atree.org
29	Ms Sandhya Prasad	Councillor	Gangtok Municipal Corporation	Gangtok	Sikkim	96417500 07	prasad.r.sandhya@gmail.c om
30	Mr. Shakti Singh Choudhury	Deputy Mayor	Gangtok Municipal Corporation	Gangtok	Sikkim	99320099 11	shakti.schoudhary@gmail. com
31	Mr Sanjog Raya	Member	Architects Association of Sikkim	Gangtok	Sikkim	94341097 19	sanjograya@gmail.com
32	Mr. Rajendra P Gurung	Representa tive	ECOSS	Gangtok	Sikkim	97330880 03	rpgecoss@gmail.com
33	Mr. DINESH MAHTOLIA	Incharge Urban Developme nt Cell at CGG	Uttarakhand Academy of Administratio n	Nainital	Uttarakhan d	94111076 51	dinesh.mahtolia@gmail.co m
34	Mr. Jai Raj	Addl. PCCF	Forest Department Uttarakhand	Dehradu n	Uttarakhan d	94120536 04	ccfenvuk@gmail.com
35	Mr. Shyam Mohan	Assisstant Engineer	Mussoorie Nagar Palika	Mussoori e	Uttarakhan d	98970266 69, 80064065 11	
36	Ms. Jyotsana Sitling	CCF, Campa	Forest Department Uttarakhand	Dehradu n	Uttarakhan d	94129928 91	jsitling@yahoo.com

37	Mr. Rajeev Bhartari	CCF, Eco Tourism	Forest Department Uttarakhand	Dehradu n	Uttarakhan d	94120536 03	rajivbhartari@gmail.com
38	Ms. Jyoti N Kharwal	CDO, Dehradun	Government of Uttarakhand	Dehradu n	Uttarakhan d	95576397 83	<u>12jyots@gmail.com</u>
39	Mr. Sanjay Agrawal	CEO	Clover Organics, Uttarakhand	Dehradu n	Uttarakhan d	99973744 47	
40	Mr. Manmohan Singh Mall	Chairman	Nagar Palika Parishad, Mussoorie	Mussoori e	Uttarakhan d		
41	Col K S Jaggi	Commandi ng Officer	127 Inf Bn (TA) Eco GARHWAL RIFLES	Dehradu n	Uttarakhan d	94120544 24	soldierdlinks@yahoo.com
42	Mr. Ajay Ramola	Correspond ent	The Tribune	Mussoori e	Uttarakhan d	92198465 64	<u>azzaymaddy2000@gmail.c</u> <u>om</u>
43	Mr. Shoorveer Bhandari	Correspond ent	Amar Ujala	Mussoori e	Uttarakhan d	98374255 21	<u>shoorveerbhandari@gmail</u> .com
44	Mr. A P Singh	Deputy Director	NIAR	Mussoori e	Uttarakhan d	94111138 30	apsingh68@gmail.com
45	Mr. Joshua Phuntsong	Designer	Alaya	Dehradu n	Uttarakhan d	97159125 402	
46	Dr. Kush Verma	DG	NIAR	Mussoori e	Uttarakhan d		kushverma@lbsnaa.ernet. in
47	Mr. P S Jangpangi	Director	Directorate of Urban Development , Uttarakhand	Dehradu n	Uttarakhan d	94111017 37	ps.jangpangi@gmail.com
48	Mr. Issac Hishey	Director	Zhidey Khangsar	Dehradu n	Uttarakhan d	97581117 00	ihishey@yahoo.com
49	Mr. Subhash Gupta	Dy Director	Directorate of Urban Development , Uttarakhand	Dehradu n	Uttarakhan d	91412050 088	subhashgupta@gmail.com
50	Mr. Deepak	EE	Peyjal Nigam Uttarakhand	Dehradu n	Uttarakhan d	94567760 39	
51	Ms. Priyanka Tolia	Exhibition Designer	Chitku	Dehradu n	Uttarakhan d		
52	Dr. Kusum Arunanchalam	Faculty, SENR	Doon University	Dehradu n	Uttarakhan d	94111138 94	kusumdoon@gmail.com
53	Dr Vijay Shridhar	Faculty, SENR	Doon University	Dehradu n	Uttarakhan d	97601180 11	vshridhardoon@gmail.co m
54	Mr. Jot Singh Gunsola	Former MLA	Mussoorie	Mussoori e	Uttarakhan d	94565908 14	
55	Ms. Jodie Underhill,	Founder	Waste Warriors, Uttarakhand	Dehradu n	Uttarakhan d	86504159 42	
56	Mr. S K Gupta	GM	Uttarakhand Jal Sansthan	Dehradu n	Uttarakhan d	94111106 01	
57	Mr. Krishan Singh Rautela	Independe nt Consultant	Asian Development Bank	Dehradu n	Uttarakhan d	94101885 99	krautela@gmail.com
58	Mr. Anmol Jain	Journalist	Hindustan TimeS	Mussoori e	Uttarakhan d		anmoljain35@gmail.com

59	Mr. Prashant Pandey	M.tech	Doon	Dehradu	Uttarakhan	94587840	(prashant24.rai@gmail.co
		Student	University	n	d	77	m)
60	Mr. Ashutosh Mishra	M.tech	Doon	Dehradu	Uttarakhan	80053130	(ashutoshm095@gmail.co
		Student	University	n	d	05	m)
61	Nikhil Sinha	M.tech	Doon	Dehradu	Uttarakhan	78303390	(nikhilkumar09c22@gmail.
		Student	University	n	d	18	com)
62	Mr. Sandeep Sahani	Member	Uttarakhand	Mussoori	Uttarakhan	98370540	sunnymussoorie@yahoo.c
			Tourism	е	d	43	<u>om</u>
			Development				
			Borad				
62						04420750	
63	Dr. R S Tolia	NTPC Chair	Centre for	Dehradu	Uttarakhan	94120750	raghunandantolia47@gma
			Public Policy,	n	a	25	<u>II.com</u>
			University				
			Oniversity				
64	Ms. Sangeeta Sundriyal	Ph.D.	Doon	Dehradu	Uttarakhan	89546668	
		Student	University	n	d	50	(sangeeta.sundriyal@gmai
65						07207000	I.com)
65	Ms. Rashmi verma	Ph.D.	Doon	Denradu	Uttarakhan	97207630	(rashmi_verma66@yanoo.
66		Student	University		u	89	
66	Ms. Swanti Sharma	Ph.D.	Doon	Dehradu	Uttarakhan	86509535	(swati.sharma69@gmail.c
		Student	University	n	d	25	om)
67	Ms. Monika Rawat	Ph.D.	Doon	Dehradu	Uttarakhan	99978468	(monika.05sept.es@gmail.
		Student	University	n	d	00	
68	Mr. Vineet Singh	Ph.D.	Doon	Dehradu	Uttarakhan	94128516	(vineet5990@gmail.com)
		Student	University	n	d	90	
69	Mr. DEVENDRA SINGH	Civil Society	Nainital	Nainital	Uttarakhan		
	KUTLIYA	Representa	Bachao Abbiyan &		a		
		live	Abiliyali Q Janhit				
			Sangthan				
70	Mr. S K Sharma	S.E.	Uttarakhand	Dehradu	Uttarakhan	94111138	
			Jal Sansthan	n	d	16	
71	Mr. V P Sharma	Town	Town &	Dehradu	Uttarakhan	94111370	vpskks@gmail.com
		Planner	Country	n	d	32	
			Planning				
			Deptt. GoUk				
72	Mr. Ram Kumar	Vice	Mussoorie	Mussoori	Uttarakhan	94129327	
-		President	Hotels	e	d	89	
			Association	1			

# Appendix 16 Resource List :Mountain Cities Agenda

- Public Transport
  - Road
    - Buses
      - We need the right sized urban transport buses for mountain cities. Currently the Urban buses being run in India are more suited to bigger roads in Plains Routes, Bus Shelters etc.
      - Current Status and Implementation of Bus Shelter projects is totally oblivious to the nitty gritties of Urban Transport requirements.
    - Smaller Vehicles
      - Park Shuttle Example in Capelle aan den IJssel town in Netherlands shows a vehicle that could be appropriate for small towns in mountains (
        - http://connectedcities.eu/showcases/parkshuttle.html )
  - Alternatives to Road
    - PRTS
      - http://www.2getthere.eu/
    - Rope/Cable Based
      - Funicular in Montmartre Paris
      - Medellin's Metrocable
    - Lifts / Escalators
      - Shimla has lifts between upper mall and Lower mall
      - Medellin has put outdoor escalators as slum rejuvenation tool (

http://www.theguardian.com/world/gallery/2013/jul/31/outdoor -escalator-medellin-colombia-pictures)

- Hongkong has implemented Outdoor Escalators as http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Central-Mid-Levels escalator and walkway system
- Drinking Water Supply
  - A few ADB Projects are underway in North East, Uttarakhand etc.
  - Municipal Corporation Shimla had floated a PPP Project Bid
- Solid Waste Management
  - JNNURM Examples
    - Guwahati Project
    - Dehradun Project
    - Nainital Project
    - Haridwar Project

# City Models From Around the World :

- Medellin, Columbia
- Bogota, Colombia
- Caracass, Venezuela
- Davos, Switzerland

#### **Useful Websites**

- <u>http://connectedcities.eu/</u>
- <u>http://www.newurbanism.org/newurbanism.html</u>
- <u>http://www.udfcd.org/downloads/</u>
- <u>http://www.newurbanism.org/newurbanism/principles.html</u>

- <u>http://www.southasiancitiessummit.com/</u>
- <u>http://www.unwac.org/</u>
- <u>http://www.cdia.asia/</u>
- <u>http://www.niua.org/</u>
- <u>http://www.sustainable-buildings.org/</u>
- <u>http://www.teriin.org/index.php</u>
- http://dsds.teriin.org/
- <u>http://www.acccrn.org/</u>
- http://www.sde.nus.edu.sg/csac/projects.html
- <u>http://planningcommission.nic.in/hackathon/index.php?sector=Urban\_Development</u>
- <u>http://citiesalliance.org/</u>
- <u>http://www.sutp.org/</u>
- http://www.citypopulation.de/India-Uttaranchal.html
- http://www.indiasanitationportal.org/
- http://www.sputnicproject.eu/sputnic-products.php
- http://www.ebtc.eu/
- http://www.cities21.org/
- http://www.2getthere.eu/
- http://bclip.takshashila.org.in/
- http://catalyst.nationalinterest.in/tag/data-visualisation/

#### **Document Links**

- <u>http://planningcommission.nic.in/aboutus/committee/wrkgrp12/hud/wg\_%20urban%20</u> <u>Transport.pdf</u>
- <u>http://planningcommission.nic.in/aboutus/committee/wrkgrp12/hud/wg\_Urban\_Govern</u> ance\_Final\_Report.pdf
- <u>http://planningcommission.nic.in/aboutus/committee/wrkgrp12/hud/wg\_Financing\_rep.pdf</u>
- <u>http://planningcommission.nic.in/aboutus/committee/wrkgrp12/hud/wg\_environment%</u>
   <u>20final%20report.pdf</u>
- <u>http://planningcommission.nic.in/aboutus/committee/wrkgrp12/hud/wg\_rep\_Urban\_WG</u>
   <u>.pdf</u>
- <u>http://planningcommission.nic.in/aboutus/committee/wrkgrp12/hud/wg\_Final\_Urb\_Pvt.pdf</u>
- <u>http://planningcommission.nic.in/aboutus/committee/wrkgrp12/hud/wg\_capacity\_%20b\_uilding.pdf</u>
- <u>http://planningcommission.nic.in/aboutus/committee/wrkgrp12/transport/report/wg\_civ\_il.pdf</u>
- http://planningcommission.nic.in/aboutus/committee/wrkgrp12/wr/wg\_indu\_sani.pdf
- <u>http://planningcommission.nic.in/aboutus/committee/wrkgrp12/wr/wg\_susgm.pdf</u>
- <u>http://planningcommission.nic.in/aboutus/committee/wrkgrp12/wr/wg\_data.pdf</u>
- <u>http://planningcommission.nic.in/aboutus/committee/wrkgrp12/wr/wg\_flood.pdf</u>
- <u>http://planningcommission.nic.in/aboutus/committee/wrkgrp12/wg\_land0508.pdf</u>
- <u>http://www.tcpomud.gov.in/Divisions/uris/towns.html</u>
- http://icrier.org/Urbanisation/research.html

#### Ideas

- <u>http://www.ted.com/talks/enrique\_penalosa\_why\_buses\_represent\_democracy\_in\_action.html</u>
- http://www.ted.com/talks/michael\_green\_why\_we\_should\_build\_wooden\_skyscrapers.
   html
- http://www.ted.com/talks/jaime\_lerner\_sings\_of\_the\_city.html?quote=252
- <u>http://www.ted.com/talks/stewart\_brand\_on\_squatter\_cities.html</u>
- http://www.ted.com/talks/majora carter s tale of urban renewal.html

- <u>http://www.ted.com/talks/janette\_sadik\_khan\_new\_york\_s\_streets\_not\_so\_mean\_any\_more.html</u>
- <u>http://www.ted.com/talks/jeff\_speck\_the\_walkable\_city.html</u>
- http://www.ted.com/talks/robert\_neuwirth\_on\_our\_shadow\_cities.html
- http://www.ted.com/talks/kent\_larson\_brilliant\_designs\_to\_fit\_more\_people\_in\_every\_ city.html
- <u>http://www.ted.com/talks/iwan\_baan\_ingenious\_homes\_in\_unexpected\_places.html</u>
- http://thisbigcity.net/eight-projects-finding-imaginative-ways-to-use-infrastructure-incities/